The main definitions from representative measurement theory are reviewed in this section. A relational structure A consists of a set A and relations  $S_1,...,S_n$  defined on A

$$\mathbf{A} = \langle \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{S}_1, \dots, \mathbf{S}_n \rangle.$$

Each relation  $S_i$  is a Boolean function (predicate) with  $n_i$  arguments from A. The relational structure  $A = \langle A, S_1, ..., S_n \rangle$  is considered along with a relational structure of the same type

 $R = \langle R, T_1, ..., T_n \rangle$ .

Usually the set R is a subset of  $\text{Re}^m$ ,  $m \ge 1$ , where  $\text{Re}^m$  is a set of m-tuples of real numbers and each relation  $T_i$  has the same  $n_i$  as the corresponding relation  $S_i$ .  $T_i$  and  $S_i$  are called a k-ary relation on R. Theoretically, it is not a formal requirement that R be numerical.

Next, the relational system A is interpreted as an empirical real-world system and R is interpreted as a numerical system designed as a numerical representation of A. To formalize the idea of numeric representation, we define a homomorphism  $\phi$  as a mapping from A to R.

A mapping  $\varphi$ : A $\rightarrow$  R is called a homomorphism if for all i (i = 1,...,n),

$$(a_1,...,a_{k(i)}) \in S_i \iff (\phi(a_1),...,\phi(a_{k(i)})) \in T_i.$$

In other notation,

 $S_i(a_1,...,a_{k(i)}) \Leftrightarrow T_i(\phi(a_1),...,\phi(a_{k(i)})).$ 

Let  $\Phi(A,R)$  be the set of all homomorphisms for A and R. It is possible that  $\Phi(A,R)$  is empty or contains a variety of representations. Several theorems are proved in RMT about the contents of  $\Phi(A,R)$ . These theorems involve: (1) whether  $\Phi(A,R)$  is empty, and (2) the size of  $\Phi(A,R)$ . The first theorems are called representation theorems. The second theorems are called uniqueness theorems.

Using the set of homomorphisms  $\Phi(A, R)$  we can define the notion of permissible transformations and the data type (scale types). The most natural concept of permissible transformations is a mapping of the numerical set R into itself, which should bring a "good" representation. More precisely,  $\gamma$  is permissible for  $\Phi(A, R)$  if  $\gamma$  maps R into itself, and for every  $\phi$  in  $\Phi(A, R)$ ,  $\gamma\phi$  is also in  $\Phi(A, R)$ . For instance, the permissible transformations could be transformations,  $x \rightarrow rx$  or monotone transformations  $x \rightarrow \gamma(x)$ .