

ON THE STRUCTURE OF QUASIVARIETY LATTICES

Marina Schwidefsky

Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, SB RAS

May 12, 2021

Quasivarieties

A *quasivariety* is a class of algebraic structures defined by *quasi-identities*, i.e., universal sentences of the form

$$\forall \bar{x} \ t_0(\bar{x}) \ \& \ \dots \ \& \ t_n(\bar{x}) \ \longrightarrow \ t(\bar{x}),$$

where $t_0(\bar{x}), \dots, t_n(\bar{x}), t(\bar{x})$ are atomic formulas.

For a class \mathbf{K} , let $\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{K})$ denote the least q-variety containing \mathbf{K} .

$\mathbf{K}(\sigma)$ denotes the class of all structures of type σ .

Quasivariety lattices

For a q -variety \mathbf{K} , a q -variety $\mathbf{K}' \subseteq \mathbf{K}$ is a *subquasivariety* of \mathbf{K} .

$L_q(\mathbf{K})$ denotes the lattice of subquasivarieties of \mathbf{K} .

Historic remarks

- We consider quasivarieties of finite type.
- **Cardinality 2^ω** : V. P. Belkin, A. I. Budkin, V. A. Gorbunov (1970-ies).
- **Elements without covers** (and without independent q-bases): V. A. Gorbunov (1977), V. K. Kartashov (1980-ies), S. V. Siziĭ (1995), A. I. Budkin.
- **No nontrivial identities** ($FL(\omega)$ embeds): V. I. Tumanov; V. A. Gorbunov, W. Dziobiak, M. P. Tropin.
- **Q-universality** (the ideal lattice of $FL(\omega)$ embeds): M. V. Sapir (1985); M. E. Adams and W. Dziobiak (1994, AD-classes), V. A. Gorbunov (1995); M. E. Adams and W. Dziobiak, V. Koubek and J. Sichler (after 2000).

B-classes

DEFINITION (A. V. KRAVCHENKO, A. M. NURAKUNOV, MS, 2017)

Let $\mathbf{M} \subseteq \mathbf{K}(\sigma)$ be a q-variety. A class

$\mathbf{A} = \{\mathcal{A}_F \mid F \in \mathcal{P}_{fin}(\omega)\} \subseteq \mathbf{M}$ is a *B-class with respect to \mathbf{M}* , if

(B₀) for any nonempty $F \in \mathcal{P}_{fin}(\omega)$, \mathcal{A}_F is finitely presented in \mathbf{M} ;
 \mathcal{A}_\emptyset is a trivial structure;

(B₁) if $F = G \cup H$ then $\mathcal{A}_F \in \mathbf{Q}(\mathcal{A}_G, \mathcal{A}_H)$;

(B₂) if $F \neq \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{A}_F \in \mathbf{Q}(\mathcal{A}_G)$ then $F = G$;

(B₃) if $f \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}_F, \mathcal{A}_{\{i\}})$ then either $f(\mathcal{A}_F) \cong \mathcal{A}_\emptyset$ or $i \in F$;

(B₄) $\mathbf{H}(\mathcal{A}_F) \cap \mathbf{M} \subseteq \mathbf{A}$.

A B-class consisting of finite structures is a *finite B-class with respect to \mathbf{M}* .

If a q-variety \mathbf{K} contains a [finite] B-class with respect to some q-variety $\mathbf{M} \subseteq \mathbf{K}$, then $\text{Lq}(\mathbf{K})$ is “complex”—it contains continuum many elements \mathbf{K}' such that:

- ① \mathbf{K}' is Q -universal;
- ② \mathbf{K}' has no independent but has an ω -independent q-equational basis relative to \mathbf{K} ;
- ③ \mathbf{K}' has an independent q-equational basis relative to \mathbf{K} ; the q-theory of \mathbf{K}' is undecidable; the finite membership problem for \mathbf{K}' is undecidable;
- ④ all finite lattices are representable as \mathbf{K}' -congruence lattices of structures from \mathbf{K}' ;
- ⑤ etc...

Q-varieties possessing a [finite] B-class are not too exotic. B-classes are to find in quasivarieties of

- ① Abelian groups with a constant;
- ② commutative rings with 1;
- ③ [un]directed graphs;
- ④ Cantor algebras;
- ⑤ modular $(0, 1)$ -lattices;
- ⑥ unary algebras;
- ⑦ etc...

Boolean structures

A topology τ is *Boolean* if it is compact, Hausdorff, and has a basis consisting of clopen sets.

A structure $\mathcal{A} = \langle A; \sigma, \tau \rangle$ is *Boolean topological* if τ is a Boolean topology on A , each $f \in \sigma^F$ is continuous and each $p \in \sigma^P$ is closed with respect to the corresponding product topology defined by τ .

Standard quasivarieties

Let \mathbf{K} be a quasivariety.

Profinite structures [with respect to \mathbf{K}] are exactly those isomorphic to inverse limits of finite structures [from \mathbf{K}].

Profinite structures are naturally equipped with Boolean topologies which are in this case product topologies.

A quasivariety \mathbf{K} is *standard* if each Boolean topological structure $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbf{K}$ is profinite with respect to \mathbf{K} .

THEOREM (A. V. KRAVCHENKO, A. M. NURAKUNOV, MS, 2021)

Let a q -variety \mathbf{K} contains a finite B-class relative to $\mathbf{M} \subseteq \mathbf{K}$. Then \mathbf{K} contains continuum many non-standard subquasivarieties which

- ① have an independent q -basis relative to \mathbf{K} ;
- ② do not have an independent q -basis relative to \mathbf{K} .