

**LIMIT THEOREMS FOR A CRITICAL GALTON-WATSON
BRANCHING PROCESS WITH MIGRATION**

S. V. NAGAEV AND L. V. KHAN

(Translated by A. R. Kraiman)

1. Introduction. Notation. Formulation of Basic Results

A Galton-Watson branching process with immigration and emigration is studied in this paper. More precisely, a population is considered in which each particle reproduces according to the scheme of a Galton-Watson process, and moreover at each time $n, n = 0, 1, \dots$, either k particles immigrate into the population with probability $p_k, k = 0, 1, \dots$, or r of the particles existing at the instant n emigrate from the population with probability $q_r, r = 1, \dots, m$, where m is an arbitrary fixed positive integer,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k + \sum_{r=1}^m q_r = 1.$$

The particles reproduce independently of one another and independently of their own origin.

We shall now turn to the formal description of the process under study. Let independent integer-valued random variables

$$\xi_i^{(n)}, \zeta_n, i = 1, 2, \dots, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots,$$

be assigned, where the $\zeta_n, n = 0, 1, \dots$, are identically distributed and

$$\mathbf{P} \{ \zeta_n = k \} = p_k, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots,$$

$$\mathbf{P} \{ \zeta_n = -r \} = q_r, \quad r = 1, \dots, m,$$

in turn, the $\xi_i^{(n)}, i = 1, 2, \dots, n = 0, 1, \dots$, are identically distributed with generating function

$$f(s) = \mathbf{E} s^{\xi^{(n)}}, \quad |s| \leq 1.$$

We shall define the process $\{Z_n, n = 0, 1, \dots\}$ in the following manner:

$$Z_0 = 0, \quad Z_{n+1} = \begin{cases} \xi_1^{(n)} + \dots + \xi_{Z_n + \zeta_n}^{(n)}, & Z_n + \zeta_n > 0, \\ 0, & Z_n + \zeta_n \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

It is not difficult to see that $\{Z_n, n = 0, 1, \dots\}$ is a homogeneous Markov process.

The random variables $Z_n, \xi_i^{(n)}$ and $\zeta_n, n = 0, 1, \dots, i = 1, 2, \dots$, are interpreted, respectively, as the number of particles in the population at the time n ; the number of particles generated by the i th of the particles existing at the instant n , at the $(n + 1)$ st time instant; and the number of particles migrating at the n th time instant.

Throughout in what follows we assume that

- (1) $f'(1-) = 1,$
- (2) $f(0) > 0,$
- (3) $B = \frac{f''(1-)}{2} < \infty,$
- (4) $q_m > 0,$
- (5) $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} kp_k - \sum_{k=1}^m kq_k = 0,$
- (6) $\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^2 p_k < \infty.$

It obviously follows from (1), (2) that

$$(7) \quad B = \frac{f''(1-)}{2} > 0.$$

Among the Galton-Watson processes considered earlier with various forms of immigration, the critical Galton-Watson process with immigration depending on the state, which was studied in [1]-[3], is the closest to the one cited above.

For the critical Galton-Watson process with immigration defined in [4], limit theorems were obtained in [5]-[10]. Galton-Watson processes with emigration were studied in [11], [12].

We also point out that the process $\{Z_n, n = 0, 1, \dots\}$ can be represented in the form of a ϕ -branching process considered in [13]-[15]. However, the results of this paper do not follow from known results for ϕ -branching processes.

Let \mathfrak{N} be the state set of the Markov chain $\{Z_k, k = 0, 1, \dots\}$, $p_{rj}(n)$ the transition probability from state r to state j over n steps $r, j \in \mathfrak{N}, n = 0, 1, \dots$ ($p_{rr}(0) = 1, r \in \mathfrak{N}$, and ${}_0p_{0r}(n)$ the probability that the process $\{Z_k, k = 0, 1, \dots\}$, starting from 0, reaches state r over n steps without coming to 0 ($n = 1, 2, \dots, r \in \mathfrak{N}$),

$$F(x) = \sum_{k=[x]+1}^{\infty} {}_0p_{00}(k), \quad x \geq 0.$$

We set

$$W_{0r}(s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_{0r}(n)s^n, \quad W_0(s) = W_{00}(s),$$

where $0 \leq s < 1, r \in \mathfrak{N}$. We denote by $f_n(s)$ the n th iteration of the function $f(s)$,

$|s| \leq 1, n = 1, 2, \dots$. Let us introduce the generating functions

$$g(s) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k s^k, \quad \Psi_n(s) = \mathbf{E} s^{Z_n} = \sum_{j \in \mathfrak{N}} p_{0j}(n) s^j, \quad G_r(s) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} {}_0 p_{0r}(k) s^k,$$

where $0 \leq s \leq 1, r \in \mathfrak{N}, n = 0, 1, \dots$.

The basic purpose of this paper is the proof of the following theorems.

Theorem 1. As $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$F(n) \sim \frac{1}{A_0 n},$$

where A_0 is a positive constant.

Theorem 2. As $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$p_{0r}(n) \sim \frac{A_r}{\log n},$$

where the A_r are positive constants, $r \in \mathfrak{N}$.

Theorem 3. As $n \rightarrow \infty$,

(8)
$$\mathbf{E} Z_n \sim B \frac{n}{\log n},$$

(9)
$$\text{Var } Z_n \sim 2B^2 \frac{n^2}{\log n}.$$

Theorem 4. For $x \in [0, 1]$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P} \left\{ \frac{\log Z_n}{\log n} < x \right\} = x.$$

REMARK. Theorem 4 is an analogue of the limit theorem obtained by Foster for a Galton–Watson process with immigration depending on the state (see [1]).

We also point out that the representation (17) obtained in Section 2 for the generating function $\Psi_n(s), 0 \leq s \leq 1, n = 0, 1, \dots$ serves as the basis for the proof of Theorem 4. In the case $m = 1$ a similar representation was given in [1], [2] for a Galton–Watson process with immigration depending on the state.

2. Study of the Generating Functions Connected with the Process $\{Z_n, n = 0, 1, \dots\}$

The following lemma obviously holds.

Lemma 1. Let $\Phi(y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n y^n, 0 \leq y < 1$, and $\beta_n = o(1/n), n \rightarrow \infty$. Then, as $y \rightarrow 1-$,

$$\Phi(y) = o\left(\log \frac{1}{1-y}\right), \quad \Phi'(y) = o\left(\frac{1}{1-y}\right), \quad \Phi''(y) = o\left(\frac{1}{(1-y)^2}\right).$$

From (4), (5) it follows that there exists a $k \geq 1$ such that $p_k > 0$. Therefore $\mathfrak{N} \neq \{0\}$.

Lemma 2. *The set \mathfrak{N} consists of a single class of information states.*

PROOF. Since $Z_0 = 0$, \mathfrak{N} coincides with the state set attainable from 0. Hence, for any $r \in \mathfrak{N}$, there exists a $k_r \geq 0$ such that

$$p_{0r}(k_r) > 0.$$

Moreover, in view of (2), (4),

$$(10) \quad p_{j0}(1) \geq q_m \{f(0)\}^{\max(0, j-m)} > 0, \quad j \in \mathfrak{N}.$$

Therefore

$$(11) \quad p_{jr}(k_r + 1) \geq p_{j0}(1)p_{0r}(k_r) > 0, \quad j, r \in \mathfrak{N}.$$

Corollary. *For $r \in \mathfrak{N}$,*

$$(12) \quad 0 < G_r(1) < \infty.$$

PROOF. According to Lemma 2 the state 0 is attainable from any state $r \in \mathfrak{N}$. Hence (see [16]),

$$G_r(1) < \infty, \quad r \in \mathfrak{N}.$$

In turn, from (11) for $j = 0$ it evidently follows that

$$G_r(1) > 0, \quad r \in \mathfrak{N}.$$

Lemma 3. *The generating functions $\Psi_n(s)$, $0 \leq s \leq 1$, are connected by the recurrence relation*

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} \Psi_{n+1}(s) = & \Psi_n(f(s))g(f(s)) + \sum_{k=1}^m q_k \left[\Psi_n(f(s)) - \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} p_{0r}(n)f^r(s) \right] f^{-k}(s) \\ & + \sum_{k=1}^m q_k \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} p_{0r}(n), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, \quad \Psi_0(s) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. Let \mathfrak{M} be the set of $r \in \{-m, \dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots\}$ such that $\mathbf{P}\{\zeta_0 = r\} > 0$. Taking into account the independence of the $\xi_i^{(k)}$, ζ_k , $i = 1, 2, \dots$, $k = 0, 1, \dots$, we have, for any $r \in \mathfrak{M}$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$,

$$\mathbf{E}(s^{Z_{n+1}} | \zeta_n = r) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{E}s^{\xi_1^{(n)} + \dots + \xi_{n+r}^{(n)}}, & r > 0, \\ \sum_{k=-r+1}^{\infty} p_{0k}(n) \mathbf{E}s^{\xi_1^{(n)} + \dots + \xi_{k+r}^{(n)}} + \sum_{k=0}^{-r} p_{0k}(n), & r = -m, \dots, 0. \end{cases}$$

Hence, for $r \in \mathfrak{M}$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$,

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mathbf{E}(s^{Z_{n+1}} | \zeta_n = r) \\ & = \begin{cases} \Psi_n(f(s))f^r(s), & r \geq 0, \\ \left[\Psi_n(f(s)) - \sum_{k=0}^{-r-1} p_{0k}(n)f^k(s) \right] f^r(s) + \sum_{k=0}^{-r-1} p_{0k}(n), & -m \leq r < 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Since, for $0 \leq s \leq 1$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$,

$$\Psi_{n+1}(s) = \mathbf{E}s^{Z_{n+1}} = \sum_{r \in \mathfrak{M}} \mathbf{E}(s^{Z_{n+1}} | \zeta_n = r) \mathbf{P}\{\zeta_n = r\},$$

it is not difficult to see that (13) follows from (14). The lemma is proved.

We set

$$g_1(s) = g(s) + \sum_{k=1}^m q_k s^{-k}, \quad \kappa_r(s) = \sum_{k=r+1}^m q_k (s^{r-k} - 1),$$

where $0 < s \leq 1, r = 0, \dots, m - 1$. Obviously, for $0 < s \leq 1$,

$$(15) \quad 0 < g_1(s) < \infty, \quad 0 \leq \kappa_r(s) < \infty, \quad r = 0, \dots, m - 1.$$

Moreover, by virtue of (1) we have (see [17], Chap. I, § 8)

$$f_n(s) \geq f(s) \geq f(0), \quad 0 \leq s \leq 1, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Hence, taking (2), (15) into account, we obtain

$$(16) \quad 0 < g_1(f_n(s)) < \infty, \quad 0 \leq \kappa_r(f_n(s)) < \infty,$$

where $0 \leq s \leq 1, r = 0, \dots, m - 1$ and $n = 1, 2, \dots$.

Lemma 4. For $0 \leq s \leq 1, n = 1, 2, \dots$,

$$(17) \quad \Psi_n(s) = c_n(s) - \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} \sum_{k=1}^n h_k^{(r)}(s) p_{0r}(n-k),$$

where $c_n(s) = g_1(f_1(s))g_1(f_2(s)) \cdots g_1(f_n(s))$,

$$h_n^{(r)}(s) = \kappa_r(f_n(s))c_{n-1}(s), \quad n > 1, \quad h_1^{(r)}(s) = \kappa_r(f(s)), \quad r = 0, \dots, m - 1.$$

PROOF. We represent (13) in the form

$$(18) \quad \begin{aligned} \Psi_{n+1}(s) &= \Psi_n(f(s))g_1(f(s)) - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \kappa_k(f(s))p_{0k}(n), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, \\ \Psi_0(s) &= 1, \quad 0 \leq s \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence (17) follows in an obvious way.

Lemma 5. For $0 \leq s < 1, n = 1, 2, \dots$,

$$(19) \quad c_n(s) = c(s) \left(1 - \frac{L}{(1-s)^{-1} + nB} \right) + \lambda_n(s),$$

$$(20) \quad h_n^{(r)}(s) = \frac{c(s)}{(1-s)^{-1} + nB} \sum_{k=r+1}^m q_k (k-r) + \lambda_n^{(r)}(s),$$

where

$$(21) \quad 0 < c(s) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} g_1(f_k(s)) < \infty, \quad L = \frac{g_1''(1-)}{2}, \quad B = \frac{f''(1-)}{2}$$

and as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$(22) \quad \lambda_n(s) = o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), \quad \lambda_n^{(r)}(s) = o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$$

uniformly in $0 \leq s < 1, r = 0, \dots, m - 1$.

PROOF. Since conditions (1)–(3) are fulfilled, we have for $0 \leq s < 1, n = 1, 2, \dots$ (see [6], [18])

$$(23) \quad f_n(s) = 1 + \frac{1 + \delta_n(s)}{(1-s)^{-1} + nB}, \quad \text{where } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq s < 1} \delta_n(s) = 0.$$

Further, since in view of (5), (6), $g'_1(1-) = 0$, $L = g''_1(1-)/2 < \infty$, we have

$$(24) \quad g_1(s) = 1 + L(s-1)^2 + o((s-1)^2), \quad s \rightarrow 1-.$$

From (23) it follows that, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$(25) \quad f_n^{-k}(s) = 1 + \frac{k}{(1-s)^{-1} + nB} + o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$$

uniformly in $0 \leq s < 1$, $k = 1, \dots, m$. Taking (23)–(25) into account, we conclude that uniformly in $0 \leq s < 1$

$$(26) \quad g_1(f_n(s)) = 1 + \frac{L}{((1-s)^{-1} + nB)^2} + o\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right),$$

$$(27) \quad \alpha_r(f_n(s)) = \frac{1}{(1-s)^{-1} + nB} \sum_{k=r+1}^m q_k(k-r) + o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right),$$

where $r = 0, \dots, m-1$, $n \rightarrow \infty$. Obviously (21) follows from (16), (26). Using (16), (26), we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_n(s) &= \prod_{k=1}^n g_1(f_k(s)) = c(s) \prod_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{g_1(f_k(s))} \\ &= c(s) \exp \left\{ - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \log \left(1 + \frac{L}{((1-s)^{-1} + kB)^2} + \varepsilon_k(s) \right) \right\} \\ &= c(s) \exp \left\{ - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{L}{((1-s)^{-1} + kB)^2} + \tilde{\varepsilon}_n(s) \right\} \\ &= c(s) \left(1 - \frac{L}{(1-s)^{-1} + nB} \right) + \lambda_n(s), \quad 0 \leq s < 1, \end{aligned}$$

where as $n \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly in $0 \leq s < 1$

$$\varepsilon_n(s) = o\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right), \quad \tilde{\varepsilon}_n(s) = o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), \quad \lambda_n(s) = o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right).$$

In turn, (20) follows from (19), (27). The lemma is proved.

We set $c_0 = 1$, $c_n = c_n(0)$, $h_n^{(r)} = h_n^{(r)}(0)$, $r = 0, \dots, m-1$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Let us introduce the generating functions

$$U(y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n y^n, \quad H_r(y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n^{(r)} y^n, \quad r = 0, \dots, m-1, \quad 0 \leq y < 1.$$

Using lemma 5, it is not difficult to show that the following lemma holds.

Lemma 6. For $0 \leq y < 1$,

$$(28) \quad U(y) = \frac{c}{1-y} + \frac{cL}{B} \log(1-y) + \alpha(y),$$

$$(29) \quad H_r(y) = \frac{c}{B} \log \frac{1}{1-y} \sum_{k=r+1}^m q_k(k-r) + \alpha_r(y),$$

where $0 < c < \infty$, $\alpha(y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_n y^n$, $\alpha_r(y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{(r)} y^n$, and as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$(30) \quad \lambda_n = o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right),$$

$$(31) \quad \lambda_n^{(r)} = o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), \quad r = 0, \dots, m-1.$$

We set

$$H(y) = 1 + H_0(y) + \sum_{r=1}^{m-1} G_r(y)H_r(y), \quad 0 \leq y < 1.$$

We agree to say that $\sum_{r=1}^{m-1} \cdot = 0$, if $m = 1$.

Lemma 7. For $0 \leq y < 1$,

$$(32) \quad W_0(y)H(y) = U(y).$$

PROOF. After multiplying both sides of (17) by y^n , $0 < y < 1$, and summing over n for $s = 0$, we obtain

$$(33) \quad W_0(y)(H_0(y) + 1) + \sum_{r=1}^{m-1} W_{0r}(y)H_r(y) = U(y).$$

Further, for $0 \neq r \in \mathfrak{N}$ we have (see [16])

$$(34) \quad p_{0r}(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} p_{00}(k) p_{0r}(n-k), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Hence, $W_{0r}(y) = W_0(y)G_r(y)$, $0 \leq y < 1$, $0 \neq r \in \mathfrak{N}$. The assertion of the lemma now follows from (33).

Lemma 8. For $\frac{1}{2} \leq y < 1$,

$$(35) \quad G'_r(y) \leq b_r G'_0(y),$$

$$(36) \quad G''_r(y) \leq b_r G''_0(y),$$

where $0 < b_r < \infty$, $r \in \mathfrak{N}$.

PROOF. Obviously, ${}_0p_{0r}(n) {}_0p_{r0}(1) \leq {}_0p_{00}(n+1)$, $0 \neq r \in \mathfrak{N}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Hence, taking (10) into account, we obtain

$$(37) \quad {}_0p_{0r}(n) \leq \frac{{}_0p_{00}(n+1)}{{}_0p_{r0}(1)} = \frac{{}_0p_{00}(n+1)}{{}_0p_{r0}(1)}, \quad 0 \neq r \in \mathfrak{N}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Hence (35), (36) easily follow. The lemma is proved.

We set

$$(38) \quad A_0 = B \left(\sum_{k=1}^m k q_k + \sum_{r=1}^{m-1} G_r(1) \sum_{k=r+1}^m (k-r) q_k \right)^{-1}.$$

By virtue of (3), (4), (7), (12),

$$(39) \quad 0 < A_0 < \infty.$$

Lemma 9. As $y \rightarrow 1-$,

$$(40) \quad W_0(y) \sim \frac{A_0}{(1-y) \log((1-y)^{-1})},$$

$$(41) \quad G'_0(y) \sim \frac{1}{A_0} \log \frac{1}{1-y}.$$

PROOF. Using (28)–(31) and Lemma 1, it is not difficult to see that (40) follows from (32). Further (see [16]),

$$(42) \quad G_0(y) = 1 - \frac{1}{W_0(y)}, \quad 0 \leq y < 1.$$

Since $U(y) > 0$, $0 \leq y < 1$, we have, in view of (32), (42),

$$(43) \quad G'_0(y) = -\frac{H'(y)}{U(y)} + \frac{H(y)U'(y)}{U^2(y)}, \quad 0 \leq y < 1.$$

Taking (12), (28)–(31), (35) and Lemma 1 into account, we deduce that, as $y \rightarrow 1-$,

$$(44) \quad \frac{H'(y)}{U(y)} = o(G'_0(y)),$$

$$(45) \quad \frac{H(y)U'(y)}{U^2(y)} \sim \frac{1}{A_0} \log \frac{1}{1-y}.$$

From (43)–(45) follows (41).

Lemma 10. As $y \rightarrow 1-$,

$$G''_0(y) \sim \frac{1}{A_0(1-y)}.$$

PROOF. By differentiating (43) with respect to y , we obtain

$$(46) \quad G''_0(y) = -\frac{H''(y)}{U(y)} + 2H'(y) \frac{U'(y)}{U^2(y)} - \left(\frac{1}{U(y)}\right)'' H(y), \quad 0 \leq y < 1.$$

Using (12), (28)–(31) and Lemma 1, it is not difficult to show that

$$(47) \quad \left(\frac{1}{U(y)}\right)'' H(y) = O\left(\log^2 \frac{1}{1-y}\right), \quad y \rightarrow 1-.$$

Moreover, taking (12), (28)–(31) and Lemma 1 into account, we have

$$(48) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{2U'(y)}{U^2(y)} \left[H'_0(y) + \sum_{r=1}^{m-1} G_r(y)H'_r(y) \right] \\ & - \frac{1}{U(y)} \left[H''_0(y) + \sum_{r=1}^{m-1} G_r(y)H''_r(y) \right] = \frac{1+o(1)}{A_0(1-y)}, \quad y \rightarrow 1-. \end{aligned}$$

In view of (28)–(31), (35), (36), (41) and Lemma 1, we conclude that, as $y \rightarrow 1-$,

$$(49) \quad \frac{U'(y)}{U^2(y)} \sum_{r=1}^{m-1} G'_r(y)H_r(y) = O\left(\log^2 \frac{1}{1-y}\right),$$

$$(50) \quad \frac{1}{U(y)} \sum_{r=1}^{m-1} G_r'(y) H_r'(y) = O\left(\log \frac{1}{1-y}\right),$$

$$(51) \quad \frac{1}{U(y)} \sum_{r=1}^{m-1} G_r''(y) H_r(y) = o(G_0''(y)).$$

The assertion of the lemma follows from (46)–(51).

3. Proof of Theorem 1

In view of a Tauberian theorem (see [19], p. ch. XIII, § 5, ff.), we have from (41)

$$(52) \quad \sum_{k=1}^n k_0 p_{00}(k) \sim \frac{1}{A_0} \log n, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

It is not difficult to see that

$$(53) \quad \frac{1-G_0(y)}{1-y} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F(n)y^n, \quad 0 \leq y < 1.$$

Moreover, according to (40) and (42)

$$(54) \quad \frac{1-G_0(y)}{1-y} = \frac{1}{(1-y)W_0(y)} \sim \frac{1}{A_0} \log \frac{1}{1-y}, \quad y \rightarrow 1-.$$

Using (53), (54) and the Tauberian theorem, we obtain

$$(55) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n F(k) \sim \frac{1}{A_0} \log n, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

But, for $n=1, 2, \dots$,

$$(56) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n F(k) = \sum_{k=1}^n {}_0p_{00}(k)k + (n+1)F(n).$$

From (52), (55) and (56) it follows that

$$(57) \quad F(n) = o\left(\frac{\log n}{n}\right), \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Taking (52), Lemma 10 and the Tauberian theorem into account, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^n k^2 {}_0p_{00}(k) \sim \frac{1}{A_0} n, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence it follows (see [19], ch. VIII, § 9) that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(n)n = \mu$ exists, where $0 < \mu \leq \infty$. We shall show that $\mu < \infty$. In fact, if $\mu = \infty$, then $F(x)$ is slowly varying as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore in view of a known representation for a slowly-varying function (see [19], p. 282), we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(n)n}{\log n} = \infty,$$

which contradicts (57). Thus $F(n) \sim \mu/n$, $n \rightarrow \infty$, where $0 < \mu < \infty$. Taking (55) into account, we conclude that $\mu = 1/A_0$.

4. Proof of Theorem 2

By using Theorem 1 and Erickson’s result (see [20], p. 266), we obtain

$$p_{00}(n) \sim \left(\sum_{k=0}^n F(k) \right)^{-1}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore, in view of (55),

$$(58) \quad p_{00}(n) \sim \frac{A_0}{\log n}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

According to (34) we have

$$(59) \quad \begin{aligned} p_{0r}(n) &= \sum_{k=1}^n {}_0p_{0r}(k)p_{00}(n-k) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{[n/2]} {}_0p_{0r}(k)p_{00}(n-k) + \sum_{k=[n/2]+1}^n {}_0p_{0r}(k)p_{00}(n-k), \quad n > 1, r \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

By virtue of (37) and Theorem 1,

$$(60) \quad \sum_{k=[n/2]+1}^n {}_0p_{0r}(k)p_{00}(n-k) = O\left(\sum_{k=[n/2]}^n {}_0p_{0r}(k) \right) = O\left(\frac{1}{n} \right), \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

As a consequence of (58),

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_{00}(n-k)}{p_{00}(n)} = 1.$$

uniformly in $0 \leq k \leq n/2$. Taking (12) into account, we obtain

$$(61) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{[n/2]} {}_0p_{0r}(k)p_{00}(n-k) = p_{00}(n)G_r(1)(1 + o(1)), \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

From (58)–(61) it follows that $p_{0r}(n) \sim A_r/\log n, n \rightarrow \infty$, where

$$(62) \quad A_r = G_r(1)A_0, \quad r \in \mathfrak{N}.$$

In view of (12), (39) we obtain $0 < A_r < \infty, r \in \mathfrak{N}$.

5. Proof of Theorem 3

By differentiating (18) with respect to s and taking (1), (5) into account, we obtain $\Psi'_0(1-) = 0$,

$$\Psi'_{n+1}(1-) = \Psi'_n(1-) + \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} p_{0r}(n) \sum_{k=r+1}^m (k-r)q_k, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

Hence, for $n = 1, 2, \dots$,

$$(63) \quad \mathbf{E}Z_n = \Psi'_n(1-) = \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} \left(\sum_{u=1}^{m-r} uq_{r+u} \right) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} p_{0r}(k).$$

By virtue of (38), (62) and Theorem 2,

$$(64) \quad \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} p_{0r}(n) \sum_{k=r+1}^m (k-r)q_k \sim \frac{B}{\log n}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Obviously, (8) follows from (63), (64).

Differentiating relation (18) twice and taking (1), (3), (5) and (6) into account, we have $\Psi''_0(1-) = 0$, while for $n \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi''_{n+1}(1-) &= \Psi''_n(1-) + \Psi'_n(1-)f''(1-) + g''_1(1-) - \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} p_{0r}(n) \\ &\times \sum_{k=r+1}^m (k-r)(k-r+1)q_k + f''(1-) \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} p_{0r}(n) \sum_{k=r+1}^m (k-r)q_k. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we deduce from (63), (64) and Theorem 2 that

$$(65) \quad \Psi''_n(1-) \sim 2B^2 \frac{n^2}{\log n}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since

$$\text{Var } Z_n = \Psi''_n(1-) + \mathbf{E}Z_n - (\mathbf{E}Z_n)^2,$$

(9) follows from (8), (65).

6. Proof of Theorem 4

Let us consider the Laplace transform

$$\mathbf{E} \exp(-Z_n t/n^x) = \Psi_n(\exp(-t/n^x)), \quad t \in [0, \infty), n = 1, \dots$$

for arbitrary $x \in [0, 1]$. Setting $s = \exp(-t/n^x)$ in (17) and using (19)–(22) and (64) we obtain, for $t > 0$, $x \in [0, 1]$, and as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$(66) \quad \begin{aligned} \Psi_n(\exp(-t/n^x)) &= c(\exp(-t/n^x)) \\ &- c(e^{-t/n^x}) \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left(\left[\frac{1}{1 - e^{-t/n^x}} + kB \right]^{-1} + \gamma_k \right) \left(\frac{B}{\log(n-k)} + d_{n-k} \right) + o(1), \end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma_k = o(1/k)$, $d_k = o(1/\log k)$, $k \rightarrow \infty$. Since

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 1-} g_1(f_k(s)) = g_1(1-) = 1, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots,$$

we have, by taking (26) into account, for $x \in (0, 1]$, $t \geq 0$,

$$(67) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n(\exp(-t/n^x)) = 1.$$

With the aid of a formula for the partial sum of a harmonic series (see [21], p. 270), it is not difficult to show that

$$(68) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{[n/2]} \left[\frac{1}{(1 - e^{-t/n^x})B} + k \right]^{-1} \frac{1}{\log(n-k)} = 1 - x, \quad x \in [0, 1], t > 0.$$

Moreover,

$$(69) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=[n/2]+1}^{n-1} \left[\frac{1}{(1 - e^{-t/n^x})B} + k \right]^{-1} \frac{1}{\log(n-k)} = 0, \quad x \in [0, 1], t > 0.$$

As a consequence of (68), (69), for $x \in [0, 1]$, $t > 0$,

$$(70) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left(\left[\frac{1}{1 - e^{-t/n^x}} + kB \right]^{-1} + \gamma_k \right) \left(\frac{B}{\log(n-k)} + d_{n-k} \right) = 1 - x.$$

From (66), (67) and (70) it follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Psi_n(\exp(-t/n^x)) = x, \quad x \in [0, 1], \quad t \in (0, \infty).$$

Hence, according to a continuity theorem (see [19], p. 481),

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P} \left\{ \frac{Z_n}{n^x} < \beta \right\} = x$$

for all $\beta > 0$, $x \in [0, 1]$. The assertion of the theorem obviously follows from this.

*Received by the editors
October 5, 1978*

REFERENCES

- [1] J. I. FOSTER, *A limit theorem for a branching process with state-dependent immigration*, Ann. Math. Statist., 42 (1971), pp. 1773–1776.
- [2] A. G. PAKES, *A branching process with a state dependent immigration component*, Adv. Appl. Prob., 3 (1971), pp. 301–304.
- [3] NAKAGAWA TETSUO AND SATO MASAMICHI, *A Galton–Watson process with state-dependent immigration*, Res. Repts. Nagaoka Tech. Coll., 9 (1974), pp. 177–182.
- [4] C. R. HEATHCOTE, *A branching process allowing immigration*, J. Roy. Statist. Soc. Ser. B, 27 (1965), pp. 138–143.
- [5] A. G. PAKES, *Further results on the critical Galton–Watson process with immigration*, J. Austral. Math. Soc., 13 (1972), pp. 277–290.
- [6] E. SENETA, *An explicit limit theorem for the critical Galton–Watson process with immigration*, J. Roy. Statist. Soc. Ser. B, 32 (1970), 149–152.
- [7] A. G. PAKES, *On the critical Galton–Watson process with immigration*, J. Austral. Math. Soc., 12 (1971), pp. 476–482.
- [8] A. G. PAKES, *Some new limit theorems for the critical branching process allowing immigration*, Stochastic Process. Appl., 4 (1976), pp. 175–185.
- [9] E. SENETA, *The stationary distribution of a branching process allowing immigration: A remark on the critical case*, J. Roy. Statist. Soc. Ser. B, 30 (1968), pp. 176–179.
- [10] A. M. ZUBKOV, *Life-periods of a branching process with immigration*, Theory Prob. Appl. 17 (1972), pp. 174–183.
- [11] V. A. VATUTIN, *A critical Galton–Watson branching process with emigration*, Theory Prob. Appl. 22 (1977), pp. 465–481.
- [12] F. T. BRUSS, *Branching process with random absorbing processes*, J. Appl. Probab., 15 (1978), pp. 54–64.
- [13] B. A. SEVAST'YANOV AND A. ZUBKOV, *Controlled branching processes*, Theory Prob. Appl., 19 (1974), pp. 14–24.
- [14] A. M. ZUBKOV, *Analogies between Galton–Watson processes and φ -branching processes*, Theory Prob. Appl., 19 (1974), pp. 309–331.
- [15] N. M. YANEV, *Conditions for degeneracy of φ -branching processes with random φ* , Theory Prob. Appl. 20 (1975), pp. 421–428.
- [16] K. L. CHUNG, *Markov Chains with Stationary Transition Probabilities* (Die Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften: Volume 104), Springer-Verlag, New York, 1967.
- [17] T. E. HARRIS, *Theory of Branching Processes*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1964.
- [18] H. KESTEN, P. NEY AND F. SPITZER, *The Galton–Watson branching process with mean one and finite variance*, Theory Prob. Appl. 11 (1966), pp. 513–540.
- [19] W. FELLER, *Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Applications*, Vol. 2, John Wiley, New York, 1971.
- [20] K. B. ERICKSON, *Strong renewal theorems with infinite mean*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 151 (1970), pp. 263–291.
- [21] G. M. FIKHTENGOL'TS, *A Course in Differential and Integral Calculus*, Vol. 2, Nauka, Moscow, 1969. (In Russian); *Differential- und Integralrechnung*, Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin, 1966. (German translation.)