

SOME LIMIT THEOREMS FOR LARGE DEVIATIONS

S. V. NAGAEV

(Translated by B. Seckler)

1. Introduction. Formulation of results

Let $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n, \dots$ be a sequence of identically distributed independent random variables with distribution function $F(x)$, $E\xi_i = 0$ and $D\xi_i = 1$, and let $F_n(x)$ be the distribution function of $\sum_{k=1}^n \xi_k$.

Of great importance is the study of the asymptotic behavior of $1 - F_n(x)$ and $F_n(-x)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $x/\sqrt{n} \rightarrow \infty$. A highly distinctive feature of this behavior is its dependence on both the rate of increase of x/\sqrt{n} and rate of decrease of $1 - F(x)$ ($F(-x)$).

The laws existing here can be described qualitatively as follows.

If x/\sqrt{n} does not increase very fast, then $1 - F_n(x)$ is approximated by $1 - \Phi(x/\sqrt{n})$ ([1], [2], [3]) or $\{1 - \Phi(x/\sqrt{n})\} \times \exp\{(x^3/n^2)\lambda^{[s]}(x/n)\}$, where $\Phi(u)$ is the normal distribution and $\lambda^{[s]}(u)$ is a segment of the so-called Cramér series consisting of its first s terms, the integer s depending on the rate of decrease of $1 - F(x)$, [1], [3], [4].

If $1 - F(x)$ decreases so fast that $\int_0^\infty e^{hx} dF(x) < \infty$ for all $h > 0$, then, under very broad assumptions concerning the decrease of $1 - F(x)$,

$$1 - F_n(x) \sim \frac{1}{H\left(\frac{x}{n}\right)} \sqrt{\frac{H'\left(\frac{x}{n}\right)}{2\pi n}} \exp\left\{-n \int_0^{x/n} H(u) du\right\},$$

where $x/n \rightarrow \infty$ and $H(u)$ is a certain function determined by $F(x)$, [5].

But if $\int_0^\infty e^{hx} dF(x) = \infty$ for all $h > 0$ and $1 - F(x)$ decreases sufficiently, then

$$(1.1) \quad 1 - F_n(x) \sim n(1 - F(x))$$

for $x > \varphi(F, n)$, where $\varphi(F, n)$ is a monotone increasing function of n (depending on F), [6].

As to an upper estimate for $1 - F_n(x)$, it can be obtained under very general assumptions; namely, in this paper we proved the following

Theorem 1. *If $c_m = E|\xi_i|^m < \infty$, $m > 2$, then for x and y positive,*

$$(1.2) \quad 1 - F_n(x) > n(1 - F(y)) + \exp\left\{2n \left[\frac{m \log y - \log(nc_m K_m)}{y}\right]^2 + 1\right\} \left[\frac{nc_m K_m}{y_m}\right]^{x/y},$$

where

$$K_m = 1 + (m + 1)^{m+2} e^{-m}.$$

An analogous assertion holds for $F(-x)$.

We now state two corollaries to Theorem 1.

Corollary 1. *If $c_m < \infty$, $m > 2$, then for $x > k(c_m K_m)^{1/m} \sqrt{n} \log n$, $n \geq 3$ and $k \geq 1$,*

$$1 - F_n(x) < n \left(1 - F\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) \right) + \exp \left\{ 2k^2 m^2 \left(\frac{1}{e} + \frac{1}{2K_m^{1/m}} \right)^2 + 1 \right\} \left[\frac{nc_m k^m K_m}{x^m} \right]^k.$$

Setting $y = x/2$ in (1.2) if $n^{m/2-1}/K_m c_m \geq e$ and $y = x$ if $n^{m/2-1}/K_m c_m < e$ but $x^m > c_m n K_m$ (the case $x^m < c_m n K_m$ is trivial), we obtain

Corollary 2. *If $c_m < \infty$, $m > 2$, then*

$$(1.3) \quad 1 - F_n(x) < \frac{B_m c_m n}{x^m}$$

for

$$x > 4 \sqrt{n \max \left[\log \frac{n^{m/2-1}}{K_m c_m}, 0 \right]},$$

where B_m is an absolute constant depending only on m .

The estimate (1.3) is a generalization of the inequality $1 - F_n(x) < n/x^2$.

In addition, an estimate is derived in the paper for $F_n(x) - \Phi(x/\sqrt{n})$ which is optimum in the sense of dependence on x .

Theorem 3. *If $c_3 < \infty$, then there exists an absolute constant L such that*

$$(1.4) \quad |F_n(x\sqrt{n}) - \Phi(x)| < \frac{Lc_3}{\sqrt{n}(1+|x|^3)}.$$

It follows immediately from (1.1) that the power of $|x|$ in (1.4) cannot be replaced by a higher one.

The methods applied in the proof of Theorems 1 and 2 permit us to sharpen the known results of Yu. V. Linnik [2] and V. V. Petrov [4].

Let $g(x)$ be a continuous function with a monotone decreasing continuous derivative which satisfies the conditions

$$(1.5) \quad 0 < g'(x) < \frac{\alpha g(x)}{x}, \quad \alpha < 1, \quad x > B(g),$$

and

$$(1.6) \quad g(x) > \rho(x) \log x,$$

where $\rho(x)$ is a function which approaches infinity in an arbitrarily slow manner as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

Let $\lambda(n)$ be a solution of the equation $x^2 = ng(x)$.

Theorem 3. *The condition*

$$(1.7) \quad \mathbf{E} \exp \{g(|\xi_1|)\} < \infty$$

is sufficient in order that

$$(1.8) \quad \frac{F_n(-x)}{\Phi\left(-\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}\right)} = \exp\left\{-\frac{x^3}{n^2} \lambda^{[\alpha/(1-\alpha)]}\left(-\frac{x}{n}\right)\right\} (1+o(1)),$$

$$\frac{1-F_n(x)}{1-\Phi\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}\right)} = \exp\left\{\frac{x^3}{n^2} \lambda^{[\alpha/(1-\alpha)]}\left(\frac{x}{n}\right)\right\} (1+o(1)),$$

for $0 \leq x \leq \Lambda(n)$, where $\lambda^{[\alpha/(1-\alpha)]}(u)$ is the segment of the first $\alpha/(1-\alpha)$ terms of the Cramér series (for $\alpha < \frac{1}{2}$, $\lambda^{[\alpha/(1-\alpha)]}(u) \equiv 0$), and necessary in order that (1.8) hold for $0 \leq x \leq 2\Lambda(n)$.

It is not hard to see that the class of functions $g(x)$ satisfying (1.5) with $\alpha < \frac{1}{2}$ and (1.6) contains the classes I and II introduced by Yu. V. Linnik [2].

Theorem 4. *The condition*

$$(1.9) \quad \mathbf{E}|\xi_1|^m < \infty$$

is sufficient in order that

$$(1.10) \quad \frac{F_n(-x)}{\Phi\left(-\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}\right)} \rightarrow 1, \quad \frac{1-F_n(x)}{1-\Phi\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}\right)} \rightarrow 1,$$

for $0 \leq x \leq \sqrt{(m/2-1)n \log n}$, and necessary in order that (1.10) hold for $0 \leq x \leq \sqrt{(m+1)n \log n}$.

The methods developed in the theory of large deviations turn out to be useful also in proving global limit theorems, [7], [11]–[14] (the latter may be, by the way, regarded as special forms of theorems on large deviations).

Theorem 5. *Let $c_3 < \infty$ and let there exist a subscript n_0 such that $F_{n_0}(x)$ has an absolutely continuous component. Then*

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| p_n(x) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-x^2/2} \right| |x|^3 dx = \frac{|\alpha_3|}{6\sqrt{2\pi n}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^4 |x^2 - 3| e^{-x^2/2} dx + o\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right),$$

where $p_n(x) = \sqrt{n} F'_n(x\sqrt{n})$ and $\alpha_3 = \mathbf{E}\xi_1^3$.

The author is grateful to B. A. Rogozin for his careful reading of the manuscript and his valuable comments.

2. Proof of Theorem 1

There is no loss of generality in assuming that $y > (nc_m K_m)^{1/m}$. Set

$$F^{(y)}(x) = \begin{cases} F(x), & x \leq y, \\ F(y), & x > y. \end{cases}$$

Let $F_n^{(y)}(x)$ be the n -fold convolution of $F^{(y)}(x)$,

$$F_{nh}^{(y)}(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x e^{hu} dF_n^{(y)}(u), \quad F_h^{(y)}(x) = F_{1h}^{(y)}(x).$$

Evidently,

$$(2.1) \quad F_n(x) - F_n^{(y)}(x) \leq n(1 - F(y))$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad F_n^{(y)}(\infty) - F_n^{(y)}(x) = \int_x^\infty e^{-hu} dF_{nh}(u) = R^n(y, h) \int_x^\infty e^{-hu} d\bar{F}_{nh}^{(y)}(u),$$

where

$$R(y, h) = \int_{-\infty}^y e^{hu} dF(u), \quad F_{nh}^{(y)}(u) = \frac{\bar{F}_{nh}^{(y)}(u)}{R^n(y, h)}.$$

Set

$$R_1(h) = \int_{-\infty}^{1/h} e^{hu} dF(u), \quad R_2(y, h) = \int_{1/h}^y e^{hu} dF(u).$$

Evidently,

$$R_1(h) = \int_{-\infty}^{1/h} dF(u) - h \int_{1/h}^\infty u dF(u) + \frac{h^2}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{1/h} e^{h\theta(u)} u^2 dF(u), \quad 0 \leq \frac{\theta(u)}{u} \leq 1,$$

$$1 - \int_{-\infty}^{1/h} dF(u) < h^2 \int_{-\infty}^\infty u^2 dF(u) = h^2, \quad \int_{1/h}^\infty u dF(u) < h.$$

Hence,

$$(2.3) \quad |R_1(h) - 1| < 2h^2.$$

Further,

$$(2.4) \quad R_2(y, h) = [1 - F(u)] e^{hu} \Big|_{y^{1/h} + h}^y \int_{1/h}^y [1 - F(u)] e^{hu} du.$$

Clearly,

$$\int_{1/h}^y [1 - F(u)] e^{hu} du < c_m \int_{1/h}^y \frac{e^{hu}}{u^m} du = c_m h^{m-1} \int_1^{yh} \frac{e^u}{u^m} du,$$

$$\int_1^v \frac{e^u}{u^m} du = \frac{e^u}{u^m} \Big|_1^v + m \int_1^v \frac{e^u}{u^{m+1}} du < \frac{e^v}{v^m} + mv \max \left[\frac{e^v}{v^{m+1}}, e \right]$$

$$= \frac{e^v}{v^m} \left[1 + m \max \left[1, \frac{v^{m+1}}{e^{v-1}} \right] \right] < \left[1 + \frac{m(m+1)^{m+1}}{e^m} \right] \frac{e^v}{v^m}.$$

Thus

$$(2.5) \quad h \int_{1/h}^y [1 - F(u)] e^{hu} du < \left[1 + \frac{m(m+1)^{m+1}}{e^m} \right] c_m \frac{e^{hy}}{y^m}.$$

Clearly,

$$(2.6) \quad 1 - F\left(\frac{1}{h}\right) < c_m h^m < c_m \left(\frac{m}{ey}\right)^m e^{hy}.$$

It follows from (2.4)–(2.6) that

$$(2.7) \quad R_2(y, h) < \left[1 + \frac{(m+1)^{m+2}}{e^m} \right] \frac{e^{hy} c_m}{y^m}.$$

Let $h_m(y)$ be the solution of the equation

$$(2.8) \quad nK_m c_m e^{hy} = y^m,$$

with $K_m = 1 + (m+1)^{m+2}/e^m$. Clearly,

$$(2.9) \quad h_m(y) = \frac{m \log y - \log(nK_m c_m)}{y}.$$

If $h_m(y) \geq y^{-1}$, then on setting $h = h_m(y)$ in (2.2) and using the estimates (2.1), (2.3) and (2.7), we deduce the assertion of the theorem. If $h_m(y) < y^{-1}$, one must consider $F^{(1/h_m(y))}(u)$ instead of $F^{(y)}(u)$.

3. Proof of Theorem 2

We shall use the notations introduced in the proof of Theorem 1 without specific mention and we shall confine ourselves to the case $x > 0$, since the proof is completely analogous for the case $x < 0$.

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $x > \sqrt{n}$.

Consider first the case $\sqrt{n} > c_3 N_3 \exp\{e^3/3\}$, where $N_3 = 6^3 K_3$. Clearly,

$$(3.1) \quad F_n^{(y)}(x) = \Phi_h(x) + \Psi_h^{(y)}(x),$$

where

$$\Phi_h(x) = \begin{cases} \int_{-\infty}^{xx} e^{hu} dF(u), & x \leq \frac{1}{h}, \\ \int_{-\infty}^{1/h} e^{hu} dF(u), & x > \frac{1}{h}, \end{cases} \quad \Psi_h^{(y)}(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \leq \frac{1}{h}, \\ \int_{1/h}^x e^{hu} dF^{(y)}(u), & x > \frac{1}{h}. \end{cases}$$

Let $\Phi_{nh}(x)$ be the n -fold convolution of $\Phi_h(x)$. From (3.1), it follows that

$$(3.2) \quad F_{nh}^{(y)}(x) - \Phi_{nh}(x) < nR^{n-1}(y, h)R_2(y, h).$$

We observe at once that $F_{nh}^{(y)}(x) - \Phi_{nh}(x)$ is monotone increasing with increasing x . Further,

$$(3.3) \quad \int_x^\infty e^{-hu} d\Phi_{nh}(u) = R_1^n(h)e^{-hx} \int_0^\infty e^{-h\sigma(h)\sqrt{nu}} d\bar{\Phi}_{nh}(u),$$

where

$$\sigma^2(h) = \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{1/h} x^2 e^{hx} dF(x)}{R_1(h)} - \left[\frac{\int_{-\infty}^{1/h} x e^{hx} dF(x)}{R_1(h)} \right]^2,$$

$$\bar{\Phi}_{nh}(u) = \frac{\Phi_{nh}(x + u\sigma(h)\sqrt{n})}{R_1^n(h)}.$$

Set

$$\bar{R}_1(h) = \int_{-\infty}^{1/h} x e^{hx} dF(x), \quad \bar{R}_1(h) = \int_{-\infty}^{1/h} x^2 e^{hx} dF(x), \quad m(h) = \frac{\bar{R}_1(h)}{R_1(h)}.$$

Clearly,

$$(3.4) \quad \bar{R}_1(h) = - \int_{1/h}^\infty u dF(u) + h \int_{-\infty}^{1/h} u^2 dF(u) + \frac{h^2}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{1/h} u^3 e^{h\theta(u)} dF(u), \quad 0 \leq \frac{\theta(u)}{u} \leq 1,$$

$$(3.5) \quad 1 - \int_{-\infty}^{1/h} u^2 dF(u) < c_3 h, \quad \int_{1/h}^\infty u dF(u) < c_3 h^2.$$

From (3.4) and (3.5), it follows that $|\bar{R}_1(h) - h| < 4c_3 h^2$. Hence, employing (2.3), we conclude that

$$(3.6) \quad |\bar{R}_1(h) - hR_1(h)| < 4c_3 h^2 + 2h^3.$$

Clearly, $m(h) - h = [\bar{R}_1(h) - hR_1(h)]/R_1(h)$. From (2.3) and (3.6) it follows that

$$(3.7) \quad |m(h) - h| < (8c_3 + 2)h^2, \quad h \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Clearly,

$$(3.8) \quad \sigma^2(h) - 1 = \frac{\bar{\bar{R}}_1(h) - R_1(h)}{R_1(h)} - \frac{\bar{R}_1^2(h)}{R_1^2(h)}.$$

From

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{R}_1(h) &= - \int_{1/h}^{\infty} u dF(u) + h \int_{-\infty}^{1/h} u^2 e^{h\theta(u)} dF(u), \\ \bar{\bar{R}}_1(h) &= \int_{-\infty}^{1/h} u^2 dF(u) + h \int_{-\infty}^{1/h} u^3 e^{h\theta(u)} dF(u), \quad 0 \leq \frac{\theta(u)}{u} \leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

we conclude that $|\bar{R}_1(h)| < eh$ and $|\bar{R}_1(h) - 1| < (e + 1)c_3 h$. The last inequality and (2.3) imply that $|\bar{\bar{R}}_1(h) - R_1(h)| < (e + 1)c_3 h + 2h^2$. Now by (3.8) and (2.3), we have

$$(3.9) \quad |\sigma^2(h) - 1| < 2[(e + 1)c_3 + e^2 + 1]h$$

for $h < \frac{1}{2}$.

Let A be the set of values of the function $m(h)$, $h > 0$. Consider the equation $u = m(h)$, with $u \in A$. Owing to (3.7),

$$(3.10) \quad h < 2m(h) \text{ for } h < 1/2a,$$

where $a = 8c_3 + 2$. Therefore, for any $u < 1/4a$, $u \in A$, the equation $u = m(h)$ has a solution $h(u)$ such that

$$(3.11) \quad |h(u) - u| < 4au^2.$$

Consider now the values $x < n/4a$, $x/n \in A$, for which

$$(3.12) \quad h_3\left(\frac{x}{6}\right) > \frac{2x}{n}.$$

For such x , clearly, $h_3(x/6) > h(x/n)$. It is not hard to see that

$$(3.13) \quad \left| R_1(h) - 1 - \frac{h^2}{2} \right| < 3c_3 h^3.$$

By (3.10),

$$(3.14) \quad h\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) < 2\frac{x}{n}.$$

Therefore,

$$(3.15) \quad \left| h^2\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) - \frac{x^2}{n^2} \right| < 12a\frac{x^3}{n^3}.$$

Thus,

$$(3.16) \quad \left| R_1\left(h\left(\frac{x}{n}\right)\right) - 1 - \frac{x^2}{2n^2} \right| < (24c_3 + 6a)\frac{x^3}{n^3} < 9a\frac{x^3}{n^3}.$$

Hence, using the estimate $nR_2(y, h_3(y)) < 1$, we obtain

$$(3.17) \quad R^n \left(\frac{x}{6}, h \left(\frac{x}{n} \right) \right) < \left[R_1 \left(h \left(\frac{x}{n} \right) \right) + R_2 \left(\frac{x}{6}, h_3 \left(\frac{x}{6} \right) \right) \right]^n \\ < \exp \left\{ 1 + \frac{x^2}{2n} + 9a \frac{x^3}{n^2} \right\}.$$

By virtue of (3.7) and (3.11),

$$(3.18) \quad R_2 \left(\frac{x}{6}, h \left(\frac{x}{n} \right) \right) < \frac{N_3 c_3}{x^3} \exp \left\{ \frac{x^2}{6n} + \frac{3}{2} a \frac{x^3}{n^2} \right\}.$$

Because of the monotonicity of $F_{nh}^{(y)}(u) - \Phi_{nh}(u)$, (3.2), (3.17) and (3.18) imply

$$(3.19) \quad \int_x e^{-h(x/n)u} d[F_{nh(x/n)}^{(x/6)}(u) - \Phi_{nh(x/n)}(u)] < \frac{N_3 c_3 n}{x^3} \exp \left\{ 1 - \frac{x^2}{3n} + 10a \frac{x^3}{n^2} \right\}.$$

Employing Esseen's improvement of Lyapunov's theorem, we have

$$(3.20) \quad \left| \int_0^\infty e^{-h\sigma(h)\sqrt{nu}} d\bar{\Phi}_{nh}(u) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^\infty e^{-h\sigma(h)\sqrt{nu} - \frac{1}{2}u^2} du \right| < \frac{Cc_3(h)}{\sqrt{na^3/2}(h)}$$

for $h = h(x/n)$, where C is an absolute constant and

$$c_3(h) = \int_{-\infty}^{1/h} |u|^3 e^{hu} dF(u)/R_1(h).$$

Clearly,

$$(3.21) \quad c_3(h) < ec_3, \quad h < \frac{1}{6c_3}.$$

By (3.9) and (3.14),

$$(3.22) \quad \sigma^2 \left(h \left(\frac{x}{n} \right) \right) > \frac{1}{2}$$

for $x < n/12a$. Further, on account of (3.9), (3.11), and (3.14),

$$(3.23) \quad \left| h\sigma(h) - \frac{x}{n} \right| < \left| h - \frac{x}{n} \right| + h|\sigma(h) - 1| \\ < \{4a + 8[(e+1)c_3 + e^2 + 1]\} \frac{x^2}{n^2} < 16a \frac{x^2}{n^2}$$

for $h = h(x/n)$.

Therefore,

$$(3.24) \quad \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^\infty \exp \left\{ -h\sigma(h)\sqrt{nu} - \frac{u^2}{2} \right\} du - \exp \left\{ \frac{x^2}{2n} \right\} \left(1 - \Phi \left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \right) \right| \\ \leq \sqrt{n} \left| h\sigma(h) - \frac{x}{n} \right| \left| \sup_{v \geq 0} \frac{d}{dv} \left[\exp \left\{ \frac{v^2}{2} \right\} (1 - \Phi(v)) \right] \right| < 16 \frac{x^2 a}{n^{3/2}}, \quad h = h \left(\frac{x}{n} \right).$$

We have here made use of the estimate

$$\left| \frac{d}{dv} \left[\exp \left(\frac{v^2}{2} \right) (1 - \Phi(v)) \right] \right| < 1/\sqrt{2\pi} < 1,$$

which can be deduced by straightforward differentiation.

Now, by (2.3),

$$(3.25) \quad \left| \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} [1 - R_1(h)]^k \right| < \frac{2h^4}{1 - 2h^2}, \quad 2h^2 < 1.$$

From (3.13) and (3.25), we conclude

$$(3.26) \quad \left| \log R_1(h) - \frac{h^2}{2} \right| < 5c_3 h^3, \quad h < \frac{1}{2}.$$

In view of (3.10),

$$(3.27) \quad \left| \frac{h^2}{2} - \frac{hx}{n} + \frac{x^2}{2n^2} \right| < 8a^2 \frac{x^4}{n^4} < 2a \frac{x^3}{n^3}, \quad h = h \left(\frac{x}{n} \right).$$

From (3.26), (3.27), and (3.14), it follows that

$$(3.28) \quad \left| n \log R_1(h) - hx + \frac{x^2}{2n} \right| < 7a \frac{x^3}{n^2}, \quad h = h \left(\frac{x}{n} \right).$$

By (3.28)

$$(3.29) \quad hx - n \log R_1(h) > \frac{x^2}{4n}, \quad h = h \left(\frac{x}{n} \right),$$

for $x < n/28a$. From (3.20)–(3.22), (3.24), (3.28) and (3.29), we conclude that

$$(3.30) \quad \left| R_1^n(h) e^{-hx} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-h\sigma(h)\sqrt{nu}} d\Phi_{nh}(u) - \left(1 - \Phi \left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \right) \right| < e^{-x^2/4n} \left(\frac{3Cec_3}{\sqrt{n}} + 16a \frac{x^2}{n^{3/2}} \right) + (e^{7a(x^3/n^2)} - 1) \left(1 - \Phi \left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \right), \quad h = h \left(\frac{x}{n} \right),$$

for $x < n/28a$. If we set $x = u\sqrt{n}$, we can rewrite (3.12) in the form $u^2 < 9 \log u + 3 \log (\sqrt{n}/N_3 c_3)$. Therefore, inequality (3.12) holds under any circumstances for $x \sqrt{3n \log (\sqrt{n}/N_3 c_3)}$. Since $\sqrt{n}/N_3 c_3 > e$, $c_3 \geq 1$ and $c_3 > a/10$, the inequality

$$(3.31) \quad x < \frac{n}{N_3 c_3} < \frac{10n}{N_3 a}$$

holds for such values of x .

Further, $\sqrt{3 \log (\sqrt{n}/N_3 c_3)} < \sqrt[3]{3\sqrt{n}/N_3 c_3} < \sqrt[3]{\sqrt{n}/7a}$ and therefore

$$(3.32) \quad 7a \frac{x^3}{n^2} < 1$$

for $x < \sqrt{3n \log (\sqrt{n}/N_3 c_3)}$.

Using the estimates (2.1), (3.31) and (3.32) and the inequality $x^\alpha e^{-x} < e^{-\alpha x}$, we conclude from (2.2), (3.3), (3.12) and (3.30) that

$$(3.33) \quad \left| F_n(x) - \Phi \left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \right| < \frac{L_1 c_3 n}{x^3}, \quad \frac{x}{n} \in A,$$

for $x < \sqrt{3n \log (\sqrt{n}/N_3 c_3)}$, where L_1 is an absolute constant.

Consider now the values $x < \sqrt{3n \log(\sqrt{n}/N_3 c_3)}$ for which $x/n \notin A$. For any function $f(h)$, set $\Delta f(h) = f(h+) - f(h-)$. The functions $R_1(h)$ and $\bar{R}_1(h)$ are continuous on the right with $\Delta R_1(h) = -e\Delta F(1/h)$ and $\Delta \bar{R}_1(h) = -(e/h)\Delta F(1/h)$.

It is not hard to see that

$$\Delta m(h) = \frac{R_1(h-)\Delta \bar{R}_1(h) - \bar{R}_1(h)\Delta R_1(h)}{R_1(h)R_1(h-)} = e\Delta F\left(\frac{1}{h}\right) \frac{\bar{R}_1(h) - R_1(h-)/h}{R_1(h)R_1(h-)}.$$

The inequality $|\bar{R}_1(h) - h| < 4c_3 h^2$ implies that $0 < \bar{R}_1(h) < 1/2c_3 < \frac{1}{2}$ for $h < 1/4c_3$. On the other hand, on account of (2.3), we have $R_1(h) < \frac{1}{2}$ for $h < \frac{1}{2}$. Therefore for $h < 1/4c_3$,

$$(3.34) \quad -\frac{4e}{n}\Delta F\left(\frac{1}{h}\right) < \Delta m(h) \leq 0.$$

Suppose $h_0 < 1/2a$ is such that $m(h_0) < x/n < m(h_0-)$. By (3.10), $h_0 < 2m(h_0) < 2x/n$. Hence, taking (3.34) into consideration, we obtain

$$(3.35) \quad |\Delta m(h_0)| < 16ec_3 \frac{x^2}{n^2}.$$

Now choose h_1 so that $x/n < m(h_1) < m(h_0-) + \Delta m(h_0)/2$. Set $x_0 = nm(h_0)$ and $x_1 = nm(h_1)$. Let $\alpha > 0$ be such that $F_n(x) = \alpha F_n(x_0) + (1-\alpha)F_n(x_1)$. Clearly,

$$(3.36) \quad \left| F_n(x) - \Phi\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \right| < \alpha \left| F_n(x_0) - \Phi\left(\frac{x_0}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \right| + (1-\alpha) \left| F_n(x_1) - \Phi\left(\frac{x_1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \right| + \alpha \left| \Phi\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}\right) - \Phi\left(\frac{x_0}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \right| + (1-\alpha) \left| \Phi\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}\right) - \Phi\left(\frac{x_1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \right|.$$

By (3.35) and (3.36),

$$(3.37) \quad \left| \Phi\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}\right) - \Phi\left(\frac{x_0}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \right| < 16ec_3 \frac{x^2}{n^{3/2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{\left(x - 16ec_3 \frac{x^2}{n}\right)^2}{2n}\right\} < 16ec_3 \frac{x^2}{n^{3/2}} e^{-x^2/3n}.$$

Similarly,

$$(3.38) \quad \left| \Phi\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}\right) - \Phi\left(\frac{x_1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \right| < 24ec_3 e^{-x^2/2n}.$$

From (3.33), it follows that

$$(3.39) \quad \left| F_n(x_0) - \Phi\left(\frac{x_0}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \right| < \frac{8L_1 c_3 n}{x^3},$$

since for $x < \sqrt{3n \log(\sqrt{n}/N_3 c_3)}$ by (3.35) $x_0 > x/2$, and

$$(3.40) \quad \left| F_n(x_1) - \Phi\left(\frac{x_1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \right| < \frac{L_1 c_3 n}{x^3}.$$

Using the estimates (3.36) – (3.40), we conclude that

$$(3.41) \quad \left| F_n(x) - \Phi\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \right| < \frac{L_2 c_3 n}{x^3},$$

for all $x < \sqrt{3n \log(\sqrt{n}/N_3 c_3)}$, where L_2 is an absolute constant.

We shall now find a lower bound for $u > 1$ for which

$$(3.42) \quad 1 - \Phi(u) \leq \frac{M}{\sqrt{nu^3}},$$

where M is a constant.

The inequality (3.42) holds in every case for u satisfying the inequality $e^{-u^2/2} \leq M/\sqrt{nu^2}$. Hence, $u^2(1 - 4 \log u/u^2) \geq \log(n/M^2)$, and since $4 \log u/u^2 < \frac{1}{2}$ for $u > e^{3/2}$, we have

$$(3.43) \quad u \geq \sqrt{\frac{3}{2} \log \frac{n}{M^2}}$$

for $n > M^2 \exp\{2e^3/3\}$.

Thus, (3.42) holds at least for u satisfying (3.43).

Letting $M = N_3 c_3$ and using (3.41), we find that

$$|1 - F_n(x)| < 13(L_2 + N_3)c_3 n/x^3$$

for

$$\sqrt{3n \log(\sqrt{n}/M)} < x \leq 4\sqrt{n \log(\sqrt{n}/M)}$$

and therefore,

$$(3.44) \quad \left| F_n(x) - \Phi\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \right| < \frac{(13L_2 + 14N_3)c_3 n}{x^3}.$$

We now treat the values of $x > 4\sqrt{n \log(\sqrt{n}/N_3 c_3)}$ and we make use of Theorem 1 after having set $y = x/2$, $m = 3$. As a preliminary, we estimate $1/x\sqrt{n} \log(x^3/nc_3 K_3)$. To this end, let $x = u\sqrt{n}$. Then the expression being estimated assumes the form $[3 \log u + \log(\sqrt{n}/c_3 K_3)]/u$. For $x > 4\sqrt{n \log(\sqrt{n}/N_3 c_3)}$, we have $u > 4\sqrt{\log(\sqrt{n}/N_3 c_3)}$. It is not hard to see that $3 \log u/u < 1.1$ for $u > 4$. Therefore,

$$(3.45) \quad \frac{1}{x} \sqrt{n} \log \frac{x^3}{K_3 c_3 n} < 1.1 + \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\log \frac{\sqrt{n}}{K_3 c_3}} + \frac{\log 6}{4}.$$

Hence by Theorem 1 and some simple computations, we find that $1 - F_n(x) < L_3 c_3 n/x^3$ for $x > 4\sqrt{n \log(\sqrt{n}/N_3 c_3)}$, where L_3 is an absolute constant. Consequently,

$$(3.46) \quad \left| F_n(x) - \Phi\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \right| < \frac{(L_3 + N_3)c_3 n}{x^3}.$$

Consider now the case $\sqrt{n}/N_3 c_3 \leq L_0 = \exp\{e^3/3\}$. If $x^3 \leq c_3 n N_3$, then evidently

$$(3.47) \quad \left| F_n(x) - \Phi\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \right| \leq 1 \leq \frac{c_3 N_3 n}{x^3}.$$

But if $x^3 > c_3 n N_3$, then

$$0 < \sqrt{n} \frac{3 \log x - \log K_3 c_3 n}{x} < \frac{3\sqrt{n} \log \frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}}{x} + \log \frac{\sqrt{n}}{N_3 c_3} + 3 \log 6 < 3 + 3 \log 6 + \log L_0.$$

Letting $y = x$ in Theorem 1, we find

$$(3.48) \quad 1 - F_n(x) < \frac{L_4 c_3 n}{x^3}, \quad x^3 > c_3 n N_3,$$

where L_4 is an absolute constant.

Clearly,

$$(3.49) \quad 1 - \Phi\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}\right) < \frac{4n^{3/2}}{x^3} < \frac{4N_3 c_3 n L_0}{x^3}.$$

From (3.39) and (3.49), it follows that

$$\left| F_n(x) - \Phi\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \right| < \frac{L_5 c_3 n}{x^3}, \quad x > \sqrt{n},$$

where L_5 is an absolute constant.

The proof of Theorem 2 is complete.

4. Proof of Theorems 3 and 4

Without loss of generality, we may let $B(g) = 1$ in (1.5). We first prove the sufficiency of the condition of Theorem 3. As in the proof of Theorem 2, we confine ourselves to values of $x > \sqrt{n}$.

Let $x_1(h)$ be a solution of the equation

$$(4.1) \quad g'(x) = \frac{h(1+\alpha)}{2}, \quad h > 0.$$

For sufficiently small h , such a solution exists and by the monotonicity of $g'(x)$ is unique. On account of (1.5),

$$(4.2) \quad g(x) < g(1)x^\alpha.$$

Therefore $g'(x) < \alpha g(1)x^{\alpha-1}$, and hence

$$(4.3) \quad x_1(h) < \left[\frac{1+\alpha}{2\alpha} \frac{h}{g(1)} \right]^{1/(\alpha-1)}.$$

Let

$$R_1(h) = \int_{-\infty}^{x_1(h)} e^{hx} dF(x), \quad R_2(y, h) = \int_{x_1(h)}^y e^{hx} dF(x).$$

We retain the notations of Sections 2 and 3 for the quantities defined in terms of $R_1(h)$ and $R_2(y, h)$.

Clearly,

$$(4.4) \quad R_2(y, h) = [1 - F(x)] e^{hx} \Big|_y^{x_1(h)} + h \int_{x_1(h)}^y e^{hx} [1 - F(x)] dx.$$

For $y > x_1(h) > 1/h$,

$$(4.5) \quad \int_{x_1(h)}^y e^{hx} [1 - F(x)] dx < \frac{c(g)}{h} \int_{x_1(h)h}^{yh} e^{x-g(x/h)} dx,$$

where $c(g) = \int_0^\infty e^{g(x)} dF(x)$. Introduce the function $v = x - g(x/h)$. Clearly,

$$dv = \left[1 - \frac{1}{h} g' \left(\frac{x}{h} \right) \right] dx.$$

For $x \geq x_1(h)h$, $dx \leq 2(1-\alpha)^{-1}dv$ and therefore

$$(4.6) \quad \int_{x_1(h)h}^{yh} e^{x-g(x/h)} dx < \frac{2}{1-\alpha} e^{yh-g(y)}.$$

Since $hx - g(x)$ is monotone increasing for $x \geq x_1(h)$, we have

$$[1 - F(x_1(h))]e^{hx_1(h)} < c(g)e^{yh-g(y)}.$$

Now taking (4.5) and (4.6) into consideration, we conclude from (4.4) that

$$(4.7) \quad R_2(y, h) < \frac{3-\alpha}{1-\alpha} c(g)e^{yh-g(y)}.$$

Consider now $R_1(h)$. Obviously,

$$(4.8) \quad R_1(h) = \int_{-\infty}^{1/h} e^{hx} dF(x) + \int_{1/h}^{x_1(h)} e^{hx} dF(x).$$

Let us estimate

$$\int_{1/h}^{x_1(h)} x^k e^{hx} dF(x),$$

on the assumption that $x_1(h) > 1/h$. First of all, it is not hard to see that

$$(4.9) \quad \int_{1/h}^{x_1(h)} x^k e^{hx} dF(x) < c(g) \left[\frac{e^{1-g(1/h)}}{h^k} + \int_{1/h}^{x_1(h)} x^{k-1} [hx+k] e^{hx-g(x)} dx \right].$$

The function $hx - g(x)$ assumes a maximum value at one of the endpoints of the interval $[1/h, x_1(h)]$. By (1.5),

$$hx_1(h) = \frac{2x_1(h)}{1+\alpha} g'(x_1(h)) < \frac{2\alpha}{1+\alpha} g(x_1(h)).$$

Therefore,

$$(4.10) \quad g(x_1(h)) - hx_1(h) > \frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha} g(x_1(h)) > \frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha} g \left(\frac{1}{h} \right).$$

Further, by (1.6) and (4.3),

$$(4.11) \quad x_1^\beta(h) \exp \left\{ -g \left(\frac{1}{h} \right) \right\} = o(h^m)$$

for any β and $m > 0$. From (4.9)–(4.11), it follows that

$$(4.12) \quad \int_{1/h}^{x_1(h)} x^k e^{hx} dF(x) = o(h^m).$$

Expanding e^{hx} in the first integral of (4.8) and using the estimate (4.12), we find that, for any $m > 0$,

$$(4.13) \quad R_1(h) = 1 + \sum_{k=2}^m \alpha_k \frac{h^k}{k!} + O(h^{m+1}),$$

$$(4.14) \quad \bar{R}_1(h) = \sum_{k=2}^m \alpha_k \frac{h^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} + O(h^m),$$

where $\alpha_k = \mathbf{E}\xi_1^k$. From (4.13) and (4.14), it follows that

$$(4.15) \quad m(h) = \frac{\bar{R}_1(h)}{R_1(h)} = \sum_{k=2}^m \gamma_k \frac{h^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} + O(h^m),$$

where γ_k is the k -th cumulant of the random variable ξ_1 . Analogous reasoning shows that

$$(4.16) \quad \sigma(h) = 1 + O(h).$$

In Section 3, it was proved that the equation $u = m(h)$ has

$$(4.17) \quad h(u) = u + O(u^2)$$

as a solution for sufficiently small $u \in A$. If $h(u)$ is expressed in the form

$$h(u) = u + \sum_{k=2}^m \lambda_k u^k + \varphi(u),$$

where the λ_k are the coefficients of the series which result when the series (4.15) for $m(h)$ is inverted, and if this expression is substituted in the equation $u = m(h)$ having been first represented as

$$u = \sum_{k=2}^{m+1} \gamma_k \frac{h^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} + O(h^{m+1}),$$

then the estimate $\varphi(u) = O(h^{m+1})$ is obtained instantly. Therefore in view of (4.17), $\varphi(u) = O(u^{m+1})$. Thus,

$$(4.18) \quad h(u) = u + \sum_{h=2}^m \lambda_k u^k + O(u^{m+1}).$$

Using (1.5), we can easily show that

$$(4.19) \quad \beta^\alpha g(x) < g(\beta x)$$

for any $0 < \beta < 1$. Therefore,

$$(4.20) \quad \frac{x}{n} \leq \frac{g(x)}{x} < 2^{(1+\alpha)/2} \frac{g(2^{-(1+\alpha)/2} x)}{x}$$

for $x \leq \Lambda(n)$.

Consider now the values of $x \leq \Lambda(n)$ for which $x/n \in A$. By (4.2),

$$(4.21) \quad \Lambda(n) < [g(1)n]^{1/(2-\alpha)}.$$

Therefore, for sufficiently large n , $h(x/n)$ exists and, by (4.17),

$$(4.22) \quad h\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) = \frac{x}{n} + O\left(\frac{x^2}{n^2}\right).$$

Let $h_g(y)$ be the solution of the equation

$$(4.23) \quad n \exp \{yh - g(y)\} = 1.$$

Obviously, $h_g(y) = (g(y) - \log n)/y$. By condition (1.6),

$$(4.24) \quad h_g(y) > \frac{g(y)}{y} \left(1 - \frac{2}{\rho(\sqrt{n})}\right).$$

From (4.20), (4.22), and (4.24), it follows that $h(x/n) < h_g(2^{-(1+\alpha)/2\alpha}x)$ for sufficiently large n . Hence, by (4.13) and (4.23),

$$(4.25) \quad R \left(y, h \left(\frac{x}{n}\right)\right) = O \left(\exp \left\{\frac{1}{2^{1-\varepsilon}} \frac{x^2}{n}\right\}\right),$$

for $y = \max [2^{-(1+\alpha)/2\alpha}x, x_1(h)]$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$. Letting $y = \max [2^{-(1+\alpha)/2\alpha}x, x_1(h)]$ and $h = h(x/n)$ in (4.7), we have

$$(4.26) \quad R_2 \left(y, h \left(\frac{x}{n}\right)\right) = O \left(\exp \left\{\frac{x^2}{2^{(1+\alpha)/2\alpha-\varepsilon n}} - g \left(\frac{x}{2^{(1+\alpha)/2\alpha}}\right)\right\}\right)$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$.

From (3.2), using (4.25) and (4.26), we deduce the estimate

$$(4.27) \quad \int_x^\infty e^{-hu} d[F_{nh}^{(y)}(u) - \Phi_{nh}(u)] = O \left(n \exp \left\{-g \left(\frac{x}{2^{(1+\alpha)/2\alpha}}\right)\right\}\right),$$

$$h = h \left(\frac{x}{n}\right), \quad y = \max [2^{-(1+\alpha)/2\alpha}x, x_1(h)], \quad \Phi_{nh}(u) = \int_{-\infty}^u e^{hv} dF^{(x_1(h))}(v).$$

Taking (4.18) and (4.21) into consideration, we can easily show that

$$(4.28) \quad xh \left(\frac{x}{n}\right) = \frac{x^2}{n} + \frac{x^3}{n^2} \sum_{k=2}^{[1/(1-\alpha)]} \lambda_k \left(\frac{x}{n}\right)^{k-2} + o(1)$$

for $x \leq \Lambda(n)$.

We now let $h = h(x/n)$ in (3.3) and we use Cramér's reasoning (see [1]) taking (4.28) into account. As a result, we obtain

$$(4.29) \quad \int_x^\infty e^{-hu} d\Phi_{nh}(u) = \left[1 - \Phi \left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\right] \exp \left\{\frac{x^3}{n^2} \lambda^{[\alpha/(1-\alpha)]} \left(\frac{x}{n}\right)\right\} (1 + o(1)),$$

where

$$\lambda^{[\alpha/(1-\alpha)]}(u) = \sum_{k=2}^{[1/(1-\alpha)]} \lambda_k u^{k-2}.$$

From (4.20) and (4.21), it follows that

$$(4.30) \quad n \exp \left\{-g \left(\frac{x}{2^{(1+\alpha)/2\alpha}}\right)\right\} = o \left(\left(1 - \Phi \left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\right) \exp \left\{\frac{x^3}{n^2} \lambda^{[\alpha/(1-\alpha)]} \left(\frac{x}{n}\right)\right\}\right)$$

for $x \leq \Lambda(n)$.

With the help of the estimates (4.26), (4.27), and (4.30), we find from (2.2) and (4.29) that

$$(4.31) \quad 1 - F_n^{(y)}(x) = \left[1 - \Phi \left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \right] \exp \left\{ \frac{x^3}{n^2} \lambda^{[\alpha/(1-\alpha)]} \left(\frac{x}{n} \right) \right\} (1 + o(1)),$$

$$y = \frac{x}{3^{(1+\alpha)/2\alpha}},$$

for $x \leq \Lambda(n)$, $x/n \in A$.

By virtue of (4.30) and the inequality $1 - F(y) < c(g) \exp \{-g(y)\}$, (4.31) and (2.1) imply (1.8) for $x/n \in A$.

Passage to values of $x/n \notin A$ is effected similarly to what was done in the proof of Theorem 2.

Let us now prove the necessity of the condition of Theorem 3. Suppose that (1.8) holds for $x \leq 2\Lambda(n)$.

Clearly,

$$1 - F_n(x) > (1 - F(x))(1 - F_{n-1}(0)).$$

Therefore, for sufficiently large n ,

$$(4.32) \quad 1 - \Phi \left(\frac{2^{(3+\alpha)/4} \Lambda(n)}{\sqrt{n}} \right) > \frac{1}{4}(1 - F(2\Lambda(n))).$$

Let $g_1(x) = -\log(1 - F(x))$. From (4.32) and (4.19), it follows that

$$(4.33) \quad g_1(2\Lambda(n)) > \frac{2^{(1+\alpha)/2} \Lambda^2(n)}{n} = 2^{(1+\alpha)/2} g(\Lambda(n)) > 2^{(1-\alpha)/2} g(2\Lambda(n))$$

for sufficiently large n .

Consider the function $y(x)$ determined by the equation $y^2 = xg(y)$. It is easy to see that

$$(4.34) \quad \frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{g(y)}{2y - xg'(y)} < \frac{g(y)}{(2-\alpha)y}.$$

Setting $x = n$ and using the estimate (4.2), we find that

$$\Lambda(n+1) - \Lambda(n) < \frac{g(\Lambda(n))}{(2-\alpha)\Lambda(n)} < \frac{g(1)}{\Lambda^{1-\alpha}(n)}.$$

Hence

$$(4.35) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Lambda(n+1)}{\Lambda(n)} = 1.$$

Because of (4.19),

$$(4.36) \quad \frac{g(2\Lambda(n+1))}{g(2\Lambda(n))} < \left[\frac{\Lambda(n+1)}{\Lambda(n)} \right]^\alpha.$$

From (4.33), (4.35), and (4.36), it follows that

$$g_1(2\Lambda(n)) > 2^{(1-\alpha)/3} g(2\Lambda(n+1))$$

for sufficiently large n . Therefore,

$$(4.37) \quad g_1(x) > 2^{(1-\alpha)/3} g(x)$$

for sufficiently large x .

From (4.37), it follows that $\int_0^\infty e^{\theta(x)} dF(x) < \infty$. Similarly, it can be shown that $\int_{-\infty}^0 e^{\theta(|x|)} dF(x) < \infty$. Thus, $\mathbf{E} \exp g(|\xi_1|) < \infty$, q.e.d.

As to Theorem 4, its proof is entirely analogous to that of Theorem 3. In the proof of the sufficiency part of Theorem 4, $R_1(h)$ and $R_2(h)$ are defined just as in Sections 2 and 3. It is not hard to see that (1.10) holds for

$$\sqrt{n} \leq x \leq \sqrt{(m/2-1)n \log n}$$

if, for these values of x ,

$$(4.38) \quad h\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) < h_m\left(\frac{x}{3}\right)$$

and

$$(4.39) \quad \frac{x^2}{n} < m \log x - \log n,$$

beginning with a certain n . Relation (4.39) clearly holds if

$$(4.40) \quad u^2 < \left(\frac{m}{2} - 1\right) \log n + m \log u,$$

where $u = x/\sqrt{n}$. If $u \geq 1$, then (4.40) is satisfied for $u < \sqrt{(m/2-1) \log n}$. Thus, the condition $\sqrt{n} \leq x \leq \sqrt{(m/2-1)n \log n}$ implies (4.39). On the other hand, (4.38) holds if

$$\frac{x^2}{n} < \frac{3m}{2} \log x - \frac{3 \log n}{2}.$$

Therefore (4.38) follows from (4.39).

Assume now that

$$1 - F_n(x) = [1 - \Phi(x)](1 + o(1))$$

for $|x| \leq \sqrt{(m+1)n \log n}$. Employing similar reasoning to that used to obtain (4.33), one can show that

$$(m+1) \log n < 2g_1(\sqrt{(m+1)n \log n})$$

for sufficiently large n .

Hence, $g_1(x) > (m + \frac{1}{2}) \log x$ for sufficiently large x . This inequality clearly implies that $\int_0^\infty x^m dF(x) < \infty$. In a similar fashion, it can also be proved that $\int_{-\infty}^0 |x|^m dF(x) < \infty$.

5. Proof of Theorem 5

Suppose for simplicity that $n_0 = 1$. Then $F(x)$ can be represented as $F(x) = aF_1(x) + (1-a)F_2(x)$, $0 < a \leq 1$, where $F_1(x)$ is absolutely continuous and $F'_1(x) < L < \infty$. Let (the symbol * stands for convolution)

$$\tilde{F}_n(x) = F_n(x) - (1-a)^n F_2^{*n}(x) - na(1-a)^{n-1} F_1(x) * F_2^{*(n-1)}(x),$$

$$f(t) = \int e^{itx} dF(x), \quad g_n(t) = \int e^{itx} dF_n(x).$$

Clearly,

$$g_n(t) = f^n(t) - (1-a)^n f_2^n(t) - na(1-a)^{n-1} f_1(t) f_2^{n-1}(t)$$

where $f_j(t) = \int e^{itx} dF_j(x)$, $j = 1, 2$. Hence,

$$(5.1) \quad |g_n(t)| < \frac{n^2 a^2}{2} (a|f_1(t)| + (1-a)|f_2(t)|)^{n-2} |f_1(t)|^2.$$

Further,

$$(5.2) \quad |g_n(t) - f^n(t)| < (n+1)(1-a)^{n-1}.$$

It is known that

$$\left| f^n\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}}\right) - e^{-t^2/2} \left(1 + \frac{\alpha_3}{\sqrt{n}} (it)^3\right) \right| < \frac{\delta(n)}{T_{3n}} |t|^3 e^{-t^2/4}$$

for $|t| \leq T_{3n} = \sqrt{n}/24c_3$ (see, for example, [10], § 41), where $\delta(n)$ depends only on n and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta(n) = 0$.

Therefore, for $|t| \leq T_{3n}$,

$$(5.3) \quad \left| g_n\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}}\right) - e^{-t^2/2} \left(1 + \frac{\alpha_3}{6\sqrt{n}} (it)^3\right) \right| < \frac{\delta(n)}{T_{3n}} |t|^3 e^{-t^2/4} + (n+1)(1-a)^{n-1}.$$

With the help of (5.1) and (5.3), it is not hard to show that

$$(5.4) \quad \tilde{p}_n(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-x^2/2} \left(1 + \frac{\alpha_3}{6\sqrt{n}} (x^3 - 3x)\right) + R_n(x),$$

where $\tilde{p}_n(x) = \sqrt{n} \tilde{F}'_n(x/\sqrt{n})$ and $\sup_x R_n(x) = o(1/\sqrt{n})$ (cf. [10], § 47).

Hereafter, we shall use the notations of Sections 2 and 3. Let

$$\tilde{\Phi}_{nh}(u) = \Phi_{nh}(u) - (1-a)F_{2h}^{*n}(u) - na(1-a)^{n-1}F_{2h}^{*n-1}(u) * F_{1h}(u),$$

where

$$F_{ih}(u) = \begin{cases} \int_{-\infty}^u e^{hy} dF_i(y), & u \leq \frac{1}{h}, \\ \int_{-\infty}^{1/h} e^{hy} dF_i(y), & u > \frac{1}{h}, \end{cases} \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Let $x/n \in A$. If $h = h(x/n)$ ($h(u)$ is a solution of the equation $u = m(h)$), then, as easily seen,

$$(5.5) \quad \tilde{\Phi}'_{nh}(x) = R_1^n(h) \tilde{\Phi}'_{nh}(0),$$

where $\tilde{\Phi}_{nh}(u) = \tilde{\Phi}_{nh}(u + nm(h))/R_1^n(h)$. Set

$$f_h(t) = \frac{1}{R_1(h)} \int_{-\infty}^{1/h} e^{itx} d\Phi_h(x).$$

Choose a $B > 0$ so that $F_1(B) - F_1(-B) > 0$. It is not hard to show that

$$(5.6) \quad 1 - |f_h(t)|^2 > \frac{2e^{-2Bh}}{R_1^2(h)} \int_{-B}^B \int_{-B}^B \sin^2 \frac{t(u-v)}{2} F_1'(u) F_1'(v) du dv, \quad \frac{1}{B} \geq h \geq 0.$$

By (5.6), there exists, for any positive ε and η , $0 < \rho(\varepsilon, \eta) < 1$, such that for $|t| > \varepsilon$,

$$(5.7) \quad |f_h(t)| < \rho(\varepsilon, \eta)$$

uniformly with respect to $0 < h < \eta$.

Now

$$(5.8) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f_{1h}(t)|^2 dt = \frac{1}{R_1^2(h)} \int_{-\infty}^{1/h} e^{2hx} F_1'^2(x) dx < \frac{e^2 L}{R_1^2(h)},$$

where

$$f_{1h}(t) = \frac{1}{R_1(h)} \int e^{itx} dF_{1h}(x).$$

With the help of (5.1), (5.3), (5.7), and (5.8) and using reasoning standard in the proof of local limit theorems, we can easily show that

$$(5.9) \quad \tilde{\Phi}'_{nh}(\sigma(h)\sqrt{nu}) = \frac{1}{\sigma(h)\sqrt{2\pi n}} e^{-u^2/2} + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$$

uniformly with respect to h in any finite interval.

By (3.9) and (3.14), $\sigma(h) = 1 + O(x/n)$, $h = h(x/n)$. Therefore, (5.5) and (5.9), by virtue of (3.28) and (3.32), imply that

$$(5.10) \quad e^{-hx} \tilde{\Phi}'_{nh}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi n}} e^{-x^2/2n} + O\left(\frac{x^2}{n^{3/2}} e^{-x^2/2n}\right),$$

for $x < \Delta_n \sqrt{n}$, $x/n \in A$, and $h = h(x/n)$, where $\Delta_n = \sqrt{3 \log(\sqrt{n}/N_3 c_3)}$.

Consider now values of x for which $x/n \notin A$. In Section 3, it was shown that in this case there exists an $x_0 > x$ such that

$$(5.11) \quad x_0 - x < 16ec_3 \frac{x^2}{n}.$$

Evidently,

$$(5.12) \quad \tilde{\Phi}'_{nh}(x) = R_1^n(h) \tilde{\Phi}'_{nh}(x - x_0), \quad h = h(x_0/n).$$

But by (3.32) and (5.11),

$$\begin{aligned} \exp\left\{-\frac{(x-x_0)^2}{2n}\right\} &= 1 + O\left(\frac{x^4}{n^3}\right), \\ \exp\{-hx\} &= \exp\left\{-\frac{x^2}{n}\right\} \left[1 + O\left(\frac{x^4}{n^3}\right)\right], \quad h = h\left(\frac{x_0}{n}\right), \end{aligned}$$

for $x < \Delta_n \sqrt{n}$.

Therefore,

$$(5.13) \quad e^{-hx} \tilde{\Phi}'_{nh}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi n}} e^{-x^2/2n} + O\left(\frac{x^2}{n^{3/2}} e^{-x^2/2n}\right), \quad h = h\left(\frac{x_0}{n}\right), \quad x < \Delta_n \sqrt{n}.$$

Evidently,

$$(5.14) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial u} F_{nh}^{(y)}(u) = \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \sum_{k=0}^n C_n^k \Phi_{kh} * \Psi_{(n-k)h}^{(y)}(u),$$

where $\Psi_{kh}^{(y)}$ is the k -fold convolution of $\Psi_h^{(y)}$. By (5.9),

$$(5.15) \quad n \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \tilde{\Phi}_{(n-1)h} * \Psi_h^{(y)}(u) = O(\sqrt{n} R^n(y, h) R_2(y, h)).$$

Now

$$(5.16) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} C_n^k \tilde{\Phi}_{kh} * \Psi_{(n-k)h}^{(y)}(u) = O(n^2 R^n(y, h) R_2^2(y, h)).$$

Set $h_n(x) = h(x/n)$ if $x/n \in A$ and $h_n(x) = h(x_0/n)$ if $x/n \notin A$ (x_0 satisfies (5.11)).

From (5.15) and (5.16), it follows by (3.17), (3.18), and (3.32) that

$$(5.17) \quad e^{-hx} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} C_n^k \tilde{\Phi}_{kh} * \Psi_{(n-k)h}^{(y)}(x) = O\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{x^3} e^{-x^2/3n} + \frac{n^2}{x^6} e^{-x^2/6n}\right)$$

for $x < \Delta_n \sqrt{n}$, $y = x/6$ and $h = h_n(x)$. For $u < n/h$, it is clear that $\Psi_{nh}^{(y)}(u) = 0$. By (3.14),

$$(5.18) \quad \frac{n}{h(x/n)} > \frac{n^2}{2x} > \Delta_n \sqrt{n}$$

for $x < \Delta_n \sqrt{n}$ and $n > 5$. Finally,

$$(5.19) \quad \begin{aligned} & e^{-hu} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \sum_{k=1}^n C_n^k [\Phi_{kh} - \tilde{\Phi}_{kh}] * \Psi_{(n-k)h}^{(y)}(u) \\ &= e^{-hu} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \left[\sum_{k=1}^n C_n^k (1-a)^k F_{2h}^{*k} * \Psi_{(n-k)h}^{(y)}(u) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + a \sum_{k=1}^n C_n^k k (1-a)^{k-1} F_{1h} * F_{2h}^{*(k-1)} * \Psi_{(n-k)h}^{(y)}(u) \right] \\ &< \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \left[(1-a)F_2(u) + \int_{1/h}^u dF(v) \right]^{*n} + naLe^{1-hu} \left[(1-a)F_{2h}\left(\frac{1}{h}\right) + R_2(y, h) \right]^n. \end{aligned}$$

It is not hard to see that, for $h = h_n(x)$ and $y = x/6$,

$$(5.20) \quad n[(1-a)F_{2h}(1/h) + R_2(y, h)]^n = o((1-a + \varepsilon)^n),$$

where ε is an arbitrarily small positive quantity.

Let

$$G(u, h) = (1-a)F_2(u) + \int_{1/h}^u dF(v).$$

Clearly,

$$(5.21) \quad \int_0^{\Delta_n \sqrt{n}} x^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} G^{*n}(x, h_n(x)) dx < \Delta_n^3 n^{3/2} [2-a-F(m_n)]^n,$$

where $m_n = \min_{0 \leq x < \Delta_n \sqrt{n}} 1/h_n(x)$. Set

$$Q^{(y)}(u) = \begin{cases} F(u) - F(y), & u \geq y, \\ 0, & u < y, \end{cases} \quad Q_k^{(y)}(u) = Q^{(y)*k}(u).$$

Obviously,

$$(5.22) \quad \frac{d}{du} F_n(u) - \frac{\partial}{\partial u} F_n^{(y)}(u) < \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} C_n^k \frac{\partial}{\partial u} F_k * Q_n^{(y)-k}(u).$$

Set

$$p_{1n}(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} F_{n-1} * Q^{(x/6)}(x), \quad p_{2n}(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} C_n^k \tilde{F}_k * Q_{n-k}^{(x/6)}(x).$$

It is not hard to see that

$$\int_B^\infty x^3 p_{1n}(x) dx \leq \int_{B/6}^\infty dF(u) \int_0^{6u} x^3 dF_{n-1}(x-u) < 216 \int_{B/6}^\infty u^3 dF(u).$$

Therefore, for any $B > 0$, we have

$$(5.23) \quad \int_B^\infty x^3 p_{1n}(x\sqrt{n}) dx = o\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right).$$

Now, $p_{2n}(x) < Ln^2(1-F(x/6))^2/2$. Therefore,

$$(5.24) \quad \int_B^\infty x^3 p_{2n}(x\sqrt{n}) dx = O\left(\frac{1}{nB^2}\right).$$

It is not hard to show that

$$(5.25) \quad \int_{B\sqrt{n}}^{4n\sqrt{n}} x^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} C_n^k(F_k - \tilde{F}_k) * Q_{n-k}^{(x/6)}(x) dx < \int_{B\sqrt{n}}^{4n\sqrt{n}} x^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} C_n^k(F_k - \tilde{F}_k) * Q_{n-k}^{(B\sqrt{n})}(x) dx = o((1-a+\varepsilon)^n)$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$.

Clearly, $Q_n^{(y)}(x) = 0$ for $x < ny$. Hence, for $n > 6$,

$$(5.26) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x} Q_n^{(x/6)}(x) = 0.$$

Clearly,

$$(5.27) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^\infty \left| p_n(x) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-x^2/2} \right| x^3 dx - \frac{|\alpha_3|}{6\sqrt{2\pi n}} \int_0^\infty x^4 |x^2 - 3| e^{-x^2/2} dx \right| \\ & < \int_0^B x^3 \left| \tilde{p}_n(x) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-x^2/2} - \frac{\alpha_3}{6\sqrt{2\pi n}} (x^3 - 3x) e^{-x^2/2} \right| dx \\ & + \int_0^B |p_n(x) - \tilde{p}_n(x)| x^3 dx + \int_B^{4n} \left| \sqrt{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} F_n^{(y)}(x\sqrt{n}) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-x^2/2} \right| x^3 dx \\ & + \frac{|\alpha_3|}{6\sqrt{2\pi n}} \int_B^\infty x^4 (x^2 - 3) e^{-x^2/2} dx + \int_B^{4n} \left(p_n(x) - \sqrt{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} F_n^{(y)}(x\sqrt{n}) \right) x^3 dx \\ & + \int_{4n}^\infty p_n(x) x^3 dx + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{4n}^\infty x^3 e^{-x^2/2} dx, \end{aligned} \quad y = \frac{x}{6}.$$

Since $\partial F_n^{(y)}(u)/\partial u = e^{-hu} \partial F_{nh}^{(y)}(u)/\partial u$, (5.10), (5.13), (5.14), (5.17), (5.18)–(5.21) imply that

$$(5.28) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_B^{4n} \left| \sqrt{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} F_n^{(y)}(x\sqrt{n}) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-x^2/2} \right| x^3 dx \\ & = O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \int_B^\infty x^2 e^{-x^2/6} dx\right) + o((1-a+\varepsilon)^n). \end{aligned}$$

Then by (5.22)–(5.26),

$$(5.29) \quad \int_B^{A_n} \left(p_n(x) - \sqrt{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} F_n^{(y)}(x\sqrt{n}) \right) x^3 dx = O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}B^2}\right) + o\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) + o((1-a+\varepsilon)^n).$$

Letting $y = x/2$ in Theorem 1 and using the estimate (3.45), we find that

$$(5.30) \quad 1 - F_n(x) = O(n(1 - F(x/2))) + O(n^{5/2}/x^6)$$

for $x > 4\sqrt{n \log(\sqrt{n}/N_3 c_3)}$. Let A'_n denote $4\sqrt{\log(\sqrt{n}/N_3 c_3)}$. It easily follows from (5.30) that

$$(5.31) \quad \int_{A'_n}^{\infty} x^3 dF_n(x\sqrt{n}) = o\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right).$$

Clearly, $e^{-A_n^2/2} = O(n^{-3/4})$. Hence,

$$(5.32) \quad \int_{A_n}^{\infty} x^3 e^{-x^2/2} dx = o\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$$

and

$$(5.33) \quad 1 - \Phi(A_n) = o\left(\frac{1}{A_n^3 \sqrt{n}}\right).$$

Using the estimates (2.1), (3.19), (3.30), and (5.33), we can easily show that $1 - F_n(A_n \sqrt{n}) = o(1/A_n^3 \sqrt{n})$ and therefore,

$$(5.34) \quad \int_{A_n}^{A'_n} x^3 dF_n(x\sqrt{n}) = o\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right).$$

From (5.27)–(5.29), (5.4), (5.31), (5.32) and (5.34) on setting $B = \sqrt[5]{\sqrt{n}/R_n}$, we deduce that

$$\int_0^{\infty} \left| p_n(x) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-x^2/2} \right| x^3 dx = \frac{|\alpha_3|}{6\sqrt{2\pi n}} \int_0^{\infty} x^4 |x^2 - 3| e^{-x^2/2} dx + o\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right).$$

Similarly,

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 \left| p_n(x) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-x^2/2} \right| |x|^3 dx = \frac{|\alpha_3|}{6\sqrt{2\pi n}} \int_0^{\infty} x^4 |x^2 - 3| e^{-x^2/2} dx + o\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right).$$

The theorem is proved.

Received by the editors
January 6, 1964

REFERENCES

- [1] H. CRAMÉR, *Sur un nouveau théorème-limite de la théorie des probabilités*, Actual. Sci. et Ind., No. 736, Paris, 1938.
- [2] YU. V. LINNIK, *Limit theorems for sums of independent variables taking into account large deviations*, I, II, III, Theory Prob. Applications, 6 (1961), pp. 131–148, 345–360; 7 (1962), pp. 115–129. (English translation.)
- [3] V. V. PETROV, *A generalization of Cramér's limit theorem*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, IX, 4 (1954), pp. 196–202. (In Russian.)
- [4] V. V. PETROV, *On integral theorems for large deviations*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 138 (1961), pp. 779–780. (In Russian.)

-
- [5] S. V. NAGAEV, *Large deviations for a class of distributions*, "Limit Theorems", Tashkent, 1963, pp. 56–68. (In Russian.)
- [6] S. V. NAGAEV, *An integral limit theorem for large deviations*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 148, 2 (1963), p. 280. (In Russian.)
- [7] YU. V. PROKHOROV, *A local limit theorem for densities*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 83, 6 (1952), pp. 797–800. (In Russian.)
- [8] C. G. ESSEEN, *Fourier analysis of distribution functions. A mathematical study of the Laplace-Gaussian law*, Acta Math., 77 (1945), pp. 1–125.
- [9] L. D. MESHALKIN and B. A. ROGOZIN, *An estimate of the distance between distribution functions according to the closeness of their characteristic functions and its application to the central limit theorem*, "Limit Theorems", Tashkent, 1963, pp. 49–56. (In Russian.)
- [10] B. V. GNEDENKO and A. N. KOLMOGOROV, *Limit Distributions for Sums of Independent Random Variables*, Addison-Wesley, Mass., 1954.
- [11] R. P. AGNEW, *A global version of the central limit theorem*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA, 40 (1954), pp. 800–804.
- [12] S. KH. SIRAZHDINOV and M. MAMATOV, *On a local theorem for densities*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 142, 5 (1962), pp. 1036–1037. (In Russian.)
- [13] S. KH. SIRAZHDINOV and M. MAMATOV, *On global limit theorems for densities and distribution functions*, "Limit Theorems", Tashkent, 1963, pp. 91–106. (In Russian.)
- [14] V. M. ZOLOTAREV, *On a new point of view of limit theorems taking large deviations into consideration*, Trans. VI All-Union Conference in Probability and Math. Statist., Vilna, 1962, pp. 43–48. (In Russian.)
- [15] YU. V. LINNIK, *On the probability of large deviations for the sums of independent variables*, Proc. 4-th Berkeley Sympos. Math. Statist. and Prob., II, 1961, pp. 289–306.
- [16] M. L. KATZ, *The probability in the tail of a distribution*, Ann. Math. Statist., 34 (1963), pp. 312–318.