

CONVEX ϵ -PROGRAMMING

UDC 513.88 + 519.95

S. S. KUTATELADZE

Let X be a vector space, and let $Y \cup \{+\infty\}$ be an ordered vector space Y with adjoined greatest element $+\infty$. Consider a convex operator $F: X \rightarrow Y \cup \{+\infty\}$, a point x belonging to the effective domain $\text{dom}(F) = \{x \in X \mid Fx < +\infty\}$ and a positive element $\epsilon \in Y^+$. The set

$$\partial_{x, \epsilon}(F) = \{A \in L(X, Y) : Ax' - Ax \leq Fx' - Fx + \epsilon, x' \in X\},$$

where $L(X, Y)$ is the space of linear operators from X into Y , is called the ϵ -subdifferential of F at x . The point x is called ϵ -optimal for F if $0 \in \partial_{x, \epsilon}(F)$. In this paper, we announce some formulae to calculate ϵ -subdifferentials and corresponding ϵ -optimality criteria which show that suitable versions of the Lagrange principle are valid for ϵ -programming. The classical convex programming theory arises naturally if we set $\epsilon = 0$.

Composition of convex operators. Let $G: X \rightarrow Z \cup \{+\infty\}$ be an increasing convex operator, and let Z be a K -space.* If $F[\text{dom}(F)]$ contains an interior point of $\text{dom}(G)$, then for any $\epsilon \in Z^+$

$$\partial_{x, \epsilon}(G \circ F) = \bigcup_{\substack{\epsilon_1 \geq 0, \epsilon_2 \geq 0 \\ \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 = \epsilon}} \bigcup_{B \in \partial_{Fx} F, \epsilon_1(G)} \partial_{x, \epsilon_2}(B \circ F).$$

Sum of convex operators. Let $F_1, \dots, F_n: X \rightarrow X \cup \{+\infty\}$ be convex operators, and let Y be a K -space. If the domains of the Hörmander transforms of F_1, \dots, F_n are in general position [1], then

$$\partial_{x, \epsilon}(F_1 + \dots + F_n) = \bigcup_{\substack{\epsilon_1 \geq 0, \dots, \epsilon_n \geq 0 \\ \epsilon_1 + \dots + \epsilon_n = \epsilon}} (\partial_{x, \epsilon_1}(F_1) + \dots + \partial_{x, \epsilon_n}(F_n)).$$

For scalar functions on a finite dimensional space, this formula was announced in [2].

Maximum of convex operators. Let Y be a vector lattice, and let $F_1, \dots, F_n: X \rightarrow Y \cup \{+\infty\}$ be convex operators whose Hörmander transforms have domains in general position. If Z is a K -space and $A \in L^+(Y, Z)$ is a positive linear operator, then for any $\epsilon \in Z^+$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{x, \epsilon}(A \circ (F_1 \vee \dots \vee F_n)) &= \\ &= \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n \partial_{x, \epsilon_k}(A_k \circ F_k) : A_k \in L^+(Y, Z), \sum_{k=1}^n A_k = A; \right. \\ &\quad \left. \epsilon_k \geq 0, \sum_{k=1}^n \epsilon_k = \epsilon; \sum_{k=1}^n A_k \circ F_k x \geq A \circ F_1 x \vee \dots \vee F_n x - \epsilon_{n+1} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

1980 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 49B30, 90C25.

*Translator's note. In Western literature, K -spaces are usually called conditionally (or boundedly) complete vector lattices.

Composition with an affine operator. Let X, X_1 be vector spaces, let Y be a K -space, and let $F: X \rightarrow Y \cup \{+\infty\}$ be a convex operator whose domain contains an interior point belonging to the range of an affine mapping $A_x: x_1 \rightarrow Ax_1 + x$, where $A \rightarrow L(X_1, X)$, $x \in X$. Then

$$\partial_{x_1, \epsilon}(F \circ A_x) = \partial_{A_x x_1, \epsilon}(F) \circ A,$$

whenever $x_1 \in X_1$ is such that $A_x x_1 \in \text{dom}(F)$.

Composition with a regular convex operator. Let X be a vector space, let Y be a K -space, and let \mathfrak{A} be a weakly order bounded set in $L(X, Y)$. As usual, the symbol $\langle \mathfrak{A} \rangle$ denotes the linear operator which carries X into the K -space $(Y^{\mathfrak{A}})_{\infty}$ (the space of order bounded Y -valued functions on \mathfrak{A}) according to the rule $\langle \mathfrak{A} \rangle x: A \mapsto Ax, A \in \mathfrak{A}$. The symbol $\Delta_{\mathfrak{A}}$ stands for the natural identification of Y with the diagonal of $(Y^{\mathfrak{A}})_{\infty}$ and $\epsilon_{\mathfrak{A}}$ stands for the canonical sublinear operator

$$\epsilon_{\mathfrak{A}}: (Y^{\mathfrak{A}})_{\infty} \rightarrow Y; \quad \epsilon_{\mathfrak{A}} f = \sup \{f(A) : A \in \mathfrak{A}\}.$$

Now assume that $F: X \rightarrow Y \cup \{+\infty\}$ is a regular convex operator, i.e. one which can be represented as $F = \epsilon_{\mathfrak{A}} \circ \langle \mathfrak{A} \rangle_y$ for suitably chosen $\mathfrak{A} \subset L(X, Y)$, $y \in (Y^{\mathfrak{A}})_{\infty}$. Further assume that Z is a K -space and $G: Y \rightarrow Z \cup \{+\infty\}$ is an increasing convex mapping. If the range $F[X]$ contains an interior point of the effective domain $\text{dom}(G)$ and $Fx \in \text{dom}(G)$ for certain $x \in X$, then for any $\epsilon \in Z^+$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{x, \epsilon}(G \circ F) &= \{B \circ \langle \mathfrak{A} \rangle : B \circ \Delta_{\mathfrak{A}} \in \partial_{Fx, \epsilon - \epsilon'}(G); B \in L^+((Y^{\mathfrak{A}})_{\infty}, Z); \\ &0 \leq B \circ \Delta_{\mathfrak{A}} Fx - B \circ \langle \mathfrak{A} \rangle_y x \leq \epsilon' \leq \epsilon\}. \end{aligned}$$

ϵ -optimality for regular programs. Consider a regular convex program

$$Gx \leq 0, \quad Fx \rightarrow \text{inf}.$$

In other words, $G, F: X \rightarrow Y \cup \{+\infty\}$ are convex operators and Y is assumed to be a K -space. For simplicity we also assume that $\text{dom}(F) = \text{dom}(G) = X$ and for any $x \in X$ either $Gx \leq 0$ or $Gx \geq 0$. Finally, we assume that there is $x_0 \in X$ such that $-Gx_0$ is a unit in Y .

A feasible point x is ϵ -optimal for a regular problem if and only if the following system of conditions is consistent:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha, \beta &\in L^+(Y, Y); \quad \alpha + \beta = I_Y; \quad \text{Ker}(\alpha) = \{0\}; \\ \epsilon_1 &\geq 0, \quad \epsilon_2 \geq 0; \quad \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 \leq \alpha\epsilon + \beta \circ Gx; \\ 0 &\in \partial_{x, \epsilon_1}(\alpha \circ F) + \partial_{x, \epsilon_2}(\beta \circ G). \end{aligned}$$

Here I_Y is the identity operator in Y .

ϵ -optimality for Slater-regular problems. Consider the convex problem

$$Ax = Ax_0, \quad Gx \leq 0, \quad Fx \rightarrow \text{inf},$$

where X_1, X are vector spaces, $A \in L(X, Y)$ is a linear operator, $G: X \rightarrow Z \cup \{+\infty\}$ and $F: X \rightarrow Y \cup \{+\infty\}$ are convex operators. For simplicity we assume that $\text{dom}(G) = \text{dom}(F) = X$. Now assume that the problem under consideration is Slater regular; that is, Z is an Archimedean ordered vector space, T is a K -space of bounded elements, and there is a feasible point x_0 such that $-Gx_0$ belongs to the interior of the cone Z^+ .

A feasible point x is ϵ -optimal in a Slater regular program if and only if the following

*Translator's note. In other words $Y = C(Q)$ for a certain extremally disconnected compact Q .

system of conditions is consistent:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma &\in L^+(Z, Y); \quad \mu \in L(X_1, Y); \\ \epsilon_1 &\geq 0, \quad \epsilon_2 \geq 0; \quad \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 \leq \gamma \circ Gx + \epsilon; \\ 0 &\in \partial_{x, \epsilon_1}(F) + \partial_{x, \epsilon_2}(\gamma \circ G) + \mu \circ A. \end{aligned}$$

Pareto ϵ -optimality. Consider a Slater regular program and a positive number ϵ . A feasible point x is called *Pareto ϵ -optimal* (with respect to a strong unit $\mathbf{1}$ in Y) if for any feasible point x' such that $Fx' - Fx \leq -\epsilon\mathbf{1}$ we have $Fx' = Fx - \epsilon\mathbf{1}$.

If a feasible point x is Pareto ϵ -optimal for a Slater regular program and $0 \leq \epsilon < 1$, then there are linear functionals α, β, γ on Y, Z , and X_1 respectively for which the following system of conditions is consistent

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &> 0, \quad \beta \geq 0; \quad \epsilon_1 \geq 0, \quad \epsilon_2 \geq 0; \\ \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 &\leq \epsilon + \beta \circ Gx; \\ 0 &\in \partial_{x, \epsilon_1}(\alpha \circ F) + \partial_{x, \epsilon_2}(\beta \circ G) + \gamma \circ A. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, if these conditions are fulfilled for a feasible point x and $\alpha(\mathbf{1}) = 1$, then x is Pareto ϵ -optimal.

Generalized ϵ -solutions. Let Y be a K -space, and let $F_0: X \rightarrow Y \cup \{+\infty\}$ be a convex operator. Let a convex set U_0 be contained in $\text{dom}(F_0)$. A subset $U \subset U_0$ is called a *generalized ϵ -solution* to the program $x \in U_0, F_0x \rightarrow \inf$ if $\inf F_0[U_0] \geq \inf F_0[U] - \epsilon$.

Consider the space X^U and the operator

$$F: X^U \rightarrow Y^U \cup \{+\infty\}; \quad F\mathfrak{X}: x \rightarrow F_0\mathfrak{X}(x).$$

Let $\mathfrak{X}: x \rightarrow x$ and assume that for any \mathfrak{X}_0 belonging to $(\text{dom}(F_0))^U$ the relation $F\mathfrak{X}_0 \in (Y^U)_\infty$ holds. Assume also that \mathfrak{X} is an interior point of $(\text{dom}(F_0))^U$.

A set U is a generalized ϵ -solution to the program $x \in U_0, F_0x \rightarrow \inf$ if and only if the following system of conditions is consistent

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &\in L^+((Y^U)_\infty, Y); \quad \alpha \circ \Delta_U = I_Y; \\ \alpha \circ F\mathfrak{X} &= \inf_{x \in U} F_0x; \quad \epsilon_1 \geq 0, \quad \epsilon_2 \geq 0, \quad \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 = \epsilon; \\ 0 &\in \partial_{\mathfrak{X}, \epsilon_1}(\alpha \circ F) + \partial_{\mathfrak{X}, \epsilon_2}(\delta_Y((U_0)^U)). \end{aligned}$$

As usual, $\delta_Y(V)$ is the indicator operator of the set V .

Institute of Mathematics

Siberian Branch Academy of Sciences of the USSR

Received 22/NOV/78

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. G. P. Akilov and S. S. Kutateladze, *Ordered vector spaces*, Novosibirsk, 1978. (Russian)
2. V. F. Dem'janov and V. K. Šomesova, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 242 (1978), 753; English transl. in Soviet Math. Dokl. 19 (1978).

Translated by A. D. IOFFE