S. S. Kutateladze UDC 513.86

It is convenient to study Clarke's tangents by means of infinitesimals. It turns out that the most important properties of such tangents are preserved under a restriction of the set of infinitesimal numbers in question [1]. In this paper we establish that in a finite-dimensional space Clarke's cone admits convenient definitions by means of an arbitrary sequence of scalars that converges to zero.

1. Working in the standard environment, we consider a set F in a finite-dimensional space X, a point x' of F, and a norm $\|\cdot\|$ in X. For an infinitesimal strictly positive number α we put

$$\operatorname{Cl}_{\alpha}(F, x') := *\{h' \in X : (\forall x \approx x', x \in F) (\exists h \approx h') \ x + \alpha h \in F\}.$$

Here, as usual, the symbol \approx denotes infinite closeness in X, and * is the symbol of standardization.

Now if Λ is an (external) set of infinitesimals, we define the set ${\rm Cl}_{\Lambda}(F,\ x')$ by the relation

$$\operatorname{Cl}_{\Lambda}(F, x') := * \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \operatorname{Cl}_{\alpha}(F, x').$$

In particular, if Λ is the monad of the filter $\mathcal{F}_{\Lambda}:=*\{A\subset X\colon A\supset \Lambda\}$, then

$$\operatorname{Cl}_{\Lambda}(F, x') = \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} \bigcup_{\substack{\delta > 0 \\ A \in \mathscr{F}}} \bigcap_{\substack{x \in F \\ A \notin A}} \left(\frac{F - x}{\alpha} + \varepsilon B_X \right),$$

where $B_X := \{\|\cdot\|_X \leq 1\}$ is the unit ball in X.

In the case when \mathcal{F}_{Λ} is the filter of a neighborhood of the origin on the semiaxis R^+ , we omit the symbol Λ and talk about the usual Clarke cone (the set F at the point x^+).

2. For a closed not necessarily convex cone F we have

$$Cl_{\alpha}(F, 0) = Cl(F, 0).$$

First of all we observe that the following relation is satisfied:

$$Cl_{\alpha}(F, 0) + F \subset F$$
.

In fact, for $f \in F$ we put $x := \alpha f$. Clearly, $x \approx 0$ and $x \in F$. Thus, by definition, for some h infinitely close to h' we have $x + \alpha h \in F$ on condition that $h' \in \operatorname{Cl}_{\alpha}(F, 0)$. Since $\alpha(f+h) \in F$ and F is a cone, we conclude that $f+h \in F$. Hence $h \in F-f$ and therefore h' lies in the microclosure of F-f. Since F is closed and standard, we see that $f+h' \in F$.

We now take $h' \in \operatorname{Cl}_{\alpha}(F, 0)$, and suppose that $x \in F$ and $x \approx 0$. For any infinitesimal $\beta > 0$ we have

$$x + \beta h' = \beta (x/\beta + h') \in \beta (F + \operatorname{Cl}_{\alpha}(F, 0)) \subset \beta F \subset F$$

by what we have already proved. In other words, $h' \in \operatorname{Cl}(F, 0)$. Since $\operatorname{Cl}(F, 0) \subset \operatorname{Cl}_{\alpha}(F, 0)$, from obvious circumstances we conclude that the sets in question are equal.

- 3. In the condition of Proposition 2 $\operatorname{Cl}_{\alpha}(F,\,0)$ is the maximal convex cone H such that $H+\bar F\subset F$ (cf. [12]). We note that in the proof of Proposition 2 we did not use the fact that X is finite-dimensional. In a finite-dimensional space a much stronger assertion holds.
- 4. THEOREM. Let Λ be an (exterior) set of strictly positive infinitesimals containing an (interior) sequence that converges to zero. Then

†Dedicated to Sergei L'vovich Sobolev.

Novosibirsk. Translated from Sibirskii Matematicheskii Zhurnal, Vol. 29, No. 5, pp. 153-156, September-October, 1988. Original article submitted June 24, 1987.

$$\operatorname{Cl}_{\Lambda}(F, x') = \operatorname{Cl}(F, x').$$

▷ By Leibniz' principle we can work in the standard environment. Since the inclusion $\mathrm{Cl}_\Lambda(F,\,x')\supset\mathrm{Cl}(F,\,x')$ is obvious, we take a standard point h' from $\mathrm{Cl}_\Lambda(F,\,x')$ and establish that h' lies in the Clarke cone Cl(F, x'). By a theorem of Cornet [3, 4] we have

$$\operatorname{Cl}(F, x') = \operatorname{Li}_{\substack{x \to x' \\ x \in F}} K(F, x),$$

where Li symbolizes the lower Kuratowski limit, and K(F, x') is the contingency of the set F at the point x', that is,

$$K(F, x') = \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} \operatorname{cl}\left(\bigcup_{0 < \delta \leq \varepsilon} \frac{F - x'}{\delta}\right).$$

Since

$$\operatorname{Li}_{\substack{x \to x' \\ x \in F}} K(F, x) = *\{h' : (\forall x \approx x', x \in F) (\exists h \approx h') \ h \in K(F, x)\},$$

we verify that when $x \approx x'$ and $x \in F$ we have $h \in K(F, x)$ for some element h infinitely close to h'.

If (α_{n}) is a sequence of elements of Λ that converges to zero, then by hypothesis we have

$$(\forall n \in \mathbb{N}) (\exists h_n) \quad x + \alpha_n h_n \in F \land h_n \approx h'.$$

For any standard $\epsilon > 0$ we have $\|\underline{h}_n - h'\| \leq \epsilon$. Therefore, since X is finite-dimensional, we can try to find sequences $(\bar{\alpha}_n)$ and (\bar{h}_n) such that

$$\overline{\alpha}_n \to 0, \ \overline{h}_n \to \overline{h}, \ \|\overline{h} - h'\| \le \varepsilon, \ x + \overline{\alpha}_n \overline{h}_n \in F \ (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Using the principle of idealization in the strong form, we conclude that there are sequences $(\bar{\alpha}_n)$ and (\bar{h}_n) that simultaneously serve all standard positive numbers ϵ . Clearly, the corresponding limiting vector h is infinitely close to h' and at the same time $h \in K(F,x)$ by the definition of contingency. ▷

- 5. For the set Λ in the theorem we can take the monad of any filter converging to zero, for example, the filter of the tails of a fixed standard sequence (α_n) formed from strictly positive numbers and tending to zero. We give characterizations of Clarke's cone related to this case and additional to those given in [4]. For the formulation let us agree to denote by $d_F(x)$ the distance from a point x of X to the set F.
- 6. THEOREM. For a sequence (α_n) of strictly positive numbers that converges to zero, the following assertions are equivalent:

(1)
$$h' \in Cl(F, x')$$

(2)
$$\limsup_{\substack{x \to x \\ n \to \infty}} \frac{d_F(x + \alpha_n h') - d_F(x)}{\alpha_n} \leq 0;$$

- (3) $\limsup \alpha_n^{-1} (d_F(x + \alpha_n h') d_F(x)) \leq 0;$
- (4) $\lim_{\substack{x \to x' \\ x \in F}} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n^{-1} d_F(x + \alpha_n h') = 0;$
- (5) $\limsup_{x \to x'} \liminf_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n^{-1} (d_F(x + \alpha_n h') d_F(x)) \leq 0;$ (6) $\lim_{\substack{x \to x' \\ x \in F}} \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{d_F(x + \alpha_n h')}{\alpha_n} = 0.$

 \triangleleft First of all we observe that when $\alpha > 0$ we have the equivalence

$${}^{\circ}\alpha^{-1}d_{F}(x+\alpha h')=0 \leftrightarrow (\exists h\approx h') \ x+\alpha h\in F,$$

where °t is, as usual, the standard part of the number t.

In fact, to establish the implication to the left we put $y := x + \alpha h'$.

$$d_F(x+\alpha h')/\alpha \leqslant ||x+\alpha h'-y||/\alpha \leqslant ||h-h'||.$$

To verify the opposite implication, invoking the principle of idealization in the strong form, we obtain successively

$${}^{\circ}\alpha^{-1}d_{F}(x+\alpha h') = 0 \rightarrow (\mathbb{V}^{st}\varepsilon > 0) \ d_{F}(x+\alpha h')/\alpha < \varepsilon \rightarrow (\mathbb{V}^{st}\varepsilon > 0) \ (\exists y \in F) \ \|x+\alpha h' - y\|/\alpha < \varepsilon \rightarrow (\exists y \in F) \ (\mathbb{V}^{st}\varepsilon > 0) \ \|h' - (y-x)/\alpha\| < \varepsilon \rightarrow (\exists y \in F) \ \|h' - (y-x)/\alpha\| \approx 0.$$

Putting $h:=(y-x)/\alpha$, we see that $h\approx h'$ and $x+\alpha h\in F$.

We now turn to the proof of the required equivalences.

Since the implications $(3) \rightarrow (4) \rightarrow (6)$ and $(3) \rightarrow (5) \rightarrow (6)$ are obvious, we merely establish that $(1) \rightarrow (2) \rightarrow (3)$ and $(6) \rightarrow (1)$.

(1) \rightarrow (2). Working in the standard environment, we take $x \approx x'$ and $N \approx +\infty$. We choose $x'' \in F$ so that $||x - x''|| \leq d_F(x) + \alpha_N^2$. Since

$$d_F(x+\alpha_Nh')-d_F(x''+\alpha_Nh')\leqslant \|x-x''\|,$$

we derive the following estimates:

$$(d_F(x + \alpha_N h') - d_F(x))/\alpha_N \leq (d_F(x'' + \alpha_N h') + \|x - x''\| - d_F(x))/\alpha_N \leq d_F(x'' + \alpha_N h')/\alpha_N + \alpha_N.$$

Since $h' \in \operatorname{Cl}(F, x')$, taking account of the choice of x" and N, for some h \approx h' we have $x'' + \alpha_N h \in F$. So, on the basis of what we have already proved, ${}^{\circ}d_F(x'' + \alpha_N h')/\alpha_N = 0$. Hence

$$(\forall x \approx x') (\forall N \approx +\infty) \ \ ^{\circ}\alpha_N^{-1} (d_F(x+\alpha_N h')-d_F(x)) \leqslant 0.$$

As we know, this is a nonstandard criterion for the truth of (2).

(2) \rightarrow (3). It is sufficient to observe that for $f: U \times V \to \overline{R}$ and the filters \mathcal{F} in U and \mathcal{G} in V we have

$$\lim\sup_{\mathscr{F}}\lim\sup_{\mathscr{C}}f\left(x,\,y\right)\leqslant t \leftrightarrow (\forall\,x\in\mu\,(\mathscr{F}))\,\mathrm{^{\circ}lim}\sup_{\mathscr{C}}f\left(x,\,y\right)\leqslant t$$

$$\leftrightarrow\left(\forall x\!\in\!\mu\left(\mathcal{F}\right)\right)\left(\mathbf{V}^{\mathrm{st}}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\!>\!0\right)\inf_{\boldsymbol{G}\in\mathcal{G}}\sup_{\boldsymbol{y}\in\boldsymbol{G}}f\left(\boldsymbol{x},\,\boldsymbol{y}\right)\!<\!t+\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\leftrightarrow\left(\forall x\!\in\!\mu\left(\mathcal{F}\right)\right)\left(\mathbf{V}^{\mathrm{st}}\,\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\!>\!0\right)\left(\exists\boldsymbol{G}\!\in\!\mathcal{G}\right)\sup_{\boldsymbol{y}\in\boldsymbol{G}}f\left(\boldsymbol{x},\,\boldsymbol{y}\right)\!<\!t+\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$$

$$\leftrightarrow (\forall x \in \mu \, (\mathcal{F})) \, (\exists G \in \mathcal{G}) \, \big(\forall^{\mathrm{st}} \, \epsilon > 0 \big) \sup_{y \in G} f(x, \, y) < t \, + \, \epsilon \, \leftrightarrow (\forall x \in \mu \, (\mathcal{F})) \, (\exists G \in \mathcal{G}) \, \big(\forall^{\mathrm{st}} \, \epsilon > 0 \big) \sup_{y \in G} f(x, \, y) \leqslant t \, + \, \epsilon \, \leftrightarrow (\forall x \in \mu \, (\mathcal{F})) \, (\exists G \in \mathcal{G}) \, \big(\forall^{\mathrm{st}} \, \epsilon > 0 \big) \, \sup_{y \in G} f(x, \, y) \leqslant t \, + \, \epsilon \, \leftrightarrow (\forall x \in \mu \, (\mathcal{F})) \, (\exists G \in \mathcal{G}) \, \big(\forall^{\mathrm{st}} \, \epsilon > 0 \big) \, \sup_{y \in G} f(x, \, y) \leqslant t \, + \, \epsilon \, \leftrightarrow (\forall x \in \mu \, (\mathcal{F})) \, (\exists G \in \mathcal{G}) \, \big(\forall^{\mathrm{st}} \, \epsilon > 0 \big) \, \sup_{y \in G} f(x, \, y) \leqslant t \, + \, \epsilon \, \leftrightarrow (\forall x \in \mu \, (\mathcal{F})) \, (\exists G \in \mathcal{G}) \, \big(\forall^{\mathrm{st}} \, \epsilon > 0 \big) \, \sup_{y \in G} f(x, \, y) \leqslant t \, + \, \epsilon \, \leftrightarrow (\forall x \in \mu \, (\mathcal{F})) \, (\exists G \in \mathcal{G}) \, \big(\forall^{\mathrm{st}} \, \epsilon > 0 \big) \, \sup_{y \in G} f(x, \, y) \leqslant t \, + \, \epsilon \, \leftrightarrow (\forall x \in \mu \, (\mathcal{F})) \, (\exists G \in \mathcal{G}) \, \big(\forall^{\mathrm{st}} \, \epsilon > 0 \big) \, \sup_{y \in G} f(x, \, y) \leqslant t \, + \, \epsilon \, \leftrightarrow (\forall x \in \mu \, (\mathcal{F})) \, (\exists G \in \mathcal{G}) \, \big(\forall^{\mathrm{st}} \, \epsilon > 0 \big) \, \sup_{y \in G} f(x, \, y) \leqslant t \, + \, \epsilon \, \leftrightarrow (\forall x \in \mu \, (\mathcal{F})) \, (\exists G \in \mathcal{G}) \, \big(\forall^{\mathrm{st}} \, \epsilon > 0 \big) \, \sup_{y \in G} f(x, \, y) \leqslant t \, + \, \epsilon \, \leftrightarrow (\forall x \in \mu \, (\mathcal{F})) \, (\exists G \in \mathcal{G}) \, \big(\forall^{\mathrm{st}} \, \epsilon > 0 \big) \, \sup_{y \in G} f(x, \, y) \leqslant t \, + \, \epsilon \, \leftrightarrow (\forall x \in \mu \, (\mathcal{F})) \, (\exists G \in \mathcal{G}) \, \big(\forall^{\mathrm{st}} \, \epsilon > 0 \big) \, \bigoplus_{y \in G} f(x, \, y) \leqslant t \, + \, \epsilon \, \leftrightarrow (\forall x \in \mu \, (\mathcal{F})) \, (\exists G \in \mathcal{G}) \, \big(\forall^{\mathrm{st}} \, \epsilon > 0 \big) \, \bigoplus_{y \in G} f(x, \, y) \leqslant t \, + \, \epsilon \, \leftrightarrow (\forall x \in \mu \, (\mathcal{F})) \, (\exists G \in \mathcal{G}) \, \big(\forall^{\mathrm{st}} \, \epsilon > 0 \big) \, \bigoplus_{y \in G} f(x, \, y) \leqslant t \, + \, \epsilon \, \leftrightarrow (\forall x \in \mu \, (\mathcal{F})) \, (\exists G \in \mathcal{G}) \, \big(\forall^{\mathrm{st}} \, \epsilon > 0 \big) \, \bigoplus_{y \in G} f(x, \, y) \leqslant t \, + \, \epsilon \, \leftrightarrow (\forall x \in \mu \, (\mathcal{F})) \, (\exists G \in \mathcal{G}) \, \big(\forall^{\mathrm{st}} \, \epsilon > 0 \big) \, \bigoplus_{y \in G} f(x, \, y) \leqslant t \, + \, \epsilon \, \leftrightarrow (\forall x \in \mu \, (\mathcal{F})) \, (\exists G \in \mathcal{G}) \, \big(\forall^{\mathrm{st}} \, \epsilon > 0 \big) \, \bigoplus_{y \in G} f(x, \, y) \leqslant t \, + \, \epsilon \, \leftrightarrow (\forall x \in \mu \, (\mathcal{F})) \, (\exists G \in \mathcal{G}) \, \big(\forall^{\mathrm{st}} \, \epsilon > 0 \big) \, \bigoplus_{y \in G} f(x, \, y) \leqslant t \, + \, \epsilon \, \leftrightarrow (\forall x \in \mu \, (\mathcal{F})) \, (\exists G \in \mathcal{G}) \, \big(\forall^{\mathrm{st}} \, \epsilon > 0 \big) \, \bigoplus_{y \in G} f(x, \, y) \leqslant t \, + \, \epsilon \, \leftrightarrow (\forall x \in \mu \, (\mathcal{F})) \, (\exists G \in \mathcal{G}) \, \big(\forall^{\mathrm{st}} \, \epsilon > 0 \big) \, \bigoplus_{y \in G} f(x, \, y) \, (\exists G \in \mathcal{G}) \, \big(\forall^{\mathrm{st}} \, \epsilon > 0 \big) \, (\exists G \in \mathcal{G}) \, \big(\forall^{\mathrm{st}} \, \epsilon > 0 \big) \, (\exists G \in \mathcal{G}) \, \big($$

$$\leftrightarrow$$
 $(\forall x \in \mu(\mathcal{F})) (\exists G \in \mathcal{G}) (\forall y \in G) \ ^{\circ} f(x, y) \leq t.$

Here, as usual, $\mu(\mathcal{F})$ is the monad of the filter \mathcal{F} , that is, the exterior of standard elements of \mathcal{F} .

 $(6) \rightarrow (1)$. First of all, in the notation of the previous fragment of the proof, we have

$$\limsup_{\mathscr{F}} \liminf_{\mathscr{F}} f\left(x,\,y\right) \leqslant t \leftrightarrow (\forall\, x \in \mu\,(\mathscr{F})) \, \mathop{\circ}\limits_{G \in \,\mathscr{G}} \inf_{y \in G} f\left(x,\,y\right) \leqslant t$$

$$\leftrightarrow \left(\mathbb{V} x \in \mu \left(\mathcal{F} \right) \right) \left(\mathbb{V}^{\mathsf{st}} \, \varepsilon > 0 \right) \left(\mathbb{V} G \in \mathcal{G} \right) \inf_{y \in G} f \left(x, \, y \right) \leqslant t + \varepsilon \\ \leftrightarrow \left(\mathbb{V} x \in \mu \left(\mathcal{F} \right) \right) \left(\mathbb{V} G \in \mathcal{G} \right) \left(\mathbb{V}^{\mathsf{st}} \, \varepsilon > 0 \right) \inf_{y \in G} f \left(x, \, y \right) < t + \varepsilon \\$$

$$\leftrightarrow (\forall x \in \mu(\mathcal{F})) \ (\forall G \in \mathcal{G}) \ (\forall^{\text{st}} \epsilon > 0) \ (\exists y \in G) \ f(x, y) < t + \epsilon \\ \leftrightarrow (\forall x \in \mu(\mathcal{F})) \ (\forall G \in \mathcal{G}) \ (\exists y \in G) \ \ ^{\circ} f(x, y) \leqslant t.$$

Invoking the conditions from the criterion we have established, we deduce that

$$(\forall x \approx x', x \in F) (\forall n) (\exists N \geqslant n) \circ \alpha_N^{-1} d_F(x + \alpha_N h') = 0.$$

In other words, for some h_N such that $h_N \approx h'$ we have $x + \alpha_N h_N \in F$. On the basis of the previous arguments, as in the proof of Theorem 4, we can deduce that h' lies in the lower Kuratowski limit of the contingencies of the set F at points close to x', that is, in the Clarke cone Cl(F, x'). \triangleright

LITERATURE CITED

- 1. S. S. Kutateladze, "Infinitesimals and the calculus of tangents," Trudy Inst. Mat. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Sib. Otd., $\underline{9}$, 123-135 (1987).
- 2. D. H. Martin and G. G. Watkins, "Cores of tangent cones and Clarke's tangent cone," Math. Oper. Res., 10, No. 4, 565-575 (1985).
- 3. J.-P. Penot, "A characterization of tangential regularity," Nonlinear Anal., 3, No. 6, 625-643 (1981).
- 4. J.-P. Aubin, "Lipschitz behaviour of solutions to convex minimization problems," Math. Oper. Res., 9, No. 1, 87-111 (1984).