

6. I. M. Kaplinskaya, "Discrete groups generated by reflections in the faces of simplicial prisms in Lobachevsky space," *Mat. Zametki*, 15, No. 1, 159-164 (1974).
7. E. M. Andreev, "Convex polyhedra in Lobachevsky space," *Math. Sb.*, 81, 445-478 (1970).
8. E. M. Andreev, "Finite convex polyhedra in Lobachevsky space," *Math. Sb.*, 83, No. 2, 256-260 (1970).
9. H. S. M. Coxeter, *Introduction to Geometry*, Wiley (1969).
10. H. Zieschang, E. Vogt, and H.-D. Coldewey, *Surfaces and Planar Discontinuous Groups*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Vol. 835, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York (1980).

MONADS OF PRO-ULTRAFILTERS AND EXTENSIONAL FILTERS

S. S. Kutateladze

UDC 517.11

In [1] we proposed an approach to the application of monadology, a branch of nonstandard analysis, to the study of cyclic filters, which arise in the context of Boolean-valued models. In this paper we characterize the monads of pro-ultrafilters and extensional filters and discuss some relevant properties of these objects. To save space, we shall use the notation and conventions described in detail in [1] without further explanation. We emphasize only that henceforth B will denote a fixed complete Boolean algebra and $V^{(B)}$ the corresponding separable Boolean-valued universe. The truth value of a formula φ of Zermelo-Fraenkel set theory will be denoted by $[\varphi]$. When monadology is used, the neoclassical formulation is assumed. We shall generally adopt the hypothesis that the entourage is standard, without further mention.

1. Let X be a cyclic set (= descent of some B -set). As usual, the symbol μ_d will denote the operation producing the (discrete) monadic hull. In other words, $\mu_d(\emptyset) := \emptyset$, and if U is a nonempty set in X then $\mu_d(U)$ is the monad of the standardization of the external filter of supersets of U , i.e.,

$$x \in \mu_d(U) \leftrightarrow ((\forall^{st} V \subset X) U \subset V \rightarrow x \in U).$$

By analogy, we define the cyclic monadic hull μ_c as follows:

$$x \in \mu_c(U) \leftrightarrow (\forall^{st} V) (V = V \uparrow \wedge V \subset X \wedge U \subset V \rightarrow x \in V).$$

Thus, if U is not empty, the cyclic monadic hull $\mu_c(U)$ is the monad of the cyclic closure of the standardization of the filter of supersets of U .

2. The cyclic monadic hull of a set is the cyclic closure of its monadic hull:

$$\mu_c(U) = \text{mix}(\mu_d(U))$$

for every U .

\triangleleft Let $U \neq \emptyset$ and let V be a standard set such that $V \supset \text{mix}(\mu_d(U))$. By Theorem 2.3 of [1], there exists W in the filter $\ast\{U_1 \subset X: U_1 \supset U\}$ such that $V \supset W \uparrow$ and so $V \supset \mu_c(U)$. Thus $\mu_c(U) \subset \text{mix}(\mu_d(U))$, since the set on the right is a monad. Conversely, if $V \supset \mu_c(U)$ and V is standard, then V contains the cyclic closure of a superset of U and thus $V \supset U$. Hence $V \supset \mu(\ast\{W: W \supset U\} \uparrow)$ and it remains to appeal again to Theorem 2.3 of [1]. \triangleright

3. Cyclic filters in X that are maximal with respect to inclusion will be called pro-ultrafilters in X . An essential point in X is defined to be an element of the monad of a standard pro-ultrafilter. The external set of all essential points of X will be denoted by eX . It is useful to emphasize that the pro-ultrafilters in X are precisely the descents of the ultrafilters in the ascent $X \uparrow$ of X .

4. Nonstandard Pro-Ultrafilter Criterion. A filter is a pro-ultrafilter if and only if, first, its monad is cyclic, and, second, it is easily captured by a standard cyclic set.

\triangleleft Let \mathcal{F} be a filter. We have to prove that the following proposition is valid:

$$(\mathcal{F} \text{ is a pro-ultrafilter}) \leftrightarrow \mu(\mathcal{F}) = \text{mix}(\mu(\mathcal{F})) \wedge (\forall^{st} V) (V = V \uparrow \rightarrow \mu(\mathcal{F}) \subset V \vee \mu(\mathcal{F}) \subset V').$$

If V is standard, then either $\mu(\mathcal{F}) \cap V = \emptyset$, or $\mu(\mathcal{F}) \cap V \neq \emptyset$. In the first case $V' := X \setminus V \in \mathcal{F}$. In the second, we have a filter \mathcal{G} with monad $\mu(\mathcal{F}) \cap V$. Clearly, if \mathcal{F} is a pro-ultrafilter and V a cyclic set, then $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{F}$ (by the criterion for cyclic filters contained in Theorem 2.2 of [1]). Thus $V' \in \mathcal{F}$, proving the implication \rightarrow .

We now prove the implication \leftarrow . Let \mathcal{G} be a cyclic filter finer than \mathcal{F} . Clearly, $G \in \mathcal{G} \rightarrow G' \notin \mathcal{F}$ [otherwise $G' \supset \mu(\mathcal{F}) \supset \mu(\mathcal{G})$]. Thus $G \in \mathcal{F}$. Consequently, $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{F}$. \triangleright

5. Standard Pro-Ultrafilter Criteria. Let \mathcal{F} be a cyclic filter in X . Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) \mathcal{F} is a pro-ultrafilter;
- (2) for any finite set \mathcal{E} of subsets of X , either $(\cup \mathcal{E})' \in \mathcal{F}$ or $E \uparrow \downarrow \in \mathcal{F}$ for some $E \in \mathcal{E}$;
- (3) for every finite sequence of cyclic sets, \mathcal{F} contains either one of them or the complement of each of them;
- (4) if U is an arbitrary set, then either $U \uparrow \downarrow \in \mathcal{F}$, or $U' \in \mathcal{F}$;
- (5) for every cyclic V , either $V \in \mathcal{F}$ or $V' \in \mathcal{F}$.

\triangleleft To prove (1) \rightarrow (2), we use the transfer principle and the nonstandard pro-ultrafilter criterion of Sec. 4. Thus, let \mathcal{F} be a standard filter and \mathcal{E} a standard finite set of standard subsets of X . There are two possibilities: either $\mu(\mathcal{F}) \cap \cup \mathcal{E} = \emptyset$ or $\mu(\mathcal{F}) \cap \cup \mathcal{E} \neq \emptyset$. In the first case, the set $(\cup \mathcal{E})'$ is obviously in \mathcal{F} . In the second, there exists $E \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $E \cap \mu(\mathcal{F}) \neq \emptyset$. Thus $E \uparrow \downarrow \cap \mu(\mathcal{F}) \neq \emptyset$. Since $E \uparrow \downarrow$ is standard, it follows from Sec. 4 that $E \uparrow \downarrow \supset \mu(\mathcal{F})$ and therefore $E \uparrow \downarrow \in \mathcal{F}$.

The implications (2) \rightarrow (3) \rightarrow (4) \rightarrow (5) are evident. The fact that (5) implies (1) follows from Sec. 4 and the transfer principle. \triangleright

6. COROLLARY. Let \mathcal{F} be a filter in X . The filter $\mathcal{F} \uparrow \downarrow$ is a pro-ultrafilter if and only if, for every subset U of X , either $U \uparrow \downarrow \in \mathcal{F}$ or there exists F in \mathcal{F} such that $F \uparrow \downarrow \subset U'$.

7. COROLLARY. Let \mathcal{F} be a filter in X . Then \mathcal{F} is a pro-ultrafilter if and only if $\mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{F}) \uparrow \downarrow$, where \mathcal{F} is the grill of \mathcal{F} , defined by

$$U \in \mathcal{F} \leftrightarrow (\forall F \in \mathcal{F}) U \cap F \neq \emptyset.$$

\triangleleft Suppose it is known that \mathcal{F} is a pro-ultrafilter. Clearly, $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{F}$ and so $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F} \uparrow \downarrow \subset (\mathcal{F}) \uparrow \downarrow$. If $V \in (\mathcal{F}) \uparrow \downarrow$, there exists U in \mathcal{F} , such that $V \supset U \uparrow \downarrow$. Then $U \uparrow \downarrow$ is surely an element of \mathcal{F} , by (4). Thus also $V \in \mathcal{F}$.

Suppose now that $\mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{F}) \uparrow \downarrow$. Since by definition every element of the set on the right of this equality is a superset of a cyclic set, \mathcal{F} is a cyclic filter. Let U be an arbitrary cyclic set. If $U \cap F = \emptyset$ for some $F \in \mathcal{F}$, then $U' \in \mathcal{F}$. But if $U \cap F \neq \emptyset$ for every $F \in \mathcal{F}$, then U is an element of $(\mathcal{F}) \uparrow \downarrow$ and therefore $U \in \mathcal{F}$. It now follows from (5) that \mathcal{F} is a pro-ultrafilter. \triangleright

8. The family $(\mathcal{F}) \uparrow \downarrow$, figuring in Sec. 7 is called the cyclic grill of \mathcal{F} or (rarely) a pro-grill. The meaning of this concept and its mode of application are clarified in connection with the technique of descents and ascents. Recall that if \mathcal{E} is a family of nonempty subsets of $X \uparrow$ then the descent $\mathcal{E} \downarrow$ is defined by

$$U \in \mathcal{E} \downarrow \leftrightarrow U \subset X \wedge (\exists E \in \mathcal{E} \downarrow) U \supset E \downarrow.$$

9. If \mathcal{F} is a filter and \mathcal{F} its grill, then within $v(B)$

$$(\mathcal{F}) \downarrow = (\mathcal{F} \downarrow) \uparrow \downarrow.$$

\triangleleft To prove this, it suffices to observe that by the rules for evaluation of true values in $v(B)$,

$$[U \uparrow \downarrow \in \mathcal{F}] = \bigwedge_{F \in \mathcal{F} \downarrow} [A \uparrow \cap F \uparrow \neq \emptyset],$$

where A is a subset of X . \triangleright

10. An extensional filter is a pro-ultrafilter if and only if its cyclic grill is a filter.

◁ Clearly, a filter \mathcal{F} is a pro-ultrafilter if and only if \mathcal{F}' coincides with its grill within $V(B)$. But this happens if and only if the grill of \mathcal{F}' is a filter within $V(B)$. It remains to appeal to Sec. 9.

11. Essentiality Criterion. A point is essential if and only if it can be separated by a standard cyclic set from any standard set not containing it.

◁ Written symbolically, our assertion is

$$x \in {}^e X \leftrightarrow (\forall U = U \uparrow \downarrow) x \notin U \rightarrow (\exists V = V \uparrow \downarrow) x \in V \wedge U \cap V = \emptyset.$$

Suppose first that x is an essential point and let U be a cyclic set such that $x \notin U$. By Sec. 3, the complement U' is an element of the filter $(x) \uparrow \downarrow$ generated by the cyclic super-sets of x [because $(x) \uparrow \downarrow$ is a pro-ultrafilter by assumption]. Thus, for some V we have $x \in V$ and $V \uparrow \downarrow \cap U \neq \emptyset$.

If the separability condition is fulfilled, then the cyclic filter $(x) \uparrow \downarrow$ satisfies the conditions of the pro-ultrafilter criterion of Sec. 4. In fact, let $U = U \uparrow \downarrow$ be an arbitrary cyclic set. We must show that either U or U' occurs in $(x) \uparrow \downarrow$. If $x \in U$, then by definition $U \in (x) \uparrow \downarrow$. But if $x \in U'$, then by assumption there exists some $V \in (x) \uparrow \downarrow$ such that $V \cap U = \emptyset$, i.e., $V \subset U'$ and $U' \in (x) \uparrow \downarrow$. ▷

12. COROLLARY. If the monad of an ultrafilter \mathcal{F} contains an essential point, then $\mu(\mathcal{F}) \subset {}^e X$ and, in addition, $\mathcal{F}' \uparrow \downarrow$ is a pro-ultrafilter.

◁ Let V be an arbitrary cyclic set and $x \in \mu(\mathcal{F}) \cap {}^e X$. If $x \in V$, then $V \cap \mu(\mathcal{F}) \neq \emptyset$ and so $V \in \mathcal{F}$; therefore also $V \in \mathcal{F}' \uparrow \downarrow$. If $x \notin V$, then by Sec. 11 there exists a cyclic U such that $x \in U$ and $U \cap V = \emptyset$. Clearly, $U \in \mathcal{F}' \uparrow \downarrow$. Hence it follows that $V' \in \mathcal{F}' \uparrow \downarrow$. It remains to refer to Sec. 5, to conclude that $\mathcal{F}' \uparrow \downarrow$ is a pro-ultrafilter. As already remarked, $\mu(\mathcal{F}) \uparrow \downarrow \subset {}^e X$ in this case. Since $(\mathcal{F}' \uparrow \downarrow) = \text{mix}(\mu(\mathcal{F}'))$, our assertion follows from Proposition 2.3 of [1].

13. Extensional Filter Criterion. A filter is extensional if and only if its monad is the cyclic monadic hull of the set of its essential points.

◁ Symbolically written, our assertion is

$$(\mathcal{F} \text{ is extensional}) \leftrightarrow \mu(\mathcal{F}) = \text{mix}(\mu({}^e \mu(\mathcal{F}))).$$

The condition that \mathcal{F} be extensional may be written $\{\mathcal{F}' \text{ is a filter in } X\} = 1$. Using the transfer principle of Boolean-valued analysis, we see that for some set \mathfrak{A} of pro-ultrafilters in X

$$[F \in \mathcal{F}'] = \bigwedge_{\mathcal{A} \in \mathfrak{A}} [F \in \mathcal{A}'].$$

Hence it follows that for a cyclic set F in X

$$F \in \mathcal{F}' \leftrightarrow F \in \bigcap_{\mathcal{A} \in \mathfrak{A}} \mathcal{A}'.$$

Hence, for a standard cyclic F ,

$$F \supset \mu(\mathcal{F}') \leftrightarrow F \supset \mu_d \left(\bigcup_{\mathcal{A} \in {}^e \mathfrak{A}} \mu(\mathcal{A}') \right),$$

where ${}^e \mathfrak{A}$ is the standard kernel of \mathfrak{A} , i.e., the external set of standard elements of \mathfrak{A} . By Sec. 2, this can be rewritten as

$$\mu(\mathcal{F}') = \text{mix} \left(\mu \left(\bigcup_{\mathcal{A} \in {}^e \mathfrak{A}} \mu(\mathcal{A}') \right) \right).$$

It remains to observe that by Proposition 2.5 of [1] monads of pro-ultrafilters consist only of essential points and the set \mathfrak{A} is the collection of pro-ultrafilters majorizing \mathcal{F} . ▷

14. COROLLARY. A standard set is cyclic if and only if it is the cyclic monadic hull of its essential points.

15. Let \mathcal{F} be a filter in X and b an element of the Boolean algebra B . Let $b\mathcal{F}$ be the image of \mathcal{F} under multiplication by b . Then

$$b(b\mathcal{F})' = b\mathcal{F}'.$$

◁ Indeed, we have the estimate

$$[(b\mathcal{F})^\dagger = \mathcal{F}^\dagger] \geq \bigwedge_{F \in \mathcal{F}} [(bF)^\dagger \in \mathcal{F}^\dagger] \geq \bigwedge_{F \in \mathcal{F}} [(bF)^\dagger = F^\dagger] \geq \bigwedge_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \bigwedge_{x \in X} [bx \in F^\dagger] \geq \bigwedge_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \bigwedge_{x \in X} [bx = x] \geq b.$$

This implies our assertion. ▷

16. Let \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} be filters within $V(B)$ and $b \in B$. Then

$$b\mathcal{F} = b\mathcal{G} \leftrightarrow b\mathcal{F}^\dagger = b\mathcal{G}^\dagger.$$

◁ If $[\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{G}] \geq b$, then by the maximum principle, for every $F \in \mathcal{F}^\dagger$ there exists $G \in \mathcal{G}^\dagger$ such that

$$[F^\dagger \supset G^\dagger] = [\mathcal{F} \supset \mathcal{G}] \geq b.$$

In other words, $bF^\dagger \supset bG^\dagger$, and so if F and G are cyclic then $bF \supset bG$. Thus $b\mathcal{F}^\dagger \subset b\mathcal{G}^\dagger$.

Suppose now that $b\mathcal{F}^\dagger \subset b\mathcal{G}^\dagger$. Then, successively applying Sec. 15, we obtain

$$b\mathcal{F}^\dagger \subset b\mathcal{G}^\dagger \rightarrow (b\mathcal{F}^\dagger)^\dagger \subset (b\mathcal{G}^\dagger)^\dagger \rightarrow b(b\mathcal{F}^\dagger)^\dagger \subset b(b\mathcal{G}^\dagger)^\dagger \rightarrow b(b\mathcal{F}^\dagger)^\dagger \subset b(b\mathcal{G}^\dagger)^\dagger \rightarrow b\mathcal{F} \subset b\mathcal{G}.$$

Finally, we conclude that $[\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{G}] \geq b \leftrightarrow b\mathcal{F}^\dagger \subset b\mathcal{G}^\dagger$. This gives the required equivalence.

17. Nonstandard Criterion for Mixing of Filters. Let $(\mathcal{F}_i)_{i \in \Xi}$ be a standard family of extensional filters and $(b_i)_{i \in \Xi}$ a standard partition of the identity. A filter \mathcal{F} is a mixture of $(\mathcal{F}_i)_{i \in \Xi}$ with probabilities $(b_i)_{i \in \Xi}$ if and only if

$$(V^{st} \xi \in \Xi) b_i \mu(\mathcal{F}) = b_i \mu(\mathcal{F}_i).$$

◁ By the general definition, F is an element of a mixture $\sum_{i \in \Xi} b_i \mathcal{F}_i$, if there exists a family $(F_i)_{i \in \Xi}$ such that $F_i \in \mathcal{F}_i$ ($\xi \in \Xi$) and at the same time $F \supset \sum_{i \in \Xi} b_i F_i$. Applying rules 1.1 and 1.2 of [1] and using the extensionality of the filters in the family $(\mathcal{F}_i)_{i \in \Xi}$, we conclude that, first, \mathcal{F} is also extensional, and, second, that the ascent \mathcal{F}^\dagger is a mixture of $(\mathcal{F}_i^\dagger)_{i \in \Xi}$ with the same probabilities. Using the separability of $V(B)$ and Sec. 16, we successively obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}^\dagger &= \sum_{i \in \Xi} b_i \mathcal{F}_i^\dagger \leftrightarrow (V^{st} \xi \in \Xi) b_i \mathcal{F}^\dagger = b_i \mathcal{F}_i^\dagger \leftrightarrow \\ &\leftrightarrow (V^{st} \xi \in \Xi) b_i \mathcal{F}^{\dagger\dagger} = b_i \mathcal{F}_i^{\dagger\dagger} \leftrightarrow \\ &\leftrightarrow (V^{st} \xi \in \Xi) b_i \mathcal{F} = b_i \mathcal{F}_i \leftrightarrow (V^{st} \xi \in \Xi) \mu(b_i \mathcal{F}) = \mu(b_i \mathcal{F}_i) \leftrightarrow (V^{st} \xi \in \Xi) b_i \mu(\mathcal{F}) = b_i \mu(\mathcal{F}_i). \end{aligned}$$

We have here used the fact that the monad of the image under a standard map is the image of that monad.

LITERATURE CITED

1. S. S. Kutateladze, "Cyclic monads and their applications," Sib. Mat. Zh., 27, No. 1, 100-110 (1986).