

---

# **New asymptotics of first-passage times for random walks in the triangular array setting**

**Alexander Sakhanenko**

Joint works with

**Vitali Wachtel and Denis Denisov**

Applied Probability Workshop 2020  
Mathematical Center in Academgorodok,  
Novosibirsk State University  
August 27, 2020, Online

---

**Denis Denisov**

School of Mathematics, University of Manchester,  
Oxford Road, Manchester M13 9PL, UK  
denis.denisov@manchester.ac.uk

**Alexander Sakhanenko<sup>a</sup>**

Novosibirsk State University,  
Pirogova street, 2, Novosibirsk, 630090, Russia  
and Sobolev Institute of Mathematics,  
aisakh@mail.ru

**Vitali Wachtel<sup>b</sup>**

Institut für Mathematik, Universität Augsburg,  
86135 Augsburg, Germany  
vitali.wachtel@math.uni-augsburg.de

<sup>a)</sup> The work of A.S. is supported by Mathematical Center in Akademgorodok under agreement No. 075-15-2019-1675 with the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Russian Federation and it is supported by RFBR (grant No 20-51-12007).

---

## Statement of the Problem — I

Consider a random walk  $S_1, S_2, \dots$  with  $S_0 = 0$ .

For arbitrary non-random real numbers  $g_1, g_2, \dots$  let

$$(1) \quad T := \min\{n \geq 1 : S_n \leq g_n\}$$

be the time of the first crossing of the moving boundary  $\{g_n\}$  by the random walk  $\{S_n\}$ .

We are going to study the asymptotic behaviour of the distributions of first-passage times over moving (non-constant) boundaries

$$(2) \quad \mathbf{P}(T > n) = \mathbf{P}\left(\min_{1 \leq k \leq n} (S_k - g_k) > 0\right) \downarrow$$

for non-classical random walks  $\{S_n\}$ .

(In what follows, all unspecified limits are taken with respect to  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .)

---

## Natural assumptions — I

### Assumptions (A).

**(A1)** increments  $X_k = S_k - S_{k-1}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , are independent random variables;

**(A2)** they have zero means;

**(A3)** they satisfy the *classical Lindeberg condition*.

In particular, **(A4)**  $B_n^2 := \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{E}X_{i,n}^2 \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Assumption (G)**  $g_n = o(B_n)$ , i.e. the boundary  $\{g_n\}$  is of a small magnitude;

in particular, **(G+)**  $G_n := \max_{k \leq n} |g_k| = o(B_n)$ .

Main notation:

$$(3) \quad E_n := \mathbf{E}[S_n - g_n : T_n > n] \geq 0.$$

Note that for all  $n \geq 1$

$$(4) \quad E_n > 0 \quad \text{iff} \quad \mathbf{P}(T > n) > 0.$$

---

**Theorem 1+.** *Under Assumptions (A) and (G)*

$$B_n \mathbf{P}(T > n) = \sqrt{2/\pi} E_n(1 + \alpha_n), \quad (AM)$$

where  $\alpha_n \rightarrow 0$ .

In particular, we have **the universal formula (M)** for asymptotic of  $\mathbf{P}(T > n)$ :

**Theorem 1.** (See [1]) *Suppose that*

$$\mathbf{P}(T > n) > 0 \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1. \quad (T+)$$

*Then under Assumptions (A) and (G)*

$$\mathbf{P}(T > n) \sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{E_n}{B_n} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{\mathbf{E}[S_n - g_n; T > n]}{B_n}. \quad (M)$$

**[1] Denisov, D., Sakhanenko, A. and Wachtel, V.**

First-passage times for random walks with non-identically distributed increments.

*Ann. Probab.* **46**(6): 3313-3350, 2018.

---

**Theorem 1.** (See [1]) Suppose that

$$\mathbf{P}(T > n) > 0 \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1. \quad (T+)$$

Then under Assumptions (A) and (G)

$$\mathbf{P}(T > n) \sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{E_n}{B_n} \rightarrow 0. \quad (M)$$

**Remark 1.** When condition (T+) is not true, then there exists an  $N_0 < \infty$  such that

$$(5) \quad E_n = 0 = \mathbf{P}(T > n) \quad \forall n > N_0.$$

But Theorem 1+ remains valid in all cases for all  $n \geq 1$ .

**Theorem 1+.** Under Assumptions (A) and (G)

$$B_n \mathbf{P}(T > n) = \sqrt{2/\pi} E_n (1 + \alpha_n) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{where } \alpha_n \rightarrow 0. \quad (AM)$$

---

## Statement of the Problem — II

- a) to generalize Theorem 1 for the case of random walks in the triangular array setting;
- b) to obtain a rate of convergence for  $\alpha_n \rightarrow 0$ ;
- c) to obtain an estimate for  $\alpha_n$  up to some absolute constants.

## Assumptions — II

### Assumptions (B).

- (A1-)** increments  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ , are independent for a fixed  $n \geq 1$ ;
- (A2-)** they have zero means;
- (B3)** they are *bounded* by a constant  $r_n < \infty$ , i.e.

$$\mathbf{P}(|X_i| \leq r_n) = 1 \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, n; \quad (B3)$$

**(A4-)**  $B_n^2 := \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{E}X_{i,n}^2 > 0$ .

---

## Main result

**Theorem 2+.** *Under Assumptions (B)*

$$\alpha_n \leq C \rho_n^{2/3} \quad \text{if} \quad \rho_n := \frac{r_n + G_n}{B_n} \leq \frac{1}{24}, \quad (BM)$$

where  $C < \infty$  is an absolute constant and  $G_n := \max_{k \leq n} |g_k|$ .

Under additional Assumptions (G+) and (T+) a weaker variant of Theorem 2+ have been proved in our joint paper:

**[2] Denisov, D., Sakhanenko, A. and Wachtel, V.**

First-passage times for random walks in the triangular array setting.

(invited to the volume in Honor of R.A. Doney in the series Progress in Probability)

<https://arxiv.org/pdf/2005.00240.pdf>



## A comment on the main result

**Theorem 2+.** Under Assumptions (B)

$$\mathbf{P}(T > n) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{E_n}{B_n} (1 + C\theta_n \rho_n^{2/3}) \quad \text{if } \rho_n := \frac{r_n + G_n}{B_n} \leq \frac{1}{24}, \quad (\mathbf{BM})$$

where  $|\theta_n| \leq 1$  and  $C < \infty$  is an absolute constant.

### Assumptions (B).

**(A1-)** increments  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ , are independent for a fixed  $n \geq 1$ ;

**(A2-)** they have zero means;

**(B3)** they are *bounded* by a constant  $r_n < \infty$ , i.e.

$$\mathbf{P}(|X_i| \leq r_n) = 1 \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, n; \quad (\mathbf{B3})$$

**(A4-)**  $B_n^2 := \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{E}X_{i,n}^2 > 0$ .

## Natural assumptions in the triangular array setting

### Assumptions (A+).

**(A1+)** For each  $n \geq 1$  we are given independent random variables  $X_{1,n}, \dots, X_{n,n}$  such that

**(A2+)** they have zero means;

**(A3+)** they satisfy the *classical Lindeberg condition*.

In particular, for some  $n_0 < \infty$  **(A4)**  $B_n^2 := \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{E}X_{i,n}^2 > 0$  for all  $n > n_0$ .

**Assumption (G+)** Let  $g_{1,n}, \dots, g_{n,n}$  be deterministic real numbers such that  $G_n := \max_{k \leq n} |g_k| = o(B_n)$ .

For each  $n \geq 1$  we consider a random walk

$$(6) \quad S_{k,n} := X_{1,n} + \dots + X_{k,n}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n;$$

and let

$$(7) \quad T_n := \inf \{k \geq 1 : S_{k,n} \leq g_{k,n}\},$$

be the first crossing of the moving boundary  $\{g_{k,n}\}$  by the random walk  $\{S_{k,n}\}$ .

---

Here the main purpose is to study the asymptotic behavior of the probability

$$\mathbf{P}(T_n > n) = \mathbf{P} \left( \min_{1 \leq k \leq n} (S_{k,n} - g_{k,n}) > 0 \right).$$

Main notation:

$$(8) \quad E_n := \mathbf{E}[S_{n,n} - g_{n,n} : T_n > n] \geq 0.$$

Note that for all  $n \geq 1$

$$(9) \quad E_n > 0 \quad \text{iff} \quad \mathbf{P}(T_n > n) > 0.$$

**Assumptions (T+).**  $\mathbf{P}(T_n > n) > 0$  for all  $n > n_1$  with some  $n_1 < \infty$ .

---

## Natural Hypothesis.

Based on the validity of Theorem 1 from [1] one can expect that the Lindeberg condition will again be sufficient for the following natural generalization of (M):

$$\mathbf{P}(T_n > n) \sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{E_n}{B_n} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{\mathbf{E}[S_{n,n} - g_{n,n}; T_n > n]}{B_n}. \quad (M+)$$

However the following result shows that this is not the case and the situation is more complicated.

**Proposition 1.** *There exists a triangular array of independent random variables  $\{X_{k,n}\}$  such that conditions (A+), (G+), (T+) hold with  $B_n^2 = n$ , but*

$$(10) \quad \mathbf{P}(T_n > n) = o(E_n/B_n).$$

---

## Main result from [2] in the triangular array setting

**Assumptions (B+).** Conditions (A1+), (A2+), (A4+) hold; in addition

**(B3+)** for each  $n, i$  random variables  $X_{n,i}$  are *bounded* by a constant  $r_n = o(B_n)$ , i.e.

$$r_n = o(B_n) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{P}\left(\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |X_{i,n}| \leq r_n\right) = 1 \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1. \quad (\mathbf{B3+})$$

**Corollary 1.** Under conditions (G+), (T+) and (B+) (with  $r_n = o(B_n)$ ) relation (M+) takes place.

Thus, we have obtained the desired asymptotic (M+) but under assumption (B3+) with  $r_n = o(B_n)$ , which is stronger than the Lindeberg condition (A3+).

## A generalization of Corollary 1.

For an arbitrary random variable  $Y_n$  let

$$(11) \quad \tau_n := \inf \{k \geq 1 : Y_n + S_{k,n} \leq g_{k,n}\},$$

be the first crossing of the moving boundary  $\{g_{k,n}\}$  by the random walk  $\{Y_n + S_{k,n}\}$ .

From Theorem 2 with variable  $Y_n$  truncated on the level  $h_n = o(B_n)$  we obtain that

$$(12) \quad \mathbf{P}(\tau_n > n : |Y_n| \leq h_n) \sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{E_n(h_n)}{B_n}, \quad \text{where}$$

$$E_n(h) := \mathbf{E}[Y_n + S_{n,n} - g_{n,n} : \tau_n > n, |Y_n| \leq h], \quad h > 0.$$

On the other hand, from known estimates in functional CLT it is not difficult to find that

$$(13) \quad \mathbf{P}(\tau_n > n : Y_n > h_n) \sim \mathbf{E} \left[ \Psi \left( \frac{Y_n}{B_n} \right) : Y_n > h_n \right] \quad \text{with}$$

$$\Psi(x) := 2 \int_0^x \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-u^2/2} du = \mathbf{P} \left( \min_{0 \leq t \leq 1} W(t) > -x \right), \quad (x > 0),$$

when  $r_n + G_n = o(h_n)$ , where  $W(t)$  is a standard Wiener process.

---

Summing up (12) and (13) we obtain:

**Corollary 2.** *Suppose that for each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  random variable  $Y_n$  is independent of  $X_{1,n}, \dots, X_{n,n}$ . Then under assumptions of Corollary 1*

$$(14) \quad \mathbf{P}(\tau_n > n) \sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{E_n(h_n)}{B_n} + \mathbf{E} \left[ \Psi \left( \frac{Y_n}{B_n} \right) : Y_n > h_n \right]$$

for all non-random numbers  $h_n > 0$  such that

$$(15) \quad h_n = o(B_n) \quad \text{and} \quad r_n + G_n = o(h_n).$$

In particular, we may everywhere take

$$(16) \quad h_n = \sqrt{(r_n + G_n)B_n}.$$

Simple proof of Corollary 2 (given above) shows the power of Theorem 2.

---

## Proof of Proposition 1.

For a sequence of integers  $r_n \geq 1$  let random variables  $X_{1,n}$  be defined as follows

$$(17) \quad X_{1,n} := \begin{cases} r_n, & \text{with probability } p_n := \frac{1}{2r_n^2} \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ 0, & \text{with probability } 1 - 2p_n, \\ -r_n, & \text{with probability } p_n. \end{cases}$$

Let each  $X_{1,n}$  is independent of the sequence  $X_2, X_3, \dots$  of i.i.d. random variables with the Rademacher distribution:  $\mathbf{P}(X_k = \pm 1) = 1/2$ .

So that  $\mathbf{E}X_{k,n} = 0$  and  $\mathbf{E}X_{k,n}^2 = 1$  for all  $k \geq 1$ ; and the triangular array

$$(18) \quad X_{1,n}, X_{k,n} := X_k, \quad k = 2, 3, \dots, n; \quad n \geq 1$$

satisfies the Lindeberg condition with  $B_n^2 = n$ .

Put  $g_{k,n} \equiv 0$ ; then conditions (G+) and (T+) also hold.



---

**Lemma 1.**  $E_n = p_n r_n$ .

*Proof.* For integers  $m \geq 2$  and  $r_n \geq 1$  define

$$(19) \quad U_m := X_2 + X_3 + \dots + X_m,$$

$$(20) \quad \nu := \inf\{m \geq 2 : r_n + U_m \leq 0\} = \inf\{m \geq 2 : r_n + U_m = 0\}.$$

Since  $U_m$  is a martingale and  $\nu$  is a stopping time, we obtain from (20) that

$$\begin{aligned} E_{2,n} &:= \mathbf{E}[r_n + U_n : \nu > n] \\ &= \mathbf{E}[r_n + U_n] - \mathbf{E}[r_n + U_n : \nu \leq n] \\ &= [r_n + 0] - \mathbf{E}[r_n + U_\nu : \nu \leq n] = r_n - \mathbf{E}[0] = r_n. \end{aligned}$$

Now, with  $g_{k,n} \equiv 0$  one has:

$$(21) \quad E_n = \mathbf{E}[S_{n,n}; T_n > n] = \mathbf{P}(X_{1,n} = r_n) E_{2,n}(r_n) = p_n r_n.$$

---

*Proof of Proposition 1.* In particular, from (17) and (21) we conclude that

$$(22) \quad \mathbf{P}(T_n > n) < \mathbf{P}(X_{1,n} = r_n) = p_n = \frac{E_n}{r_n} = \frac{E_n}{B_n} \times \frac{B_n}{r_n}.$$

Thus, Proposition 1 is proved since (10) follows from (22) when  $r_n/B_n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Proposition 2.** *More precise calculations in [2] show that, for the triangular array from (17) and (18) with  $g_{k,n} \equiv 0$ , the desired asymptotic (M+) takes place iff  $r_n = o(B_n)$ .*

---

Theorem 2 and Propositions 1 and 2 have been proved in our joint paper:

**[2] Denisov, D., Sakhanenko, A. and Wachtel, V.**

First-passage times for random walks in the triangular array setting.

(invited to the volume in Honor of R.A. Doney in the series Progress in Probability)

<https://arxiv.org/pdf/2005.00240.pdf>

---

---

**THANK YOU !**