Compatibility of different classes of almost Hermitian 6-manifolds Kemerovo State University

Daurtseva Nataliya

February 28, 2017

Daurtseva Nataliya

Almost Hermitian manifolds

Let (M, g, J, ω) is an almost Hermitian manifold with an almost complex structure J and J–invariant Riemannian metric

$$g(J\cdot, J\cdot) = g(\cdot, \cdot), \omega(\cdot, \cdot) = g(J\cdot, \cdot)$$

Daurtseva Nataliya

Almost Hermitian structures with the same metric g

g - orthogonal almost complex structures

 \mathcal{AO}_{g}^{+} is space of positively oriented g - orthogonal a. c. s. I on M.

$$g(I\cdot,I\cdot)=g(\cdot,\cdot)$$

 $\mathcal{H}_g=\{(g,I,\omega_I):I\in\mathcal{AO}_g^+\}$ - the space of all a.H.s. with the same metric g

Daurtseva Nataliya

Almost Hermitian structures with the same a.c.s I

I-invariant Riemannian metrics

 \mathcal{M}_{I} is space of I-invariant Riemannian metrics g on M.

 $\mathcal{H}_{I} = \{(g, I, \omega_{g}) : g \in \mathcal{M}_{I}\} - \text{the space of all a.H.s. with the same a.c.s} I on M.$

$$\omega_{\rm g}(\cdot, \cdot) = {\rm g}({\rm I} \cdot, \cdot)$$

Daurtseva Nataliya

Almost Hermitian structures with the same 2-form ω

Positively ω -tamed a.c.s

A⁺_ω is space of positively ω-tamed a.c.s. on M:
1. ω(I·, I·) = ω(·, ·);
2. g_I(·, ·) = ω(·, I·) is positively definite.

 ω -positively associated metrics

$$\mathcal{AM}^+_\omega = \{\mathrm{g}_\mathrm{J}: \mathrm{g}_\mathrm{J}(\cdot, \cdot) = \omega(\cdot, \mathrm{J} \cdot), \quad \mathrm{J} \in \mathcal{A}^+_\omega\}$$

 $\mathcal{H}_{\omega} = \{(g_I, I, \omega) : I \in \mathcal{A}_{\omega}^+\}$ – the space of all a.H.s. with the same 2-form ω on M.

Daurtseva Nataliya

Theorem [Smolentsev N.K.] (2001)

The space \mathcal{M} of all Riemannian metrics on almost Hermitian manifold (M, g, J, ω) is a smooth trivial bundle over $\mathcal{A}M_{\omega}$ with \mathcal{M}_{I} as a fiber over $g_{I} \in \mathcal{A}M_{\omega}$.

Theorem [Daurtseva N.A.] (2005)

The space \mathcal{A}^+ of all almost complex structures, which define the same orientation as J on almost Hermitian manifold (M, g, J, ω) is a smooth local trivial bundle over \mathcal{AO}_g^+ with $\mathcal{A}_{\omega_I}^+$ as a fiber over $I \in \mathcal{AO}_g^+$.

Class \mathcal{W} of almost Hermitian manifolds (M, g, J, ω) is decomposed into sum of classes

$$\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}_1 \oplus \mathcal{W}_2 \oplus \mathcal{W}_3 \oplus \mathcal{W}_4$$

- $\mathcal{W}_1 = \mathcal{N}\mathcal{K}$ is class of nearly Kähler manifolds, $\nabla_{\mathbf{X}}(\omega)(\mathbf{X}, \cdot) = 0$;
- $\mathcal{W}_2 = \mathcal{AK}$ is class of almost Kähler manifolds, $d\omega = 0$;
- \mathcal{W}_3 is class of special Hermitian manifolds, $\delta \omega = N = 0$
- \mathcal{W}_4 is class defined by condition $\nabla_{\mathbf{X}}(\omega)(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{Z}) = \frac{-1}{2(n-1)} \{ g(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) \delta \omega(\mathbf{Z}) - g(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z}) \delta \omega(\mathbf{Y}) - g(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{JY}) \delta \omega(\mathbf{JZ}) + g(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{JZ}) \delta \omega(\mathbf{JY}) \}$
- The class of Kähler manifolds \mathcal{K} is in any \mathcal{W}_i and satisfy to $\nabla \omega = 0$.

Daurtseva Nataliya

Lejmi M. (2006)

- Whether or not a given almost complex structure J on M is ω-tamed by some symplectic form ω?
- He showed that the almost complex structure underlying a non-Kähler, nearly Kähler 6-manifold cannot be compatible with any symplectic form, even localy.

Lejmi result in terms of Gray-Herwella

If $(M^6, g, J, \omega) \in \mathcal{W}_1$, then for any metric $g_J \in \mathcal{M}_J$ manifold $(M^6, g_J, J, \omega_J) \notin \mathcal{W}_2$, even locally

Question

As largest class, which intersect with W_2 at \mathcal{K} is $\mathcal{G}_1 = \mathcal{W}_1 \oplus \mathcal{W}_3 \oplus \mathcal{W}_4$, then it is logical to ask whether or not the fact that $(M^6, g, J, \omega) \in \mathcal{G}_1$ is obstruction to existence of almost Kähler structures among (g_J, J) , for any $g_J \in \mathcal{M}_J$?

Daurtseva Nataliya

Theorem 1

If $(M^6, g, J, \omega) \in \mathcal{G}_1$, and J is not integrable, then (M^6, g_J, J, ω_J) can not be \mathcal{G}_2 -manifold for any $g_J \in \mathcal{M}_J$, even locally. $(\mathcal{G}_2 = \mathcal{W}_2 \oplus \mathcal{W}_3 \oplus \mathcal{W}_4)$

Compatibility on \mathcal{H}_J

Theorem 2

Let (M, g, J, ω) is almost Hermitian 6-manifold.

- If $(M, g, J, \omega) \in \mathcal{W}_1, \mathcal{W}_1 \oplus \mathcal{W}_3, \mathcal{W}_1 \oplus \mathcal{W}_4, \mathcal{W}_1 \oplus \mathcal{W}_3 \oplus \mathcal{W}_4$ then $(M, g_J, J, \omega_J) \notin \mathcal{G}_2$ for any $g_J \in \mathcal{M}_J$, even locally;
- If (M, g, J, ω) ∈ W₃, W₄, W₃ ⊕ W₄ then J is locally tamed by some symplectic form, but globally (M, g_J, J, ω_J) ∈ W₁ ⊕ W₂ just in case when (M, g_J, J, ω_J) ∈ K;
- If $(M, g, J, \omega) \in W_1 \oplus W_2$ then $(M, g_J, J, \omega_J) \notin W_3 \oplus W_4$ for any $g_J \in \mathcal{M}_J$;
- If (M, g, J, ω) ∈ W₂, W₂ ⊕ W₃, W₂ ⊕ W₄, G₂, then (M, g_J, J, ω_J) ∉ G₁ for any g_J ∈ M_J, even locally;
- If $(M, g, J, \omega) \in W_1 \oplus W_2 \oplus W_3$, then $(M, g_J, J, \omega_J) \notin W_3 \oplus W_4$ for any $g_J \in \mathcal{M}_J$
- If $(M, g, J, \omega) \in W_1 \oplus W_2 \oplus W_4$, then $(M, g_J, J, \omega_J) \notin W_3 \oplus W_4$ for any $g_J \in \mathcal{M}_J$

Daurtseva Nataliya

Compatibility for \mathcal{H}_{ω}

Theorem 3. [Daurtseva N.A. (2014)]

Let $(M^6, g, J, \omega) \in \mathcal{NK}$, then (M^6, g_I, I, ω) can't be $\mathcal{G}_2 = \mathcal{W}_2 \oplus \mathcal{W}_3 \oplus \mathcal{W}_4$ – manifold for any $I \in \mathcal{A}^+_{\omega}$. In particular, I is not integrable.

Compatibility for \mathcal{H}_{ω}

Theorem 4

Let (M, g, J, ω) is almost Hermitian 6-manifold. 1). If $(M, g, J, \omega) \in \mathcal{W}_1$ strictly, then $(M, g_I, I, \omega) \notin \mathcal{W}_2 \oplus \mathcal{W}_3 \oplus \mathcal{W}_4$ for any $I \in \mathcal{A}^+$: 2). If $(M, g, J, \omega) \in \mathcal{W}_1 \oplus \mathcal{W}_2$ strictly, then $(M, g_I, I, \omega) \notin \mathcal{W}_3 \oplus \mathcal{W}_4$ for any $I \in \mathcal{A}^+$: 3). If $(M, g, J, \omega) \in \mathcal{W}_1 \oplus \mathcal{W}_3$ strictly, then $(M, g_I, I, \omega) \in \mathcal{W}_1 \oplus \mathcal{W}_2 \oplus \mathcal{W}_3$, but not in \mathcal{W}_2 for any $I \in \mathcal{A}_{\omega}^+$; 4). If $(M, g, J, \omega) \in \mathcal{W}_1 \oplus \mathcal{W}_4, \mathcal{W}_2 \oplus \mathcal{W}_4$ or \mathcal{W}_4 strictly, then $(M, g_I, I, \omega) \notin \mathcal{W}_1 \oplus \mathcal{W}_2 \oplus \mathcal{W}_3$ for any $I \in \mathcal{A}_{\omega}^+$; 5). If $(M, g, J, \omega) \in \mathcal{W}_1 \oplus \mathcal{W}_2 \oplus \mathcal{W}_3$, then $(M, g_I, I, \omega) \in \mathcal{W}_1 \oplus \mathcal{W}_2 \oplus \mathcal{W}_3$ for any $I \in \mathcal{A}^+$; 6). If $(M, g, J, \omega) \in \mathcal{W}_1 \oplus \mathcal{W}_3 \oplus \mathcal{W}_4$ strictly, then $(M, g_I, I, \omega) \notin \mathcal{W}_2$ for any $I \in \mathcal{A}^+$: 7). If $(M, g, J, \omega) \in \mathcal{W}_1 \oplus \mathcal{W}_2 \oplus \mathcal{W}_4$ strictly, then $(M, g_I, I, \omega) \notin \mathcal{W}_3$ for any $I \in \mathcal{A}^+$:

Compatibility for \mathcal{H}_{ω}

Theorem 4

8). If (M, g, J, ω) ∈ W₂, then (M, g_I, I, ω) ∈ W₂ for any I ∈ A⁺_ω;
9). If (M, g, J, ω) ∈ W₂ ⊕ W₃ strictly, then (M, g_I, I, ω) ∉ W₁ ⊕ W₄ for any I ∈ A⁺_ω;
10). If (M, g, J, ω) ∈ W₂ ⊕ W₃ ⊕ W₄ strictly, then (M, g_I, I, ω) ∉ W₁
for any I ∈ A⁺_ω;
11). If (M, g, J, ω) ∈ W₃ strictly, then (M, g_I, I, ω) ∉ W₁ ⊕ W₂ ⊕ W₄ for any I ∈ A⁺_ω;
12). If (M, g, J, ω) ∈ W₃ ⊕ W₄ strictly, then (M, g_I, I, ω) ∉ W₁ ⊕ W₂
for any I ∈ A⁺_ω;

Daurtseva Nataliya