Representations of the virtual braid group

Valeriy Bardakov

Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Novosibirsk

Novosibirsk August, 12, 2018

V. Bardakov (Sobolev Institute of Math.) Representations of the virtual braid group

Braid group B_n on $n \ge 2$ strands is generated by $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}$ and is defined by relations

$$\sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \qquad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n-2, \tag{1}$$

$$\sigma_i \sigma_j = \sigma_j \sigma_i \qquad \text{for } |i-j| \ge 2. \tag{2}$$



Figure: Geometric interpretation of σ_i

2 / 16

The Artin representation

$$\varphi_A: B_n \longrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(F_n),$$

where $F_n = \langle x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \rangle$ is a free group, is defined by the rule

$$\varphi_A(\sigma_i): \left\{ \begin{array}{c} x_i \longmapsto x_i x_{i+1} x_i^{-1}, \\ x_{i+1} \longmapsto x_i, \end{array} \right.$$

Here and onward we point out only nontrivial actions on generators assuming that other generators are fixed.

(D) (A) (A) (A)

August 12, 2018

Theorem [Artin]: $Ker(\varphi_A) = 1$.

Let \mathcal{L} be the set of all links in \mathbb{R}^3 .

A group G(L) of a link $L \in \mathcal{L}$ is a group $\pi_1(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus L)$.

Theorem [Artin]: If L is isotopic to $\hat{\beta}$, where $\beta \in B_n$, then

 $G(L) = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \parallel x_i = \varphi_A(\beta)(x_i), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n \rangle.$

The virtual braid group VB_n is presented by L. Kauffman (1996). V. Vershinin constructed the more compact system of defining relations for VB_n .

 VB_n is generated by the classical braid group $B_n = \langle \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1} \rangle$ and the permutation group $S_n = \langle \rho_1, \ldots, \rho_{n-1} \rangle$. Generators $\rho_i, i = 1, \ldots, n-1$, satisfy the following relations:

$$\rho_i^2 = 1$$
 for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$, (3)

$$\rho_i \rho_j = \rho_j \rho_i \qquad \qquad \text{for} \quad |i - j| \ge 2, \tag{4}$$

 $\rho_i \rho_{i+1} \rho_i = \rho_{i+1} \rho_i \rho_{i+1}$ for i = 1, 2..., n-2. (5)

Other defining relations of the group VB_n are mixed and they are as follows

$$\sigma_i \rho_j = \rho_j \sigma_i \qquad \qquad \text{for } |i-j| \ge 2, \tag{6}$$

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

$$\rho_i \rho_{i+1} \sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1} \rho_i \rho_{i+1}$$
 for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-2$. (7)

Geometric interpretation



Figure: Geometric interpretation of ρ_i

æ

• Construct a faithfull representation

$$\psi: VB_n \longrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(H),$$

where H is a "good" group.

• Define a group of virtual link.

4 E

Image: A matrix

New representation

We consider the free product $F_{n,2n+1} = F_n * \mathbb{Z}^{2n+1}$, where F_n is a free group of the rank n generated by elements x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n and \mathbb{Z}^{2n+1} is a free abelian group of the rank 2n + 1 freely generated by elements $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n, v_0, v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$.

Theorem 1 [V. B. - Yu. Mikhalchishina - M. Neshchadim, 2017].

The following mapping $\varphi_M : VB_n \longrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(F_{n,2n+1})$ is defined by the action on the generators:

$$\varphi_{M}(\sigma_{i}): \left\{ \begin{array}{l} x_{i} \longmapsto x_{i} x_{i+1}^{u_{i}} x_{i}^{-v_{0}u_{i+1}}, & \varphi_{M}(\sigma_{i}): \left\{ \begin{array}{l} u_{i} \longmapsto u_{i+1}, \\ u_{i+1} \longmapsto x_{i}^{v_{0}}, \end{array} \right. \\ \varphi_{M}(\sigma_{i}): \left\{ \begin{array}{l} v_{i} \longmapsto v_{i+1}, \\ v_{i+1} \longmapsto v_{i}, \end{array} \right. \\ \varphi_{M}(\rho_{i}): \left\{ \begin{array}{l} x_{i} \longmapsto x_{i+1}^{v_{i}^{-1}}, & \varphi_{M}(\rho_{i}): \left\{ \begin{array}{l} u_{i} \longmapsto u_{i+1}, \\ u_{i+1} \longmapsto u_{i}, \end{array} \right. \\ \varphi_{M}(\rho_{i}): \left\{ \begin{array}{l} v_{i} \longmapsto v_{i+1}, \\ v_{i+1} \longmapsto x_{i}^{v_{i+1}}, \end{array} \right. \\ \varphi_{M}(\rho_{i}): \left\{ \begin{array}{l} v_{i} \longmapsto v_{i+1}, \\ v_{i+1} \longmapsto v_{i}, \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right. \right. \right.$$

is provided a representation of VB_n into $Aut(F_{n,2n+1})$, which generalizes all known representations.

The constructed representation φ_M is not an extension of the Artin representation.

It is turned out that the representation φ_M is equivalent to the simpler one which is an extension of the Artin representation.

Let $F_{n,n} = F_n * \mathbb{Z}^n$, where $F_n = \langle y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \rangle$ is the free group and $\mathbb{Z}^n = \langle v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n \rangle$ is the free abelian group of the rank n.

Theorem 2 [V. B. - Yu. Mikhalchishina - M. Neshchadim, 2017].

The representation $\widetilde{\varphi}_M: VB_n \longrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(F_{n,n})$ defined by the action on the generators

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\varphi}_{M}(\sigma_{i}) &: \begin{cases} y_{i} \longmapsto y_{i}y_{i+1}y_{i}^{-1}, & \widetilde{\varphi}_{M}(\sigma_{i}) : \begin{cases} v_{i} \longmapsto v_{i+1}, \\ v_{i+1} \longmapsto y_{i}, \end{cases} \\ \widetilde{\varphi}_{M}(\rho_{i}) &: \begin{cases} y_{i} \longmapsto y_{i+1}^{v_{i}^{-1}}, & \widetilde{\varphi}_{M}(\rho_{i}) : \begin{cases} v_{i} \longmapsto v_{i+1}, \\ v_{i+1} \longmapsto y_{i}^{v_{i+1}}, \end{cases} \\ \end{cases} \end{split}$$

is equivalent to the representation φ_M .

August 12, 2018 9 /

(D) (A) (A) (A)

/ 16

Assume that we have a representation $\psi: VB_n \longrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(H)$ of the virtual braid group into the automorphism group of some group $H = \langle h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_m \parallel \mathcal{R} \rangle$, where \mathcal{R} is the set of defining relations.

The following group is assigned to the virtual braid $\beta \in VB_n$:

$$G_{\psi}(\beta) = \langle h_1, h_2, \dots, h_m \parallel \mathcal{R}, h_i = \psi(\beta)(h_i), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m \rangle.$$

The group G_{ψ} is an invariant of virtual links if the group $G_{\psi}(\beta)$ is isomorphic to $G_{\psi}(\beta')$ for each braid β' such that the links $\hat{\beta}$ and $\hat{\beta'}$ are equivalent.

This approach is used for the previously defined representation φ_M . Given $\beta \in VB_n$, the group of the braid β is the following group

 $G_M(\beta) = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n, v_0, v_1, \dots, v_n || [u_i, u_j] = [v_k, v_l] = [u_i, v_k] = 1,$ $x_i = \varphi_M(\beta)(x_i), \quad u_i = \varphi_M(\beta)(u_i), \quad v_i = \varphi_M(\beta)(v_i),$ $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad k, l = 0, 1, \dots, n \rangle.$

Theorem 3 [V. B. - Yu. Mikhalchishina - M. Neshchadim, 2017].

Given $\beta \in VB_n$ and $\beta' \in VB_m$ the two virtual braids such that theirs closures define the same link L, then $G_M(\beta) \cong G_M(\beta')$.

・ロト ・同ト ・ヨト ・ヨト ・ヨー シタウ

Yu. Mikhalchishina (2017) defined the following three representations of the virtual braid group VB_n into $Aut(F_{n+1})$, where $F_{n+1} = \langle y, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle$.

1. The representation $W_{1,r}, r > 0$ is defined by the action on the generators

$$W_{1,r}(\sigma_i): \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} x_i \longmapsto x_i^r x_{i+1} x_i^{-r}, \\ x_{i+1} \longmapsto x_i, \end{array} \right. \quad W_{1,r}(\rho_i): \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} x_i \longmapsto x_{i+1}^{y^{-1}}, \\ x_{i+1} \longmapsto x_i^y. \end{array} \right.$$

2. The representation W_2 is defined by the action on the generators

$$W_2(\sigma_i): \begin{cases} x_i \longmapsto x_i x_{i+1}^{-1} x_i, & W_2(\rho_i): \begin{cases} x_i \longmapsto x_{i+1}^{y^{-1}}, \\ x_{i+1} \longmapsto x_i, & x_{i+1} \longmapsto x_i^y. \end{cases}$$

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

3. The representation W_3 is defined by the action on the generators

$$W_{3}(\sigma_{i}): \begin{cases} x_{i} \longmapsto x_{i}^{2} x_{i+1}, \\ x_{i+1} \longmapsto x_{i+1}^{-1} x_{i}^{-1} x_{i+1}, \end{cases} \quad W_{3}(\rho_{i}): \begin{cases} x_{i} \longmapsto x_{i+1}^{y^{-1}}, \\ x_{i+1} \longmapsto x_{y}^{y}. \end{cases}$$

These representations extend Wada representations $w_{1,r}$, r > 0, w_2 , w_3 of B_n into $Aut(F_n)$.

Yu. Mikhalchishina for each virtual braid $\beta \in VB_n$ defined three types of groups: $G_{1,r}(\beta)$, $G_2(\beta)$ and $G_3(\beta)$ that correspond to described representations. She proved that these groups are invariants of a virtual link $\hat{\beta}$. The Kishino knot is a non-trivial knot that is the connected sum of two trivial knots.



Figure: Kishino knot

Yu. Mikhalchishina proved that groups $G_{1,r}(Ki)$ and $G_2(Ki)$ cannot distinguish the Kishino knot Ki from the trivial one. She formulated the question: whether the group $G_3(Ki)$ is able to distinguish the Kishino knot from the trivial one or not?

Note that the group $G_3(U)$ of the trivial knot U is isomorphic to F_2 .

Theorem 3 [V. B. - Yu. Mikhalchishina - M. Neshchadim, ArXiv, 2018].

The group $G = G_3(Ki)$ having generators a, b, c, d and the system of defining relations

$$d^{-1}b^{-d}c^{-2d^{-1}}b^{-d}c^{-2d^{-1}}aa^{-2d}d = a^{-1}b^{-d}c^{-2d^{-1}}a,$$
$$c^{-1}bc = b^{-d}c^{d^{-1}}b^{d},$$
$$c = b^{-d}c^{-2d^{-1}}b^{-d}c^{-2d^{-1}}aa^{-d}a^{-1}c^{2d^{-1}}b^{2d}.$$

<回と < 回と < 回と = 回

is not isomorphic to the free group of rank 2.

Thank you!

August 12, 2018

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

æ