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Let $\Phi$ be a function with some special properties. Properly speaking, it is an $N$-function. In our talk we will consider a number of aspects of $\Phi$-harmonic analysis on graphs. In particular, we will introduce the key definitions and will reveal that the ones in question are well-defined. Also we will give an overview of our results that bring discrete analogs of classical theorems for harmonic function in the usual sense: uniqueness theorem, Harnack's inequality, Harnack's principle. Our work generalizes results obtained in:Holopainen, Ilkka, and Soardi, Paolo M.. "p-harmonic functions on graphs and manifolds Manuscripta mathematica 94.1 (1997): 95-110.

## $N$-functions

## Definition: $N$-function

A function $\Phi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be $N$-function if it admit the following representation

$$
\Phi(x)=\int_{0}^{|x|} \varphi(t) d t
$$

where $\varphi(t)$ is defined for $t \geqslant 0$, non-decreasing, left continuous, satisfying the properties $\varphi(t)>0$ as $t>0 ; \varphi(0)=0 ; \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(t)=\infty$. From now on, we will write $\Phi^{\prime}$ instead of $\varphi$. Therefore, for $N$-function $\Phi$ the following holds:
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A function $\Phi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be $N$-function if it admit the following representation

$$
\Phi(x)=\int_{0}^{|x|} \varphi(t) d t
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where $\varphi(t)$ is defined for $t \geqslant 0$, non-decreasing, left continuous, satisfying the properties $\varphi(t)>0$ as $t>0 ; \varphi(0)=0 ; \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(t)=\infty$. From now on, we will write $\Phi^{\prime}$ instead of $\varphi$. Therefore, for $N$-function $\Phi$ the following holds:

- $\Phi(x)>0$, if $x>0$;
- $\Phi$ is even and convex;;
- $\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Phi(x)}{x}=0, \lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Phi(x)}{x}=+\infty$.


## $N$-functions

Definition: Complimentary $N$-function
Let $\Phi$ be an $N$-function, the function given by

$$
\Psi(x)=\int_{0}^{x}\left(\Phi^{\prime}\right)^{-1}(t) d t, \quad \text { where }\left(\Phi^{\prime}\right)^{-1}(x)=\sup _{\Phi^{\prime}(t) \leq x} t
$$

is called complementary for $\Phi$.

## Ф-Harmonic Functions

Let $\Gamma=(V, E)$ be connected infinite graph of bounded degree (with no self-loops), where $V$ is the vertex set, and $E$ is the edge set. The notation $x \sim y$ stands for a couple $(x, y)$ of adjacent vertices, $(x, y)=e \in E$.

Now given a function $f: S \cup \partial S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where $S \subset V$ and $\partial S=\bigcup_{x \in S}\{y \in V \backslash S \mid y \sim x\}$, we introduce a list of definitions

The classical definition of harmonic function $f(x)$ on graph requires that the equation

$$
f(x)=\frac{1}{\operatorname{deg}(x)} \sum_{y \sim x} f(y)
$$

holds at every $x$. It is clear that the mentioned condition just means

$$
\sum_{y \sim x}(f(y)-f(x))=0
$$

This one is called the discreet laplacian

$$
\Delta f(x)=\sum_{x \sim y}(f(y)-f(x))
$$

## $\Phi$-Harmonic Functions

Definition: $\Phi$-Laplacian
The operator $\mathbb{R}^{S \cup \partial S} \xrightarrow{\Delta_{\oplus}} \mathbb{R}^{S \cup \partial S}$, defined by

$$
\Delta_{\Phi} f(x)=\sum_{x \sim y} \Phi^{\prime}(f(y)-f(x))
$$

is called $\Phi$-laplacian.

Definition: $\Phi$-Harmonic functions
A function $f$ is said to be $\Phi$-harmonic in $S$, if $\Delta_{\Phi} f(x)=0$ holds far all $x \in S$. We denote by $\mathcal{H}^{\Phi}(S)$ the set of all such functions.

## $\Phi$-Harmonic Functions

Introduce the functional $\mathbb{R}^{S \cup \partial S} \xrightarrow{\rho} \mathbb{R} \geq 0$ as the following equation

$$
\rho(f)=\sum_{x \in S} \sum_{y \sim x} \Phi(f(y)-f(x))
$$

Below we will use the notation

$$
\langle f, g\rangle(x, y)=\Phi^{\prime}(f(y)-f(x))(g(y)-g(x))
$$

Given a couple of function defined in $S$, put

$$
\left\langle\Delta_{\Phi} h, f\right\rangle=\sum_{x \in S} \sum_{y \sim x}\langle h, f\rangle(x, y)
$$

## $\Phi$-Harmonic Functions

Definition: Weak harmonicity
We say that a function $h$ is weakly $\Phi$-harmonic if $\left\langle\Delta_{\Phi} h, f\right\rangle=0$ for all $f: S \cup \partial S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\left.f\right|_{\partial S}=0$.

The following lemma reveals relations between two definitions of $\Phi$-harmonicity above.

Lemma 1
Let $S \subset V$ be a finite set. Then property to be $\Phi$-harmonic in a weak sense is equivalent to $\Phi$-harmonicity. Put it otherwise, $\Delta_{\phi} f=0$ if and only if $\left\langle\Delta_{\Phi} f, g\right\rangle=0$ for all $g: S \cup \partial S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\left.g\right|_{\partial S}=0$.

## $\Phi$-Harmonic Functions

Now we can clarify the role played by the functional $\rho$ mentioned above.

## Lemma 2

Suppose $S \subset V$ is a finite set. The equation $\Delta_{\phi} f=0$ holds if and only if $f$ minimizes $\rho(g)$ over the set $M(f)=\left\{g: S \cup \partial S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}|g|_{\partial S}=\left.f\right|_{\partial S}\right\}$

## $\Phi$-Harmonic Functions

Suppose $S$ is a finite set. Let $\left\{f_{i}\right\}$ be a sequence of functions in $S \cup \partial S$, which converges pointwise to a function $f$, then it is not hard to see

$$
\rho\left(f_{i}\right) \rightarrow \rho(f), \Delta_{\Phi} f_{i}(x) \rightarrow \Delta_{\Phi} f(x)
$$

## Theorem 1

Let $S$ be finite. Given an arbitrary function $f$ in $\partial S$, there is a unique function $h$ in $S \cup \partial S$ such that $h$ is $\Phi$-harmonic in $S$ and $\left.h\right|_{\partial S}=f$.

## $\Phi$-Harmonic Functions

Definition: Super(Sub)harmonisity
We say that $h$ is $\Phi$-superharmonic (subharmonic) in $U$ if $\Delta_{\Phi} h(x) \leq 0$ (resp. $\Delta_{\Phi} h(x) \leq 0$ ) at every point $x \in U$.
It is not hard to show that this condition is equivalent to

$$
\left\langle\Delta_{\Phi} h, f\right\rangle \geq 0(\text { resp. } \leq 0)
$$

for all $f: U \cup \partial U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$such that $\left.f\right|_{\partial U}=0$ and $f$ has finite support .

## $\Phi$-Harmonic Functions

Theorem 2
Let $f$ be $\Phi$-superharmonic and $g$ be $\Phi$-subharmonic in a finite set $S$ such that $f \geq g$ in $\partial S$. Then $f \geq g$ in $S$.

## Corollary

Suppose $f$ and $g$ are $\Phi$-harmonic functions in a finite set $S$ such that $\left.f\right|_{\partial S}=\left.g\right|_{\partial S}$. Then $f=g$ in $S$.

## $\Phi$-Harmonic Functions

Henceforth $U \subset V$ is an arbitrary set needed not be finite.
Theorem 3: Harnack's inequality
Let $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ be a couple of complementary $N$-functions, $h: U \cup \partial U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$is $\Phi$-superharmonic in $U$. Then the following estimation holds at every point $x \in U$

$$
\max _{y \sim x} h(y) \leq\left[\Psi^{\prime}(\operatorname{deg}(x))+1\right] h(x)
$$

## $\Phi$-Harmonic Functions

## Lemma 3

Let $\left\{S_{i}\right\}$ be an increasing sequence of finite connected subset of $V$, and let $U=\bigcup_{i} S_{i}$. Suppose $\left\{h_{i}\right\}$ is a sequence of functions in $U \cup \partial U$ such that $h_{i}(x) \rightarrow h(x)<\infty$ for all $x \in U \cup \partial U$. If $h_{i}$ is $\Phi$-harmonic (resp. $\Phi$-superharmonic, $\Phi$-subharmonic) in every $S_{i}$, then $h$ is $\Phi$-harmonic (resp. $\Phi$-superharmonic, $\Phi$-subharmonic) in $U$.

## Theorem 4: Harnack's principle

Let $S_{i}$ and $U$ be as above. Let $\left\{h_{i}\right\}$ be an increasing sequence of functions in $U \cup \partial U$. If $h_{i}$ is $\Phi$-harmonic (or $\Phi$-superharmonic) in every $S_{i}$, then either $h_{i}(x) \rightarrow \infty$ for every $x \in U$, or $h_{i}(x) \rightarrow h(x)$ for all $x \in U$ and $h-\Phi$-harmonic (resp. $\Phi$-superharmonic) in $U$.

## Ф-Harmonic Functions

Thank you for your attention!

