### Φ-Harmonic Functions on Graphs

Roman Panenko

Novosibirsk, August, 24, 2016

2

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト

Let  $\Phi$  be a function with some special properties. Properly speaking, it is an *N*-function. In our talk we will consider a number of aspects of  $\Phi$ -harmonic analysis on graphs. In particular, we will introduce the key definitions and will reveal that the ones in question are well-defined. Also we will give an overview of our results that bring discrete analogs of classical theorems for harmonic function in the usual sense: uniqueness theorem, Harnack's inequality, Harnack's principle. Our work generalizes results obtained in:

Holopainen, Ilkka, and Soardi, Paolo M.. "p-harmonic functions on graphs and manifolds Manuscripta mathematica 94.1 (1997): 95–110.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

### Definition: N-function

A function  $\Phi:\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}$  is said to be N-function if it admit the following representation

$$\Phi(x) = \int_{0}^{|x|} \varphi(t) \, dt,$$

where  $\varphi(t)$  is defined for  $t \ge 0$ , non-decreasing, left continuous, satisfying the properties  $\varphi(t) > 0$  as t > 0;  $\varphi(0) = 0$ ;  $\lim_{t\to\infty} \varphi(t) = \infty$ . From now on, we will write  $\Phi'$  instead of  $\varphi$ . Therefore, for *N*-function  $\Phi$  the following holds:

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

### Definition: N-function

A function  $\Phi:\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}$  is said to be N-function if it admit the following representation

$$\Phi(x) = \int_{0}^{|x|} \varphi(t) \, dt,$$

where  $\varphi(t)$  is defined for  $t \ge 0$ , non-decreasing, left continuous, satisfying the properties  $\varphi(t) > 0$  as t > 0;  $\varphi(0) = 0$ ;  $\lim_{t\to\infty} \varphi(t) = \infty$ . From now on, we will write  $\Phi'$  instead of  $\varphi$ . Therefore, for *N*-function  $\Phi$  the following holds:

• 
$$\Phi(x) > 0$$
, if  $x > 0$ ;

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

### Definition: N-function

A function  $\Phi:\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}$  is said to be N-function if it admit the following representation

$$\Phi(x) = \int_{0}^{|x|} \varphi(t) \, dt,$$

where  $\varphi(t)$  is defined for  $t \ge 0$ , non-decreasing, left continuous, satisfying the properties  $\varphi(t) > 0$  as t > 0;  $\varphi(0) = 0$ ;  $\lim_{t\to\infty} \varphi(t) = \infty$ . From now on, we will write  $\Phi'$  instead of  $\varphi$ . Therefore, for *N*-function  $\Phi$  the following holds:

• 
$$\Phi(x) > 0$$
, if  $x > 0$ ;

Φ is even and convex;;

(日) (同) (三) (三)

### Definition: N-function

A function  $\Phi:\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}$  is said to be N-function if it admit the following representation

$$\Phi(x) = \int_{0}^{|x|} \varphi(t) \, dt,$$

where  $\varphi(t)$  is defined for  $t \ge 0$ , non-decreasing, left continuous, satisfying the properties  $\varphi(t) > 0$  as t > 0;  $\varphi(0) = 0$ ;  $\lim_{t\to\infty} \varphi(t) = \infty$ . From now on, we will write  $\Phi'$  instead of  $\varphi$ . Therefore, for *N*-function  $\Phi$  the following holds:

- $\Phi(x) > 0$ , if x > 0;
- Φ is even and convex;;

• 
$$\lim_{x\to 0} \frac{\Phi(x)}{x} = 0$$
,  $\lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{\Phi(x)}{x} = +\infty$ .

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

#### Definition: Complimentary N-function

Let  $\Phi$  be an *N*-function, the function given by

$$\Psi(x) = \int_{0}^{x} (\Phi')^{-1}(t) dt$$
, where  $(\Phi')^{-1}(x) = \sup_{\Phi'(t) \leq x} t$ ,

is called *complementary* for  $\Phi$ .

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト

4 / 16

Let  $\Gamma = (V, E)$  be connected infinite graph of bounded degree (with no self-loops), where V is the vertex set, and E is the edge set. The notation  $x \sim y$  stands for a couple (x, y) of adjacent vertices,  $(x, y) = e \in E$ .

Now given a function  $f: S \cup \partial S \to \mathbb{R}$ , where  $S \subset V$  and  $\partial S = \bigcup_{x \in S} \{y \in V \setminus S \mid y \sim x\}$ , we introduce a list of definitions

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

The classical definition of harmonic function f(x) on graph requires that the equation

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\deg(x)} \sum_{y \sim x} f(y)$$

holds at every x. It is clear that the mentioned condition just means

$$\sum_{y \sim x} (f(y) - f(x)) = 0$$

This one is called the discreet laplacian

$$\Delta f(x) = \sum_{x \sim y} (f(y) - f(x))$$

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト

6 / 16

# Φ-Harmonic Functions

### Definition: $\Phi$ -Laplacian

The operator  $\mathbb{R}^{S\cup\partial S} \xrightarrow{\Delta_{\Phi}} \mathbb{R}^{S\cup\partial S}$ , defined by

$$\Delta_{\Phi}f(x) = \sum_{x \sim y} \Phi'(f(y) - f(x))$$

is called  $\Phi$ -laplacian.

### Definition: Φ-Harmonic functions

A function f is said to be  $\Phi$ -harmonic in S, if  $\Delta_{\Phi}f(x) = 0$  holds far all  $x \in S$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{H}^{\Phi}(S)$  the set of all such functions.

7 / 16

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

## $\Phi$ -Harmonic Functions

Introduce the functional  $\mathbb{R}^{S\cup\partial S} \xrightarrow{\rho} \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$  as the following equation

$$\rho(f) = \sum_{x \in S} \sum_{y \sim x} \Phi(f(y) - f(x))$$

Below we will use the notation

$$\langle f, g \rangle(x, y) = \Phi'(f(y) - f(x))(g(y) - g(x)).$$

Given a couple of function defined in S, put

$$\langle \Delta_{\Phi} h, f \rangle = \sum_{x \in S} \sum_{y \sim x} \langle h, f \rangle (x, y)$$

Roman Panenko

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト 三日

### Definition: Weak harmonicity

We say that a function h is weakly  $\Phi$ -harmonic if  $\langle \Delta_{\Phi} h, f \rangle = 0$  for all  $f: S \cup \partial S \to \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f|_{\partial S} = 0$ .

The following lemma reveals relations between two definitions of  $\Phi$ -harmonicity above.

#### Lemma 1

Let  $S \subset V$  be a finite set. Then property to be  $\Phi$ -harmonic in a weak sense is equivalent to  $\Phi$ -harmonicity. Put it otherwise,  $\Delta_{\Phi} f = 0$  if and only if  $\langle \Delta_{\Phi} f, g \rangle = 0$  for all  $g: S \cup \partial S \to \mathbb{R}$  such that  $g|_{\partial S} = 0$ .

9 / 16

(日) (同) (三) (三)

Now we can clarify the role played by the functional  $\rho$  mentioned above.

#### Lemma 2

Suppose  $S \subset V$  is a finite set. The equation  $\Delta_{\Phi} f = 0$  holds if and only if f minimizes  $\rho(g)$  over the set  $M(f) = \{g \colon S \cup \partial S \to \mathbb{R} \mid g|_{\partial S} = f|_{\partial S}\}$ 

イロト イポト イラト イラト

Suppose S is a finite set. Let  $\{f_i\}$  be a sequence of functions in  $S \cup \partial S$ , which converges pointwise to a function f, then it is not hard to see

$$\rho(f_i) \to \rho(f), \ \Delta_{\Phi} f_i(x) \to \Delta_{\Phi} f(x)$$

#### Theorem 1

Let S be finite. Given an arbitrary function f in  $\partial S$ , there is a unique function h in  $S \cup \partial S$  such that h is  $\Phi$ -harmonic in S and  $h|_{\partial S} = f$ .

イベト イモト イモト

#### Definition: Super(Sub)harmonisity

We say that h is  $\Phi$ -superharmonic (subharmonic) in U if  $\Delta_{\Phi}h(x) \leq 0$ (resp.  $\Delta_{\Phi}h(x) \leq 0$ ) at every point  $x \in U$ . It is not hard to show that this condition is equivalent to

$$\langle \Delta_{\Phi} h, \, f 
angle \geq 0$$
 (resp.  $\leq 0$ )

for all  $f: U \cup \partial U \to \mathbb{R}^+$  such that  $f|_{\partial U} = 0$  and f has finite support.

イベト イラト イラト

### Theorem 2

Let f be  $\Phi$ -superharmonic and g be  $\Phi$ -subharmonic in a finite set S such that  $f \ge g$  in  $\partial S$ . Then  $f \ge g$  in S.

### Corollary

Suppose f and g are  $\Phi$ -harmonic functions in a finite set S such that  $f|_{\partial S} = g|_{\partial S}$ . Then f = g in S.

< 回 > < 三 > < 三 >

Henceforth  $U \subset V$  is an arbitrary set needed not be finite.

### Theorem 3: Harnack's inequality

Let  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  be a couple of complementary N-functions,  $h: U \cup \partial U \to \mathbb{R}^+$  is  $\Phi$ -superharmonic in U. Then the following estimation holds at every point  $x \in U$ 

 $\max_{y \sim x} h(y) \leq [\Psi'(\deg(x)) + 1]h(x)$ 

イベト イラト イラト

# Φ-Harmonic Functions

### Lemma 3

Let  $\{S_i\}$  be an increasing sequence of finite connected subset of V, and let  $U = \bigcup_i S_i$ . Suppose  $\{h_i\}$  is a sequence of functions in  $U \cup \partial U$  such that  $h_i(x) \to h(x) < \infty$  for all  $x \in U \cup \partial U$ . If  $h_i$  is  $\Phi$ -harmonic (resp.  $\Phi$ -superharmonic,  $\Phi$ -subharmonic) in every  $S_i$ , then h is  $\Phi$ -harmonic (resp.  $\Phi$ -superharmonic,  $\Phi$ -subharmonic) in U.

#### Theorem 4: Harnack's principle

Let  $S_i$  and U be as above . Let  $\{h_i\}$  be an increasing sequence of functions in  $U \cup \partial U$ . If  $h_i$  is  $\Phi$ -harmonic (or  $\Phi$ -superharmonic) in every  $S_i$ , then either  $h_i(x) \to \infty$  for every  $x \in U$ , or  $h_i(x) \to h(x)$  for all  $x \in U$  and h—  $\Phi$ -harmonic (resp.  $\Phi$ -superharmonic) in U.

15 / 16

# $\Phi$ -Harmonic Functions

Thank you for your attention!

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト 三日