

Weighted inequalities for sublinear integral operators on the semiaxis and applications to analysis on the Lorentz spaces

D.V. Prokhorov¹, V.D. Stepanov²

¹Computing Center of FEB RAS, Khabarovsk, Russia

²Peoples Friendship University of Russia, Moscow

The work of the authors was supported by the Russian Scientific Fund
(Project 14-11-00443)

International Conference "Geometry Days in Novosibirsk — 2014"
Dedicated to 85th Anniversary of Academician Yuri Grigor'evich Reshetnyak

Novosibirsk, 24–27 September 2014

1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{R}_+ := [0, \infty)$. Denote \mathfrak{M} the set of all measurable functions on \mathbb{R}_+ and $\mathfrak{M}^+ \subset \mathfrak{M}$ the subset of all non-negative functions. If $0 < p \leq \infty$ and $v \in \mathfrak{M}^+$ we define the weighted Lebesgue spaces by

$$L_v^p := \left\{ f \in \mathfrak{M} : \|f\|_{L_v^p} := \left(\int_0^\infty |f(x)|^p v(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty \right\},$$

$$L_v^\infty := \left\{ f \in \mathfrak{M} : \|f\|_{L_v^\infty} := \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \geq 0} v(x)|f(x)| < \infty \right\}.$$

Let $0 < q \leq \infty$ and $w \in \mathfrak{M}^+$. We consider sublinear operators on \mathfrak{M}^+ of the form

$$(Tf)(x) = \left(\int_x^\infty w(y) \left(\int_0^y k(y, z) f(z) dz \right)^q dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

$$(\mathcal{T}f)(x) = \left(\int_0^x w(y) \left(\int_y^\infty k(z, y) f(z) dz \right)^q dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

$$(Sf)(x) = \left(\int_x^\infty w(y) \left(\int_y^\infty k(z, y) f(z) dz \right)^q dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

$$(\mathcal{S}f)(x) = \left(\int_0^x w(y) \left(\int_0^y k(y, z) f(z) dz \right)^q dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathbf{T}f)(x) &= \left(\int_x^\infty k(y, x)w(y) \left(\int_0^y f(z)dz \right)^q dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \\
(\mathbf{T}f)(x) &= \left(\int_0^x k(x, y)w(y) \left(\int_y^\infty f(z)dz \right)^q dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \\
(\mathbf{S}f)(x) &= \left(\int_x^\infty k(y, x)w(y) \left(\int_y^\infty f(z)dz \right)^q dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \\
(\mathbf{S}f)(x) &= \left(\int_0^x k(x, y)w(y) \left(\int_0^y f(z)dz \right)^q dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}},
\end{aligned}$$

where $k(x, y) \geq 0$ is a measurable kernel and the right hand sides are to replace by essential supremums

$$(Tf)(x) = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{y \geq x} w(y) \int_0^y k(y, z) f(z) dz, \quad (1)$$

$$(\mathbf{T}f)(x) = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{y \geq x} k(y, x) w(y) \int_0^y f(z) dz, \quad (2)$$

and similarly for the others, when $q = \infty$.

We assume that a Borel function $k(x, y) \geq 0$ on $[0, \infty)^2$ satisfies Oinarov's condition: $k(x, y) = 0$ if $x < y$, and there is a constant $D \geq 1$ independent of $x \geq z \geq y \geq 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{D} (k(x, z) + k(z, y)) \leq k(x, y) \leq D (k(x, z) + k(z, y)). \quad (3)$$

Examples: $k(x, y) = (x - y)^\alpha$, $\alpha \geq 0$; $k(x, y) = \log^\beta \left(\frac{x}{y} \right)$, $\beta \geq 0$;
 $k(x, y) = \left(\int_y^x h(s) ds \right)^\gamma$, $h(s) \geq 0$, $\gamma \geq 0$ and various combinations.

Let $u, v, w \in \mathfrak{M}^+$ be weights, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $0 < r \leq \infty$. Our aim is to characterize the weighted inequalities

$$\|Tf\|_{L_u^r} \leq C_T \|f\|_{L_v^p}, \quad f \in \mathfrak{M}^+, \quad (4)$$

$$\|\mathcal{T}f\|_{L_u^r} \leq C_{\mathcal{T}} \|f\|_{L_v^p}, \quad f \in \mathfrak{M}^+, \quad (5)$$

$$\|Sf\|_{L_u^r} \leq C_S \|f\|_{L_v^p}, \quad f \in \mathfrak{M}^+, \quad (6)$$

$$\|\mathcal{S}f\|_{L_u^r} \leq C_{\mathcal{S}} \|f\|_{L_v^p}, \quad f \in \mathfrak{M}^+ \quad (7)$$

and

$$\|\mathbf{T}f\|_{L_u^r} \leq C_{\mathbf{T}} \|f\|_{L_v^p}, \quad f \in \mathfrak{M}^+, \quad (8)$$

$$\|\mathfrak{T}f\|_{L_u^r} \leq C_{\mathfrak{T}} \|f\|_{L_v^p}, \quad f \in \mathfrak{M}^+, \quad (9)$$

$$\|\mathbf{S}f\|_{L_u^r} \leq C_{\mathbf{S}} \|f\|_{L_v^p}, \quad f \in \mathfrak{M}^+, \quad (10)$$

$$\|\mathfrak{S}f\|_{L_u^r} \leq C_{\mathfrak{S}} \|f\|_{L_v^p}, \quad f \in \mathfrak{M}^+, \quad (11)$$

where the constants C_T and others are taken as the least possible.

Remark 1. The purpose of the investigation is to prove sharp two-sided estimates of the form

$$c_1(p, q, r, D)F(u, v, w, k) \leq C_T \leq c_2(p, q, r, D)F(u, v, w, k)$$

for the constants C_T and others, where the multiples $c_i > 0$ depend on parameters of summation and a constant D only and we write

$$C_T \approx F(u, v, w, k).$$

Remark 2. It is known for a *linear integral operator*, say,

$$Kf(x) := W(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} k(x, y)f(y)U(y)dy, \quad (12)$$

acting from L^p into L^q , that if $0 < p < 1, 0 < q < \infty$ and $\|K\|_{L^p \rightarrow L^q} < \infty$, then $\|K\|_{L^p \rightarrow L^q} = 0$, (Prokhorov-Stepanov, Proc. Steklov Math. Inst. 2003). The same phenomena is valid for the above sublinear operators and, consequently, the interval $0 < p < 1$ is excluded.

Remark 3. The success of a general theory of the last two decades is related to operators (12) with Oinarov's kernel $k(x, y) \geq 0$. First of all it concerns Volterra operators

$$Kf(x) := W(x) \int_0^x k(x, y)f(y)U(y)dy \quad (13)$$

and its dual

$$K^*g(y) := U(y) \int_y^\infty k(x, y)g(y)W(y)dy, \quad (14)$$

If $q = r < \infty$ the inequalities (4)-(11) are reduced to the generalized Hardy-type inequalities with Volterra operators (13) and (14), which were well studied since 90's in various papers and monographs, we list below a small portion:

S. Bloom, R. Kerman, Weighted norm inequalities for operators of Hardy type, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **113** (1991), 135–141.

R. Oinarov, Two-sided estimates of the norm of some classes of integral operators, *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.*, **204** (1994), 205–214.

V.D. Stepanov, Weighted norm inequalities of Hardy type for a class of integral operators, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **50**:1 (1994), 105–120.

Q. Lai, Weighted modular inequalities for Hardy-type operators, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **79** (1999), 649–672.

G. Sinnamon and V.D. Stepanov, The weighted Hardy inequality: new proofs and the case $p=1$. *J. London Math. Soc.* **54** (1996), 89–101.

D.V. Prokhorov, On the boundedness and compactness of a class of integral operators, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **61** (2000), 617–628.

A. Kufner, L.-E. Persson, *Weighted inequalities of Hardy type*, World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 2003, xviii+357 pp.

D.V. Prokhorov, On a weighted inequality for a Hardy-type operator, *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.*, **284** (2014), 216–223.

Remark 4. The cases $p = \infty$ or/and $r = \infty$ have the precise characterization.
For instance, for $p = \infty$

$$C_T = \left\| T \left(\frac{1}{v} \right) \right\|_{L_u^r} \quad (15)$$

and for $r = \infty$

$$C_T = \sup_{t \geq 0} U(t) \|H_t\|_{L_v^p \rightarrow L_w^q}, \quad (16)$$

where $U(t) := \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{0 \leq x \leq t} u(x)$ and $(H_t f)(x) := \chi_{[t, \infty)}(x) \int_0^x k(x, z) f(z) dz$.

2. Operators T and S

Suppose for simplicity that $\int_0^t u < \infty$ for all $t > 0$ and define the functions $\sigma : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$, $\sigma^{-1} : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by (here $\inf \emptyset = \infty$)

$$\sigma(x) := \inf \left\{ y > 0 : \int_0^y u \geq 2 \int_0^x u \right\}, \quad \sigma^{-1}(x) := \inf \left\{ y > 0 : \int_0^y u \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^x u \right\}.$$

The functions σ and σ^{-1} are increasing and

$$\int_0^{\sigma(x)} u = 2 \int_0^x u, \quad \int_0^{\sigma^{-1}(x)} u = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^x u, \quad x > 0.$$

For $0 < c < d \leq \infty$ and $f \in \mathfrak{M}^+$ we put

$$(H_{c,d}f)(x) := \chi_{[c,d)}(x) \int_{\sigma^{-1}(c)}^x k(x,z)f(z)dz,$$
$$(H_cf)(x) := \chi_{[c,\infty)}(x) \int_0^x k(x,z)f(z)dz.$$

Theorem 1. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, $0 < r < \infty$, $0 < q \leq \infty$, $\frac{1}{s} := \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{p}\right)_+$. For validity of the inequality (4) it is necessary and sufficient that the inequalities

$$\left(\int_0^\infty u(x) \left(\int_x^\infty w \right)^{\frac{r}{q}} \left(\int_0^x k(x, z) f(z) dz \right)^r dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq A_0 \|f\|_{L_v^p}, \quad (17)$$

$$\left(\int_0^\infty u(x) \left(\int_x^\infty [k(z, x)]^q w(z) dz \right)^{\frac{r}{q}} \left(\int_0^x f \right)^r dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq A_1 \|f\|_{L_v^p}, \quad (18)$$

if $q < \infty$ or

$$\left(\int_0^\infty u(x) [\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{y \geq x} w(y)]^r \left(\int_0^x k(x, z) f(z) dz \right)^r dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq A_0 \|f\|_{L_v^p}, \quad (19)$$

$$\left(\int_0^\infty u(x) [\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{y \geq x} [w(y)k(y, x)]]^r \left(\int_0^x f \right)^r dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq A_1 \|f\|_{L_v^p} \quad (20)$$

for $q = \infty$ hold for all $f \in \mathfrak{M}^+$ and the constant

$$A_2 := \begin{cases} \sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} \left(\int_0^t u \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \|H_t\|_{L_v^p \rightarrow L_w^q}, & p \leq r, \\ \left(\int_0^\infty u(x) \left(\int_0^x u \right)^{\frac{s}{p}} \|H_{\sigma^{-1}(x), \sigma(x)}\|_{L_v^p \rightarrow L_w^q}^s dx \right)^{\frac{1}{s}}, & r < p \end{cases} \quad (21)$$

is finite. Moreover, $C_T \approx A_0 + A_1 + A_2$.

Now, for $0 < c < d \leq \infty$ and $f \in \mathfrak{M}^+$ we put

$$(H_{c,d}^* f)(x) := \chi_{[c,d)}(x) \int_x^{\sigma(d)} k(z, x) f(z) dz,$$
$$(H_c^* f)(x) := \chi_{[c,\infty)}(x) \int_x^\infty k(z, x) f(z) dz.$$

Theorem 2. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, $0 < r < \infty$, $0 < q \leq \infty$, $\frac{1}{s} := \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{p}\right)_+$. Then the inequality (6) is fulfilled if and only if the inequalities

$$\left(\int_0^\infty u(x) \left(\int_x^{\sigma^2(x)} w \right)^{\frac{r}{q}} \left(\int_{\sigma^2(x)}^\infty k(z, \sigma^2(x)) f(z) dz \right)^r dx \right)^{1/r} \leq \mathbb{A}_0 \|f\|_{L_v^p},$$

$$\left(\int_0^\infty u(x) \left(\int_x^{\sigma^2(x)} [k(\sigma^2(x), z)]^q w(z) dz \right)^{\frac{r}{q}} \left(\int_{\sigma^2(x)}^\infty f \right)^r dx \right)^{1/r} \leq \mathbb{A}_1 \|f\|_{L_v^p},$$

if $q < \infty$ or

$$\left(\int_0^\infty u(x) \left[\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{y \in (x, \sigma^2(x))} w(y) \right]^r \left(\int_{\sigma^2(x)}^\infty k(z, \sigma^2(x)) f(z) dz \right)^r dx \right)^{1/r} \leq \mathbb{A}_0 \|f\|_{L_v^p},$$

$$\left(\int_0^\infty u(x) \left[\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{y \in (x, \sigma^2(x))} [w(y) k(\sigma^2(x), y)]^r \left(\int_{\sigma^2(x)}^\infty f \right)^r dx \right)^{1/r} \leq \mathbb{A}_1 \|f\|_{L_v^p}$$

for $q = \infty$ hold for all $f \in \mathfrak{M}^+$ and the constant

$$\mathbb{A}_2 := \begin{cases} \sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} \left(\int_0^t u \right)^{1/r} \|H_t^*\|_{L_v^p \rightarrow L_w^q}, & p \leq r, \\ \left(\int_0^\infty u(x) \left(\int_0^x u \right)^{s/p} \|H_{\sigma^{-1}(x), \sigma(x)}^*\|_{L_v^p \rightarrow L_w^q}^s dx \right)^{1/s}, & r < p \end{cases}$$

is finite. Moreover, $C_S \approx \mathbb{A}_0 + \mathbb{A}_1 + \mathbb{A}_2$.

Remark 5. According to the Remark 3 precise characterization of the inequalities (17)-(20), sharp estimates of the norms

$$\|H_t\|_{L_v^p \rightarrow L_w^q}, \|H_{\sigma^{-1}(x), \sigma(x)}\|_{L_v^p \rightarrow L_w^q}, \|H_t^*\|_{L_v^p \rightarrow L_w^q}, \|H_{\sigma^{-1}(x), \sigma(x)}^*\|_{L_v^p \rightarrow L_w^q}$$

are known and have explicit integral form.

3. Operators \mathcal{I} and \mathcal{J}

For finding criteria for (5) and (7) we suppose that $0 < \int_t^\infty u < \infty$ for all $t > 0$ and define the functions $\zeta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $\zeta^{-1} : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by

$$\zeta(x) := \sup \left\{ y > 0 : \int_y^\infty u \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_x^\infty u \right\},$$

$$\zeta^{-1}(x) := \sup \left\{ y > 0 : \int_y^\infty u \geq 2 \int_x^\infty u \right\},$$

where $\sup \emptyset = 0$. For $0 \leq c < d < \infty$ and $f \in \mathfrak{M}^+$ we put

$$(\mathcal{H}_{c,d}f)(x) := \chi_{(c,d]}(x) \int_x^{\zeta(d)} k(z,x)f(z)dz,$$

$$(\mathcal{H}_df)(x) := \chi_{(0,d]}(x) \int_x^\infty k(z,x)f(z)dz,$$

$$(\mathcal{H}_{c,d}^*f)(x) := \chi_{(c,d]}(x) \int_{\zeta^{-1}(c)}^x k(x,z)f(z)dz,$$

$$(\mathcal{H}_d^*f)(x) := \chi_{(0,d]}(x) \int_0^x k(x,z)f(z)dz.$$

Similar to the previous section we prove the following theorems.

Theorem 3. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, $0 < r < \infty$, $0 < q \leq \infty$, $\frac{1}{s} := \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{p}\right)_+$. For validity of the inequality (5) it is necessary and sufficient that the inequalities

$$\left(\int_0^\infty u(x) \left(\int_0^x w \right)^{\frac{r}{q}} \left(\int_x^\infty k(z, x) f(z) dz \right)^r dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq \mathcal{A}_0 \|f\|_{L_v^p}, \quad (22)$$

$$\left(\int_0^\infty u(x) \left(\int_0^x [k(x, y)]^q w(y) dy \right)^{\frac{r}{q}} \left(\int_x^\infty f \right)^r dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq \mathcal{A}_1 \|f\|_{L_v^p},$$

if $q < \infty$ or

$$\left(\int_0^\infty u(x) [\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{y \in (0, x)} w(y)]^r \left(\int_x^\infty k(z, x) f(z) dz \right)^r dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq \mathcal{A}_0 \|f\|_{L_v^p},$$

$$\left(\int_0^\infty u(x) [\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{y \in (0, x)} [w(y) k(x, y)]]^r \left(\int_x^\infty f \right)^r dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq \mathcal{A}_1 \|f\|_{L_v^p}$$

for $q = \infty$ hold for all $f \in \mathfrak{M}^+$ and the constant

$$\mathcal{A}_2 := \begin{cases} \sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} \left(\int_t^\infty u \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \|\mathcal{H}_t\|_{L_v^p \rightarrow L_w^q}, & p \leq r, \\ \left(\int_0^\infty u(x) \left(\int_x^\infty u \right)^{\frac{s}{p}} \|\mathcal{H}_{\zeta^{-1}(x), \zeta(x)}\|_{L_v^p \rightarrow L_w^q}^s dx \right)^{\frac{1}{s}}, & r < p, \end{cases} \quad (23)$$

is finite. Moreover, $C_{\mathcal{J}} \approx \mathcal{A}_0 + \mathcal{A}_1 + \mathcal{A}_2$.

Theorem 4. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, $0 < r < \infty$, $0 < q \leq \infty$, $\frac{1}{s} := \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{p}\right)_+$. For validity of the inequality (7) it is necessary and sufficient that the inequalities

$$\left(\int_0^\infty u(x) \left(\int_{\zeta^{-2}(x)}^x w \right)^{\frac{r}{q}} \left(\int_0^{\zeta^{-2}(x)} k(\zeta^{-2}(x), z) f(z) dz \right)^r dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq \mathbf{A}_0 \|f\|_{L_v^p},$$

$$\left(\int_0^\infty u(x) \left(\int_{\zeta^{-2}(x)}^x w(y) [k(y, \zeta^{-2}(x))]^q dy \right)^{\frac{r}{q}} \left(\int_0^{\zeta^{-2}(x)} f \right)^r dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq \mathbf{A}_1 \|f\|_{L_v^p},$$

if $q < \infty$ or

$$\left(\int_0^\infty u(x) \left[\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{y \in (\zeta^{-2}(x), x)} w(y) \right]^r \left(\int_0^{\zeta^{-2}(x)} k(\zeta^{-2}(x), z) f(z) dz \right)^r dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq \mathbf{A}_0 \|f\|_{L_v^p},$$

$$\left(\int_0^\infty u(x) \left[\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{y \in (\zeta^{-2}(x), x)} [w(y) k(y, \zeta^{-2}(x))] \right]^r \left(\int_0^{\zeta^{-2}(x)} f \right)^r dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq \mathbf{A}_1 \|f\|_{L_v^p}$$

for $q = \infty$ hold for all $f \in \mathfrak{M}^+$ and the constant

$$\mathbf{A}_2 := \begin{cases} \sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} \left(\int_t^\infty u \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \|\mathcal{H}_t^*\|_{L_v^p \rightarrow L_w^q}, & p \leq r, \\ \left(\int_0^\infty u(x) \left(\int_x^\infty u \right)^{\frac{s}{p}} \|\mathcal{H}_{\zeta^{-1}(x), \zeta(x)}^*\|_{L_v^p \rightarrow L_w^q}^s dx \right)^{\frac{1}{s}}, & r < p \end{cases} \quad (24)$$

is finite. Moreover, $C_{\mathcal{J}} \approx \mathbf{A}_0 + \mathbf{A}_1 + \mathbf{A}_2$.

4. Operators \mathbf{T} and \mathbf{S}

Let the functions σ and σ^{-1} be the same as in the Section 2. For $0 < c < d \leq \infty$ and $f \in \mathfrak{M}^+$ we put

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathbf{H}_{c,d}f)(x) &:= \chi_{[c,d]}(x) \int_{\sigma^{-1}(c)}^x f(z)dz, & (\mathbf{H}_cf)(x) &:= \chi_{[c,\infty)}(x) \int_0^x f(z)dz, \\(\mathbf{H}_{c,d}^*f)(x) &:= \chi_{[c,d]}(x) \int_x^{\sigma(d)} f(z)dz, & (\mathbf{H}_c^*f)(x) &:= \chi_{[c,\infty)}(x) \int_x^\infty f(z)dz.\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 5. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, $0 < r < \infty$, $0 < q \leq \infty$, $\frac{1}{s} := \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{p}\right)_+$. For validity of (8) it is necessary and sufficient that $B := B_0 + B_1 + B_2 < \infty$, where B_0 and B_1 are the least possible constants in the inequalities

$$\left(\int_0^\infty u(x) \left(\int_x^\infty k(y, x) w(y) dy \right)^{\frac{r}{q}} \left(\int_0^x f \right)^r dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq B_0 \|f\|_{L_v^p}, \quad (25)$$

$$\left(\int_0^\infty u(x) [k(\sigma^2(x), x)]^{\frac{r}{q}} \left(\int_{\sigma^2(x)}^\infty w(y) \left(\int_0^y f \right)^q dy \right)^{\frac{r}{q}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq B_1 \|f\|_{L_v^p}, \quad (26)$$

if $q < \infty$ or

$$\left(\int_0^\infty u(x) [\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{y \geq x} k(y, x) w(y)]^r \left(\int_0^x f \right)^r dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq B_0 \|f\|_{L_v^p}, \quad (27)$$

$$\left(\int_0^\infty u(x) [k(\sigma^2(x), x)]^r \left(\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{y \geq \sigma^2(x)} w(y) \int_0^y f \right)^r dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq B_1 \|f\|_{L_v^p}, \quad (28)$$

for $q = \infty$ and B_2 is defined by

$$B_2 := \begin{cases} \sup_{t>0} \left(\int_0^t u \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \|\mathbf{H}_t\|_{L_v^p \rightarrow L_{w(\cdot)k(\cdot, t)}^q}, & p \leq r, \\ \left(\int_0^\infty u(x) \left(\int_0^x u \right)^{\frac{s}{p}} \|\mathbf{H}_{\sigma^{-1}(x), \sigma^2(x)}\|_{L_v^p \rightarrow L_{w(\cdot)k(\cdot, \sigma^{-1}(x))}^q}^s dx \right)^{\frac{1}{s}}, & r < p. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, $C_{\mathbf{T}} \approx B$.

Theorem 6. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, $0 < r < \infty$, $0 < q \leq \infty$, $\frac{1}{s} := \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{p}\right)_+$. For validity of (10) it is necessary and sufficient that $\mathbb{B} := \mathbb{B}_0 + \mathbb{B}_1 + \mathbb{B}_2 < \infty$, where \mathbb{B}_0 and \mathbb{B}_1 are the least possible constants in the inequalities

$$\left(\int_0^\infty u(x) \left(\int_x^{\sigma^3(x)} k(y, x) w(y) dy \right)^{\frac{r}{q}} \left(\int_{\sigma^3(x)}^\infty f \right)^r dx \right)^{1/r} \leq \mathbb{B}_0 \|f\|_{L_v^p}, \quad (29)$$

$$\left(\int_0^\infty u(x) k(\sigma^2(x), x)^{\frac{r}{q}} \left(\int_{\sigma^2(x)}^\infty w(y) \left(\int_y^\infty f \right)^q dy \right)^{\frac{r}{q}} dx \right)^{1/r} \leq \mathbb{B}_1 \|f\|_{L_v^p}, \quad (30)$$

when $q < \infty$ and

$$\left(\int_0^\infty u(x) \left[\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \leq y \leq \sigma^3(x)} k(y, x) w(y) \right]^r \left(\int_{\sigma^3(x)}^\infty f \right)^r dx \right)^{1/r} \leq \mathbb{B}_0 \|f\|_{L_v^p}, \quad (31)$$

$$\left(\int_0^\infty u(x) [k(\sigma^2(x), x)]^r \left(\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{y \geq \sigma^2(x)} w(y) \int_y^\infty f \right)^r dx \right)^{1/r} \leq \mathbb{B}_1 \|f\|_{L_v^p}, \quad (32)$$

if $q = \infty$. The constant \mathbb{B}_2 is given by

$$\mathbb{B}_2 := \begin{cases} \sup_{t>0} \left(\int_0^t u \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \|\mathbf{H}_t^*\|_{L_v^p \rightarrow L_{w(\cdot)k(\cdot, t)}^q}, & p \leq r, \\ \left(\int_0^\infty u(x) \left(\int_0^x u \right)^{\frac{s}{p}} \|\mathbf{H}_{\sigma^{-1}(x), \sigma^2(x)}^*\|_{L_v^p \rightarrow L_{w(\cdot)k(\cdot, \sigma^{-1}(x))}^q}^s dx \right)^{\frac{1}{s}}, & r < p. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, $C_S \approx \mathbb{B}$.

5. Operators \mathfrak{T} and \mathfrak{S}

Let the functions $\zeta, \zeta^{-1} : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be the same as in the Section 3. For $0 \leq c < d < \infty$ and $f \in \mathfrak{M}^+$ we define operators

$$(\mathfrak{H}_{c,d}f)(x) := \chi_{(c,d]}(x) \int_x^{\zeta(d)} f(z)dz,$$

$$(\mathfrak{H}_df)(x) := \chi_{(0,d]}(x) \int_x^{\infty} f(z)dz,$$

$$(\mathfrak{H}_{c,d}^*f)(x) := \chi_{(c,d]}(x) \int_{\zeta^{-1}(c)}^x f(z)dz,$$

$$(\mathfrak{H}_d^*f)(x) := \chi_{(0,d]}(x) \int_0^x f(z)dz.$$

The following theorems are true.

Theorem 7. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, $0 < r < \infty$, $0 < q \leq \infty$, $\frac{1}{s} := \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{p}\right)_+$. For validity of the inequality (9) it is necessary and sufficient that the inequalities

$$\left(\int_0^\infty u(x) \left(\int_0^x k(x, y) w(y) dy \right)^{\frac{r}{q}} \left(\int_x^\infty f \right)^r dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq \mathcal{B}_0 \|f\|_{L_v^p},$$

$$\left(\int_0^\infty u(x) [k(\zeta^{-2}(x), x)]^{\frac{r}{q}} \left(\int_0^{\zeta^{-2}(x)} w(y) \left(\int_y^\infty f \right)^q dy \right)^{\frac{r}{q}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq \mathcal{B}_1 \|f\|_{L_v^p},$$

if $q < \infty$ or

$$\left(\int_0^\infty u(x) [\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{y \in (0, x)} k(x, y) w(y)]^r \left(\int_x^\infty f \right)^r dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq \mathcal{B}_0 \|f\|_{L_v^p},$$

$$\left(\int_0^\infty u(x) [k(\zeta^{-2}(x), x)]^r \left(\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{y \in (0, \zeta^{-2}(x))} w(y) \int_y^\infty f \right)^r dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq \mathcal{B}_1 \|f\|_{L_v^p}$$

for $q = \infty$ hold for all $f \in \mathfrak{M}^+$ and the constant

$$\mathcal{B}_2 := \begin{cases} \sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} \left(\int_t^\infty u \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \|\mathfrak{H}_t\|_{L_v^p \rightarrow L_w^q}, & p \leq r, \\ \left(\int_0^\infty u(x) \left(\int_x^\infty u \right)^{\frac{s}{p}} \|\mathfrak{H}_{\zeta^{-1}(x), \zeta^2(x)}\|_{L_v^p \rightarrow L_{w(\cdot)k(\zeta^{-1}(x), \cdot)}^q}^s dx \right)^{\frac{1}{s}}, & r < p, \end{cases}$$

is finite. Moreover, $C_{\mathfrak{T}} \approx \mathcal{B}_0 + \mathcal{B}_1 + \mathcal{B}_2$.

Theorem 8. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, $0 < r < \infty$, $0 < q \leq \infty$, $\frac{1}{s} := \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{p}\right)_+$. For validity of the inequality (11) it is necessary and sufficient that the inequalities

$$\left(\int_0^\infty u(x) \left(\int_{\zeta^{-3}(x)}^x k(x, y) w(y) dy \right)^{\frac{r}{q}} \left(\int_0^{\zeta^{-3}(x)} f \right)^r dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq \mathbf{B}_0 \|f\|_{L_v^p},$$

$$\left(\int_0^\infty u(x) [k(\zeta^{-2}(x), x)]^{\frac{r}{q}} \left(\int_0^{\zeta^{-2}(x)} w(y) \left(\int_0^{\zeta^{-2}(x)} f \right)^q dy \right)^{\frac{r}{q}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq \mathbf{B}_1 \|f\|_{L_v^p},$$

if $q < \infty$ or

$$\left(\int_0^\infty u(x) \left[\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{y \in (\zeta^{-3}(x), x)} k(x, y) w(y) \right]^r \left(\int_0^{\zeta^{-3}(x)} f \right)^r dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq \mathbf{B}_0 \|f\|_{L_v^p},$$

$$\left(\int_0^\infty u(x) [k(\zeta^{-2}(x), x)]^r \left(\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{y \in (0, \zeta^{-2}(x))} w(y) \int_0^y f \right)^r dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq \mathbf{B}_1 \|f\|_{L_v^p}$$

for $q = \infty$ hold for all $f \in \mathfrak{M}^+$ and the constant

$$\mathbf{B}_2 := \begin{cases} \sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} \left(\int_t^\infty u \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \|\mathfrak{H}_t^*\|_{L_v^p \rightarrow L_{w(\cdot)k(t, \cdot)}^q}, & p \leq r, \\ \left(\int_0^\infty u(x) \left(\int_x^\infty u \right)^{\frac{s}{p}} \|\mathfrak{H}_{\zeta^{-1}(x), \zeta^2(x)}^*\|_{L_v^p \rightarrow L_{w(\cdot)k(\zeta^2(x), \cdot)}^q}^s dx \right)^{\frac{1}{s}}, & r < p \end{cases}$$

is finite. Moreover, $C_{\mathfrak{G}} \approx \mathbf{B}_0 + \mathbf{B}_1 + \mathbf{B}_2$.

6. Boundedness of classical operators in the Lorentz spaces

Since 90's Λ -analysis was started, – problems related to characterization of classical operators in the Lorentz spaces. Remind, that given f on \mathbb{R}^n , the non-increasing rearrangement f^* is defined by

$$f^*(t) := \inf\{s > 0 : \text{mes}\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |f(x)| > s\} \leq t\}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

Given $p \in (0, \infty)$ and a weight $v(x) \geq 0$ on \mathbb{R}_+ Lorentz Λ -space $\Lambda^p(v)$ is a collection of all functions f such, that $\|f\|_{\Lambda^p(v)} < \infty$, where

$$\|f\|_{\Lambda^p(v)} := \left(\int_0^\infty [f^*(t)]^p v(t) dt \right)^{1/p}.$$

A problem is to characterize the boundedness $T : \Lambda^p(v) \rightarrow \Lambda^q(w)$. Let

$$L_{p,v}^\downarrow := \left\{ f \in \mathfrak{M}^\downarrow : \|f\|_{p,v} := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} |f(x)|^p v(x) dx \right)^{1/p} < \infty \right\}.$$

If for some $T : \Lambda^p(v) \rightarrow \Lambda^q(w)$ the inequality

$$[Tf]^*(t) \ll [Sf^*](t), \quad t > 0$$

holds, where S is a positive operator, then

$$S : L_{p,v}^\downarrow \rightarrow L_{q,w}^+ \Rightarrow T : \Lambda^p(v) \rightarrow \Lambda^q(w)$$

and

$$\|T\|_{\Lambda^p(v) \rightarrow \Lambda^q(w)} \ll \|S\|_{L_{p,v}^\downarrow \rightarrow L_{q,w}^+}.$$

If

$$[Tf]^*(t) \approx \int_0^\infty k(t,s)u(s)f^*(s)ds, \quad (33)$$

where $k(t,s) \geq 0$ and $u(s) \geq 0$, then the boundedness of $T : \Lambda^p(v) \rightarrow \Lambda^q(w)$ is equivalent to the validity of

$$\left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^\infty k(t,s)f(s)u(s)ds \right)^q w(t)dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C \left(\int_0^\infty [f(t)]^p v(t)dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad (34)$$

for all $f \in \mathfrak{M}^\downarrow$.

Examples. The Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator

$$Mf(x) := \sup_{B \ni x} \frac{1}{\text{mes} B} \int_B |f(y)| dy$$

has the two-sided estimate

$$[Mf]^*(t) \approx \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t f^*(s) ds.$$

Consequently, the boundedness $M : \Lambda^p(v) \rightarrow \Lambda^q(u)$ is equivalent to

$$\left(\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t f(s) ds \right)^q w(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C \left(\int_0^\infty [f(t)]^p v(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad f \in \mathfrak{M}^\downarrow. \quad (35)$$

Analogously, for the Riesz potential

$$I_\alpha f(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{f(y)dy}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}}, \quad 0 < \alpha < n$$

and the Hilbert transform

$$\mathcal{H}f(x) := \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{|y| > \varepsilon} \frac{f(x-y)dy}{y}$$

the estimates

$$(I_\alpha f)^*(t) \ll \left[t^{\frac{\alpha}{n}-1} \int_0^t f^*(z)dz + \int_t^\infty t^{\frac{\alpha}{n}-1} f^*(z)dz \right] \ll (I_\alpha \tilde{f})^*(t), \quad t > 0,$$

where $\tilde{f}(y) = f^*(A|y|^n)$ and

$$(\mathcal{H}f)^*(t) \ll C_1 \left[t^{-1} \int_0^t f^*(z)dz + \int_t^\infty z^{-1} f^*(z)dz \right] \ll (\mathcal{H}f^*)^*(t),$$

hold. For $\gamma \in (0, n)$, the fractional maximal operator M_γ is given by

$$M_\gamma f(x) := \sup_{B \ni x} |B|^{\frac{\gamma}{n}-1} \int_B |f(y)|dy.$$

Then

where

$$\|M_\gamma\|_{\Lambda^p(v) \rightarrow \Lambda^q(w)} \approx \|S_\gamma\|_{L_{p,v}^\downarrow \rightarrow L_{q,w}^+},$$

$$S_\gamma f(t) := \sup_{\tau \geq t} \tau^{\frac{\gamma}{n}-1} \int_0^\tau f^*(s)ds$$

Weighted Hardy inequality

$$\left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^x f(y)u(y)dy \right)^q w(x)dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C \left(\int_0^\infty f^p v \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad f \in \mathfrak{M}^\downarrow, \quad (36)$$

has numerous applications. The characterization of (36) was taken about 15 years.

M. Ariño M, B. Muckenhoupt, Maximal functions on classical Lorentz spaces and Hardy's inequality with weights for non-increasing functions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **320** (1990), 727–735.

E. Sawyer, Boundedness of classical operators on classical Lorentz spaces, *Studia Math.*, **96** (1990), 145–158.

V.D. Stepanov, The weighted Hardy's inequality for nonincreasing functions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **338** (1993), 173–186.

M.L. Goldman, Sharp estimates for the norms of Hardy-type operators on cones of quasimonotone functions, *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.*, **232** (2001), 109–137.

G. Bennett, K.-G. Grosse-Erdmann, Weighted Hardy inequality for decreasing sequences and functions, *Math. Ann.*, **334** (2006), 489–531.

with latest survey

A. Gogatishvili, V.D. Stepanov, Reduction theorems for weighted integral inequalities on the cone of monotone functions, *Russian Math. Surveys*, **68(4)** (2013), 597–664.

Put $U(t) := \int_0^t u$, $V(t) := \int_0^t v$, $W(t) := \int_0^t w$.

Theorem 9. *For the least possible constant C in the inequality (36) the following equivalences hold:*

(a) *Let $1 < p \leq q < \infty$, then $C \approx A_0 + A_1$, where*

$$A_0 = \sup_{t>0} A_0(t) := \sup_{t>0} \left(\int_0^t U^q w \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} V^{-\frac{1}{p}}(t),$$

and

$$A_1 := \sup_{t>0} \left(\int_t^\infty w \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_0^t \left(\frac{U}{V} \right)^{p'} v \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}}.$$

(b) *If $0 < q < p < \infty$, $1 < p < \infty$, then $C \approx B_0 + B_1$, where*

$$B_0 = B_0(p, q) := \left(\int_0^\infty V^{-\frac{r}{p}}(t) \left(\int_0^t U^q w \right)^{\frac{r}{p}} U^q(t) w(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{r}},$$

and

$$B_1 = B_1(p, q) := \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_t^\infty w \right)^{\frac{r}{p}} \left(\int_0^t \left(\frac{U}{V} \right)^{p'} v \right)^{\frac{r}{p'}} w(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}.$$

(c) For $0 < q < p \leq 1$, $C \approx B_0 + \mathcal{B}_1$, where

$$\mathcal{B}_1 = \mathcal{B}_1(p, q) := \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\operatorname{esssup}_{s \in [0, t]} \frac{U^p(s)}{V(s)} \right)^{\frac{r}{p}} \left(\int_t^\infty w \right)^{\frac{r}{p}} w(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}.$$

(d) If $0 < p \leq q < \infty$, $0 < p \leq 1$, then $C = \mathcal{A}_1$,

$$\mathcal{A}_1 := \sup_{t > 0} V^{-\frac{1}{p}}(t) \left(\int_0^\infty U^q(\min\{s, t\}) w(s) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

It is well known that the set of functions $\mathfrak{M}_h^\downarrow := \{\int_x^\infty h, h \in L_1 \cap \mathfrak{M}^+\}$ is dense in \mathfrak{M}^\downarrow . Consequently, if we change $[f(x)]^p = \int_x^\infty h$ in (36), we obtain an equivalent inequality

$$\left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^x \left(\int_y^\infty h \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} u(y) dy \right)^q w(x) dx \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} \leq C^p \int_0^\infty h V, \quad h \in \mathfrak{M}^+, \quad (37)$$

characterized by Theorem 3.

Analogously, we solve the inequalities with the Riesz potential, Hilbert transform, fractional Hardy-Littlewood operator, etc.

7. $\Gamma^p(v) \rightarrow \Gamma^q(w)$ boundedness of the maximal operator

The Lorentz Γ -spaces were introduced by E.T. Sawyer while working on characterization of the boundedness of classical operators in the weighted Lorentz Λ -spaces. More exactly, if $v \in \mathfrak{M}^+$ and $0 < p < \infty$, then

$$\Gamma^p(v) = \left\{ f \text{ measurable on } \mathbb{R}^n : \left(\int_0^\infty [f^{**}(x)]^p v(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty \right\},$$

where $f^{**}(x) := \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x f^*(t) dt$. Certainly, the natural problem was to characterize

$$M : \Lambda^p(v) \rightarrow \Gamma^q(u), M : \Gamma^p(v) \rightarrow \Lambda^q(u), M : \Gamma^p(v) \rightarrow \Gamma^q(u).$$

Using the relation $[Mf]^*(x) \approx \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x f^*$, we see that $M : \Gamma^p(v) \rightarrow \Gamma^q(u)$ boundedness is equivalent to the weighted inequality

$$\left(\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{1}{x} \int_0^x \left(\frac{1}{y} \int_0^y f \right) dy \right)^q u(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t f \right)^p v(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad (38)$$

restricted on the cone $f \in \mathfrak{M}^\downarrow$. Moreover, the least possible constant C is equivalent to the norm of M

$$C \approx \|M\|_{\Gamma^p(v) \rightarrow \Gamma^q(u)} := \sup_{0 \neq f \in \Gamma^p(v)} \frac{\|Mf\|_{\Gamma^q(u)}}{\|f\|_{\Gamma^p(v)}}.$$

The inequality (38) was first characterized in the diagonal case
 $1 < p = q < \infty, u = v$.

V.D. Stepanov, Integral operators on the cone of monotone functions. *J. London Math. Soc.* **48** (1993), 465–487.

For $1 < p, q < \infty, u \neq v$ in

M.L. Goldman, H.P. Heinig and V.D. Stepanov, On the principle of duality in Lorentz spaces, *Canad. J. Math.* **48** (1996), 959–979.

and

G. Sinnamon, Embeddings of concave functions and duals of Lorentz spaces, *Publ. Mat.* **46** (2002), 489–515.

The case $0 < p, q < 1$ is new.

Applying Theorems 3 and 4 we solve the problem for all $0 < p, q < \infty$ and our criteria have an explicit integral form.

Let $\Omega_{1,0} := \{g \in \mathfrak{M}^\downarrow, tg(t) \in \mathfrak{M}^\uparrow\}$. Then $F(t) = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t f \in \Omega_{1,0}$ for any $f \in \mathfrak{M}^\downarrow$ and $F^p \in \Omega_{p,0} := \{g \in \mathfrak{M}^\downarrow, t^p g(t) \in \mathfrak{M}^\uparrow\}$. By the change $G = F^p$ (38) becomes equivalent to

$$\left(\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{1}{x} \int_0^x G^{\frac{1}{p}} \right)^q u(x) dx \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} \leq C^p \int_0^\infty G v, \quad G \in \Omega_{p,0} \quad (39)$$

and using the density of functions $\int_0^\infty \frac{h(z)dz}{y^p + z^p}$ in the set $\Omega_{p,0}$ we reduce (39) to the inequality

$$\left(\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{1}{x} \int_0^x \left(\int_0^\infty \frac{h(z)dz}{y^p + z^p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} dy \right)^q u(x) dx \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} \lesssim C^p \int_0^\infty h V, \quad h \in \mathfrak{M}^+, \quad (40)$$

where

$$V(z) = \int_0^\infty \frac{v(y)dy}{y^p + z^p}.$$

Since

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{h(z)dz}{y^p + z^p} \approx \int_y^\infty \frac{h(z)dz}{z^p} + \frac{1}{y^p} \int_0^y h(z)dz,$$

(40) is characterized by the following pair of inequalities:

$$\left(\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{1}{x} \int_0^x \left(\int_y^\infty h(z)dz \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} dy \right)^q u(x)dx \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} \leq C_1^p \int_0^\infty h(t)t^p V(t)dt, \quad h \in \mathfrak{M}^+$$

and

$$\left(\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{1}{x} \int_0^x \left(\int_0^y h(z)dz \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{dy}{y} \right)^q u(x)dx \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} \leq C_2^p \int_0^\infty hV, \quad h \in \mathfrak{M}^+,$$

which are of the form (5) and (7), respectively. Moreover,

$$C \approx C_1 + C_2.$$

Hence, applying Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, we see that and

$$C_1 \approx \mathcal{A}_0 + \mathcal{A}_2 \tag{41}$$

and

$$C_2 \approx \mathbf{A}_0 + \mathbf{A}_2, \tag{42}$$

where the constants A's are defined by (22) and (23) for (41) and by (18) and (24) for (42) under related changes of weights.

Suppose for simplicity that $0 < \int_t^\infty s^{-q}u(s)ds < \infty$ for all $t > 0$. Now, the functions ζ and ζ^{-1} are defined by

$$\zeta(x) := \sup \left\{ y > 0 : \int_y^\infty s^{-q}u(s)ds \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_x^\infty s^{-q}u(s)ds \right\},$$

$$\zeta^{-1}(x) := \sup \left\{ y > 0 : \int_y^\infty s^{-q}u(s)ds \geq 2 \int_x^\infty s^{-q}u(s)ds \right\}.$$

For $0 \leq c < d < \infty$ and $h \in \mathfrak{M}^+$ we put

$$(\mathcal{H}_{c,d}h)(x) := \chi_{(c,d]}(x) \int_x^{\zeta(d)} h,$$

$$(\mathcal{H}_dh)(x) := \chi_{(0,d]}(x) \int_x^\infty h,$$

$$(\mathcal{H}_{c,d}^*h)(x) := \chi_{(c,d]}(x) \int_{\zeta^{-1}(c)}^x h,$$

$$(\mathcal{H}_d^*h)(x) := \chi_{(0,d]}(x) \int_0^x h.$$

By Theorem 3 \mathcal{A}_0 is the least possible constant in the inequality

$$\left(\int_0^\infty u(x) \left(\int_x^\infty h \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} \leq \mathcal{A}_0^p \int_0^\infty h(z) z^p V(z) dz, \quad h \in \mathfrak{M}^+$$

and \mathcal{A}_2 is defined by

$$\mathcal{A}_2^p := \begin{cases} \sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} \left(\int_t^\infty s^{-q} u(s) ds \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} \|\mathcal{H}_t\|_{L_{z^p V(z)}^1 \rightarrow L^{\frac{1}{p}}}, & p \leq q, \\ \left(\int_0^\infty x^{-q} u(x) \left(\int_x^\infty s^{-q} u(s) ds \right)^{\frac{q}{p-q}} \|\mathcal{H}_{\zeta^{-1}(x), \zeta(x)}\|_{L_{z^p V(z)}^1 \rightarrow L^{\frac{1}{p}}}^{\frac{q}{p-q}} dx \right)^{\frac{p-q}{q}}, & q < p. \end{cases}$$

Also, by Theorem 4 \mathbf{A}_0 is the best possible constant in the inequality

$$\left(\int_0^\infty x^{-q} u(x) \left(\log \frac{x}{\zeta^{-2}(x)} \right)^q \left(\int_0^{\zeta^{-2}(x)} h \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} \leq \mathbf{A}_0^p \int_0^\infty h V, \quad h \in \mathfrak{M}^+$$

and \mathbf{A}_2 is determined from

$$\mathbf{A}_2^p := \begin{cases} \sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} \left(\int_t^\infty s^{-q} u(s) ds \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} \|\mathcal{H}_t^*\|_{L_V^1 \rightarrow L_{\frac{1}{y}}^{\frac{p}{1}}}, & p \leq q, \\ \left(\int_0^\infty x^{-q} u(x) \left(\int_x^\infty s^{-q} u(s) ds \right)^{\frac{q}{p-q}} \|\mathcal{H}_{\zeta^{-1}(x), \zeta(x)}^*\|_{L_V^1 \rightarrow L_{\frac{1}{y}}^{\frac{p}{1}}}^{\frac{q}{p-q}} dx \right)^{\frac{p-q}{q}}, & q < p. \end{cases}$$

By well known results we have

$$\mathcal{A}_0^p = \sup_{t>0} \frac{\left(\int_0^t u\right)^{\frac{p}{q}}}{t^p V(t)}, \quad p \leq q$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}_0^p \approx \left(\int_0^\infty [t^p V(t)]^{\frac{q}{q-p}} \left(\int_0^t u \right)^{\frac{q}{p-q}} u(t) dt \right)^{\frac{p-q}{q}}, \quad q < p.$$

Analogously, we find

$$\mathbf{A}_0^p = \sup_{t>0} \frac{\left(\int_{\zeta^2(t)}^\infty x^{-q} u(x) \left(\log \frac{x}{\zeta^{-2}(x)} \right)^q \right)^{\frac{p}{q}}}{V(t)}, \quad p \leq q$$

and for $q < p$

$$\mathbf{A}_0^p \approx \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\int_x^\infty s^{-q} u(s) \left(\log \frac{s}{\zeta^{-2}(s)} \right)^q ds}{V(\zeta^{-2}(x))} \right)^{\frac{q}{p-q}} x^{-q} u(x) \left(\log \frac{x}{\zeta^{-2}(x)} \right)^q dx \right)^{\frac{p-q}{q}}$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$\|\mathcal{H}_t\|_{L^1_{z^p V(z)} \rightarrow L^{\frac{1}{p}}} = [V(t)]^{-1}, \quad 0 < p \leq 1$$

and

$$\|\mathcal{H}_t\|_{L^1_{z^p V(z)} \rightarrow L^{\frac{1}{p}}} \approx \left(\int_0^t [V(x)]^{\frac{1}{1-p}} \frac{dx}{x} \right)^{p-1}, \quad p > 1,$$

so that it follows from (36) for $p \leq q$

$$\mathcal{A}_2 = \sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} \left(\int_t^\infty s^{-q} u(s) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} [V(t)]^{-\frac{1}{p}}, \quad 0 < p \leq 1,$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}_2 \approx \sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} \left(\int_t^\infty s^{-q} u(s) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_0^t [V(x)]^{\frac{1}{1-p}} \frac{dx}{x} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}}, \quad p > 1,$$

where $p' := \frac{p}{p-1}$.

By the same way,

$$\|\mathcal{H}_{\zeta^{-1}(x), \zeta(x)}\|_{L^1_{z^p V(z)} \rightarrow L^{\frac{1}{p}}} = \left[\frac{\zeta(x) - \zeta^{-1}(x)}{\zeta(x)} \right]^p \frac{1}{V(\zeta(x))}, \quad 0 < p \leq 1$$

and

$$\|\mathcal{H}_{\zeta^{-1}(x), \zeta(x)}\|_{L^1_{z^p V(z)} \rightarrow L^{\frac{1}{p}}} \approx \left(\int_{\zeta^{-1}(x)}^{\zeta(x)} [t^p V(t)]^{\frac{1}{1-p}} (t - \zeta^{-1}(x))^{\frac{1}{p-1}} dt \right)^{p-1}, \quad p > 1.$$

Hence, from (36) we see that for $q < p$

$$\mathcal{A}_2 \approx \left(\int_0^\infty x^{-q} u(x) \left(\int_x^\infty s^{-q} u(s) ds \right)^{\frac{q}{p-q}} \left[\frac{(\zeta(x) - \zeta^{-1}(x))}{\zeta(x)[V(\zeta(x))]^{\frac{1}{p}}} \right]^{\frac{pq}{p-q}} dx \right)^{\frac{p-q}{pq}},$$

if $0 < p \leq 1$ and when $p > 1$

$$\mathcal{A}_2 \approx \left(\int_0^\infty x^{-q} u(x) \left(\int_x^\infty s^{-q} u(s) ds \right)^{\frac{q}{p-q}} \left(\int_{\zeta^{-1}(x)}^{\zeta(x)} \left(\frac{t - \zeta^{-1}(x)}{t^p V(t)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} dt \right)^{\frac{q(p-1)}{p-q}} dx \right)^{\frac{p-q}{pq}}$$

Similarly,

$$\|\mathcal{H}_t^*\|_{L_V^1 \rightarrow L_{\frac{1}{y}}^{\frac{1}{p}}} = \sup_{s \in (0,t)} [V(s)]^{-1} \left(\log \frac{t}{s} \right)^p, \quad 0 < p \leq 1$$

and

$$\|\mathcal{H}_t^*\|_{L_V^1 \rightarrow L_{\frac{1}{y}}^{\frac{1}{p}}} \approx \left(\int_0^t [V(x)]^{\frac{1}{1-p}} \left(\log \frac{t}{s} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dx}{x} \right)^{p-1}, \quad p > 1.$$

Now, it follows from (37) for $p \leq q$

$$\mathbf{A}_2 = \sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} \left(\int_t^\infty s^{-q} u(s) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \sup_{s \in (0,t)} [V(s)]^{-\frac{1}{p}} \log \frac{t}{s}, \quad 0 < p \leq 1$$

and

$$\mathbf{A}_2 \approx \sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} \left(\int_t^\infty s^{-q} u(s) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_0^t [V(x)]^{\frac{1}{1-p}} \left(\log \frac{t}{s} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dx}{x} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}}, \quad p > 1.$$

We have

$$\|\mathcal{H}_{\zeta^{-1}(x), \zeta(x)}^*\|_{L_V^1 \rightarrow L_{\frac{1}{y}}^{\frac{1}{p}}} = \sup_{s \in (\zeta^{-1}(x), \zeta(x))} \frac{\left(\log \frac{\zeta(x)}{s}\right)^p}{V(s)}, \quad 0 < p \leq 1$$

and

$$\|\mathcal{H}_{\zeta^{-1}(x), \zeta(x)}^*\|_{L_V^1 \rightarrow L_{\frac{1}{y}}^{\frac{1}{p}}} \approx \left(\int_{\zeta^{-1}(x)}^{\zeta(x)} [V(t)]^{\frac{1}{1-p}} \left(\log \frac{\zeta(x)}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{p-1}, \quad p > 1.$$

Thus, from (37) we find for $q < p$

$$\mathbf{A}_2 \approx \left(\int_0^\infty x^{-q} u(x) \left(\int_x^\infty s^{-q} u(s) ds \right)^{\frac{q}{p-q}} \left[\sup_{s \in (\zeta^{-1}(x), \zeta(x))} \frac{\left(\log \frac{\zeta(x)}{s}\right)^p}{V(s)} \right]^{\frac{q}{p-q}} dx \right)^{\frac{p-q}{pq}},$$

if $0 < p \leq 1$ and when $p > 1$.

$\mathbf{A}_2 \approx$

$$\left(\int_0^\infty x^{-q} u(x) \left(\int_x^\infty s^{-q} u(s) ds \right)^{\frac{q}{p-q}} \left(\int_{\zeta^{-1}(x)}^{\zeta(x)} \left(\frac{\log \frac{\zeta(x)}{t}}{V(t)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{q(p-1)}{p-q}} dx \right)^{\frac{p-q}{pq}}.$$

Finally, we obtain the following.

Theorem 10. *Let $0 < p, q < \infty$. Then for the maximal Hardy-Littlewood operator*

$$\|M\|_{\Gamma^p(v) \rightarrow \Gamma^q(u)} \approx \mathcal{A}_0 + \mathcal{A}_2 + \mathbf{A}_0 + \mathbf{A}_2.$$

С ДНЕМ РОЖДЕНИЯ !

THANK YOU FOR ATTENTION !