

Polynomial automorphisms of \mathbb{C}^n preserving Markoff-Hurwitz polynomial

Ying Zhang
Soochow University

Joint work with H.Hu and S.P.Tan in [arXiv1501.06955v2]

2nd China-Russia Workshop on Knot Theory & Related Topics
August 21-25, 2015, Novosibirsk, Russia

Abstract

We study the **action** of the group of **polynomial automorphisms** on \mathbf{C}^n ($n \geq 3$) preserving the Markoff-Hurwitz polynomial

$$x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \cdots + x_n^2 - x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n.$$

Our main results include

1. **determination of the group**,
2. description of **domain of discontinuity** (a non-empty open subset of \mathbf{C}^n on which the group acts properly discontinuously),
3. **identities** for the orbit of a point in the domain of discontinuity.

Background: $n = 3$ Markoff equation

$$x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 - x_1x_2x_3 = 0,$$

or in number theoretic form:

$$a^2 + b^2 + c^2 - 3abc = 0,$$

with $x_1 = 3a$, $x_2 = 3b$ and $x_3 = 3c$. Integral solutions:

$$(a, b, c) = (1, 1, 1), (1, 1, 2), (1, 2, 5), (1, 5, 13), (2, 5, 29), \dots$$

Related to **Diophantine approximation** - A.A.Markoff, 1880

Related to **hyperbolic geometry** - H.Cohn, 1955, Ann. Math.

Some polyn. autom. of \mathbf{C}^n preserving the M-H polynomial

Three classes of polynomial automorphisms of \mathbf{C}^n

1. **permutations** of coordinates,
2. **even-sign-changes** of coordinates, e.g.

$$(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \mapsto (-x_1, -x_2, x_3, x_4).$$

3. **Vieta involutions**, e.g.

$$(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \mapsto (x_2x_3x_4 - x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4).$$

Note The automorphisms in classes 1 and 2 are **linear**.

Determination of the groups

Let Γ_n^* be the group of polynomial automorphisms of \mathbf{C}^n preserving the Markoff-Hurwitz polynomial $x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \cdots + x_n^2 - x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n$.

Let $\Gamma_n < \Gamma_n^*$ be gen. by the Vieta involutions. Then $\Gamma_n \triangleleft \Gamma_n^*$.

Theorem (Hu-Tan-Z)

Γ_n^* is generated by the permutations, the even-sign-changes, and the Vieta involutions. Furthermore, the index $[\Gamma_n^* : \Gamma_n]$ is finite.

Note

Case $n=3$ R.D. Horowitz, 1975, Trans.AMS

Cayley graph of Γ_n

Let $\Gamma_n = (\mathbf{Z}_2)^{*n}$ be the **group given by presentation**

$$\Gamma_n = \langle b_1, \dots, b_n \mid b_1^2 = 1, \dots, b_n^2 = 1 \rangle.$$

Let Δ be the **Cayley graph** of Γ_n . Then

- ▶ Δ is an infinite **n -valent tree**, and
- ▶ Edges are **colored** by $1, \dots, n$ (corresponding to b_1, \dots, b_n).

Explicitly, $V(\Delta) = \Gamma_n$ and $E(\Delta) = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^n E_i(\Delta)$,

$$E_i(\Delta) = \{(g, g') \in \Gamma_n \times \Gamma_n \mid g' = gb_i\}.$$

Γ_n acts on \mathbb{C}^n

Γ_n acts on \mathbb{C}^n with b_1, \dots, b_n acting as Vieta involutions:

$$b_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (x'_1, \dots, x'_n)$$

where

$$x'_j = x_j$$

for all indices $j \in [n] \setminus \{i\}$ and

$$x'_i = x_1 \cdots x_{i-1} x_{i+1} \cdots x_n - x_i.$$

Vector-valued Markoff-Hurwitz map

- $z \in \mathbf{C}^n$ induces a **vector-valued Markoff-Hurwitz map**

$$\varphi = \varphi_z : V(\Delta) \equiv \Gamma_n \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^n$$

$$\varphi(g) = g(z).$$

- $\varphi = \varphi_z$ satisfies the **edge relations**:

for each edge $e = (g, g') \in E(\Delta)$ with $g' = g b_i$

$$x_i + x'_i = x_1 \cdots x_{i-1} x_{i+1} \cdots x_n,$$

where $x = \varphi(g) \in \mathbf{C}^n$ and $x' = \varphi(g') \in \mathbf{C}^n$.

Regular (= Regularly labeled) of subtrees Δ

$T^I(v)$:= the **regular subtree** of Δ , with color set I and containing the vertex v

$\mathbf{T}^I(\Delta)$:= { regular subtrees of Δ with color set I }

$\mathbf{T}^{(k)}(\Delta)$:= { regular k -subtrees of Δ }

Decomposition $\mathbf{T}^{(k)}(\Delta) = \bigsqcup_{I \in \mathcal{P}(n;k)} \mathbf{T}^I(\Delta)$.

$\mathcal{P}(n; k)$:= the set of all k -element-subsets of $[n]$

$[n]$:= $\{1, \dots, n\}$, the set of colors

Regular k -subtrees: $k = 0, 1, 2, n - 1$

$\mathbf{T}^{(0)}(\Delta) = V(\Delta)$, the set of vertices

$\mathbf{T}^{(1)}(\Delta) = E(\Delta)$, the set of edges

$\mathbf{T}^{(2)}(\Delta) =: \mathcal{A}$, the set of **alternating geodesics**

$\mathbf{T}^{(n-1)}(\Delta) =: \mathcal{X}$, the set of **regions**

$\mathbf{T}^{[n] \setminus \{i\}}(\Delta) =: \mathcal{X}_i$, the set of **decolor i regions**

Regular k -subtrees; Vertices

Every regular k -subtree is the intersection of $n - k$ regular $(n - 1)$ -subtrees:

$$T^I(v) = \bigcap_{i \neq i_1, \dots, i_k} T^{[n] \setminus \{i\}}(v) = \bigcap_{i \neq i_1, \dots, i_k} X_i.$$

with $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_k\} \subset [n]$ and $X_i \in \mathcal{X}_i$.

A **vertex** is the intersection of n regular $(n - 1)$ -subtrees:

$$v = \bigcap_{i \in [n]} T^{[n] \setminus \{i\}}(v) = \bigcap_{i \in [n]} X_i, \quad X_i \in \mathcal{X}_i.$$

Edges of Δ

A color i edge $e \in E_i(\Delta)$ can be written as

$$e = \bigcap_{j \neq i} X_j, \quad X_j \in \mathcal{X}_j.$$

If $e = (v, v')$ where $v = X_i \cap \bigcap_{j \neq i} X_j$ and $v' = X'_i \cap \bigcap_{j \neq i} X_j$, then we write

$$\begin{aligned} e &\longleftrightarrow (\{X_1, \dots, \hat{X}_i, \dots, X_n\}; \{X_i, X'_i\}), \\ \vec{e} &\longleftrightarrow (\{X_1, \dots, \hat{X}_i, \dots, X_n\}; X_i \rightarrow X'_i) \end{aligned}$$

for the directed edge $\vec{e} = (v \rightarrow v')$.

Hurwitz map & Edge relations

A **Hurwitz map** is a function $\phi : \mathcal{X} \equiv \mathbf{T}^{(n-1)}(\Delta) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ which satisfies the **edge relations**:

If $e \leftrightarrow (\{X_1, \dots, \hat{X}_i, \dots, X_n\}; \{X_i, X'_i\})$ then

$$\phi(X_i) + \phi(X'_i) = \prod_{j \in [n] \setminus \{i\}} \phi(X_j)$$

or, writing $x_i = \phi(X_i)$ and $x'_i = \phi(X'_i)$ etc., in the form

$$x_i + x'_i = x_1 \cdots x_{i-1} x_{i+1} \cdots x_n.$$

Notation $\Phi :=$ the set of all Hurwitz maps

Hurwitz map & Vertex relations

A Hurwitz map $\phi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ also satisfies the **vertex relations**:

there exists $\mu = \mu(\phi) \in \mathbf{C}$ such that at each vertex v ,

$$x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2 - x_1 \cdots x_n = \mu,$$

where $\phi(v) = (x_1, \cdots, x_n) \in \mathbf{C}^n$.

Notation Given $\mu \in \mathbf{C}$, we write

$$\Phi_\mu := \{\phi \in \Phi \mid \mu(\phi) = \mu\}.$$

Hurwitz maps extended

A Hurwitz map $\phi : \mathcal{X} \equiv \mathbf{T}^{(n-1)}(\Delta) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ **extends** as
 $\phi : \mathbf{T}^{(k)}(\Delta) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ for $0 \leq k < n - 1$, defined by

$$\phi\left(\bigcap_{j \neq i_1, \dots, i_k} X_j\right) = \prod_{j \neq i_1, \dots, i_k} \phi(X_j).$$

In particular, with notation used above,

$$\phi(v) = x_1 \cdots x_n,$$

$$\phi(e) = \prod_{m \neq i} x_m, \quad e \in E_i(\Delta)$$

$$\phi(\gamma) = \prod_{m \neq i, j} x_m, \quad \gamma \in \mathcal{A}_{\{i, j\}}.$$

Bowditch set $\mathcal{B} \subset \Phi$

The **Bowditch set** $\mathcal{B} \subset \Phi$ consists of those Hurwitz maps

$$\phi : \mathcal{X} \equiv \mathbf{T}^{(n-1)}(\Delta) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$$

which satisfy conditions (B1) and (B2) below:

(B1) $\forall \gamma \in \mathcal{A} \equiv \mathbf{T}^{(2)}(\Delta), \phi(\gamma) \notin [-2, 2] \subset \mathbf{R}.$

(B2) $\exists K > 2$ such that $\{\gamma \in \mathcal{A}; |\phi(\gamma)| \leq K\}$ **is finite.**

Remark If (B2) holds for **some** $K > 2$ then it holds for **all** $K > 0$.

Notation $\mathcal{B}_\mu = \mathcal{B} \cap \Phi_\mu$

Bowditch set is open

We **identify** $\Phi \longleftrightarrow \mathbf{C}^n$ by

$$\phi \longleftrightarrow (\phi(Z_1), \dots, \phi(Z_n))$$

where vertex $v_0 = \bigcap_{i \in [n]} Z_i$ with $Z_i \in \mathcal{X}_i$ corresponds to $g_0 \in \Gamma_n$.

Theorem (Hu-Tan-Z) **Bowditch set** $\mathcal{B} \subset \Phi$ **is open.**

Case $n=3$

For $\mu = 0$: Bowditch, 1998, Proc.LMS

For $\mu \in \mathbf{C}$: Tan-Wong-Z, 2008, Adv.Math.

ϕ -Induced directed tree $\vec{\Delta}_\phi$

Given a Hurwitz map ϕ , we **direct** each edge $e \in E_i(\Delta)$ where $e \leftrightarrow (\{X_1, \dots, \hat{X}_i, \dots, X_n\}; \{X_i, X'_i\})$ as follows:

If $|\phi(X_i)| \neq |\phi(X'_i)|$, we direct e **decisively**
 from X_i to X'_i if $|\phi(X_i)| > |\phi(X'_i)|$;
 from X'_i to X_i if $|\phi(X'_i)| > |\phi(X_i)|$.

If $|\phi(X_i)| = |\phi(X'_i)|$, we direct e **arbitrarily**.

$\vec{\Delta}_\phi :=$ the resulting directed tree.

Aim to show: For $\phi \in \mathcal{B}$, \exists a **finite subtree** T_ϕ of Δ such that each edge not in T_ϕ is ϕ -directed towards T_ϕ decisively.

Fibonacci function $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$

We define a **function** $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ relative to a vertex $v_0 \in V(\Delta)$ recursively by induction on $d(\gamma)$, the distance of γ from v_0 .

If $d(\gamma) = 0$, that is, $v_0 \in \gamma$, we define $F(\gamma) = 1$.

If $d(\gamma) > 0$, let v^* be the unique vertex in γ which is closest to v_0 ;
 then $\gamma = T^{\{i,j\}}(v^*)$.

Let $e^* \in E_k(\Delta)$ be the unique edge incident to v^* which lies on the geodesic from v^* to v_0 . Then $k \neq i, j$.

Let $\alpha = T^{\{i,k\}}(v^*)$, $\beta = T^{\{j,k\}}(v^*)$. Then $d(\alpha), d(\beta) < d(\gamma)$.

We define

$$F(\gamma) = F(\alpha) + F(\beta).$$

Convergence via Fibonacci growth

► Convergence of a series

Proposition If $s > n - 1$ then

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{A}} (F(\gamma))^{-s} < +\infty.$$

► Fibonacci growth of $\log^+ |\phi(\cdot)| := \max\{\log |\phi(\cdot)|, 0\}$

Proposition If $\phi \in \mathcal{B}$ then $\log^+ |\phi(\cdot)| : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}$ has Fibonacci growth, that is, there exist $0 < c_1 < c_2$ such that

$$c_1 F(\gamma) \leq \log^+ |\phi(\gamma)| \leq c_2 F(\gamma)$$

except for possibly a finite number of elements $\gamma \in \mathcal{A}$.

ϕ -Attracting subtree

Given $\phi \in \Phi$, we say that a subtree T of Δ is **ϕ -attracting** if for each edge e not in T , the ϕ -directed edge on e is directed decisively towards T .

Proposition If $\phi \in \mathcal{B}$ is such that $|\phi(\gamma)| \geq K$ for some $K > 2$ and for all $\gamma \in \mathcal{A}$, then there is a unique **ϕ -attracting vertex**.

Recall that, for a general $\phi \in \mathcal{B}$, there exists $K > 0$ such that $|\phi(\gamma)| \leq K$ for only finitely many $\gamma \in \mathcal{A}$.

We **construct** a **ϕ -attracting finite subtree** T_ϕ by taking the union of non-empty, ϕ -attracting finite sub-intervals $J(\gamma)$ of $\gamma \in \mathcal{A}$ for all γ with $|\phi(\gamma)| \leq K$.

Domain of discontinuity

Let us write

$$\mathbf{B} \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{B}$$

under the identification $\mathbf{C}^n \longleftrightarrow \Phi$.

Theorem (Hu-Tan-Z) Γ_n acts on \mathbf{B} properly discontinuously.

Remark However, the boundary of \mathbf{B} should be fractal.

Convergence of infinite sums

Proposition If $\phi \in \mathcal{B}$, then for all $t > 0$, the infinite sum

$$\sum_{v \in V(\Delta)} |\phi(v)|^{-t} < +\infty.$$

Proposition If $\phi \in \mathcal{B}$, then for all $t > 0$, the infinite sum

$$\sum_{X \in \mathcal{X}} |\phi(X)|^{-t} < +\infty.$$

Identity for $\phi \in \mathcal{B}$

Theorem (Hu-Tan-Z) If $\phi \in \mathcal{B}$, the following **identity** holds:

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{A}} \left(1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{4}{\phi(\gamma)^2}} \right) - \sum_{v \in V(\Delta)} \frac{\mu}{\phi(v)} = 1,$$

where each of the two infinite sums converges absolutely.

Remark In the identity, the square root \sqrt{z} for $z \in \mathbf{C} - \{0\}$ is required to have **positive real part**.

Case $n = 3$, $\mu = 0$: McShane identity (Bowditch's version)

Identity Reformed

Theorem (Hu-Tan-Z) If $\phi \in \mathcal{B}$, the following **identity** holds:

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{A}} \left(1 - \left(1 + \frac{2\mu}{n(n-1)(\sigma(\gamma) - \mu)} \right) \sqrt{1 - \frac{4}{\phi(\gamma)^2}} \right) = 1,$$

where the infinite sum converges absolutely.

Notation For $\gamma \in \mathcal{A}^{\{i,j\}}$, we write $\sigma(\gamma) := \sum_{m \neq i,j} x_m^2$.

Ideas of proof

- ▶ ϕ -Induced weight
- ▶ A finite identity for a circular set
- ▶ Passing to the limit
- ▶ Reforming the identity

The End

Thanks!