

# A pseudoparabolic problem of Barenblatt type with constraint in stratigraphy

S.N. Antontsev\*, G. Gagneux\*\*, G. Vallet\*\*\*

\* Departamento de Matemática,  
Universidade da Beira Interior,  
Covilhã, Portugal.  
E-mail: antontsevsn@mail.ru

\*\* Lab. of Applied Mathematics  
UMR 5142, IPRA BP 1155,  
64013 Pau Cedex, France.  
gerard.gagneux@univ-pau.fr

\*\* Lab. of Applied Mathematics  
UMR 5142, IPRA BP 1155,  
64013 Pau Cedex, France.  
guy.vallet@univ-pau.fr

*The work of the first author was supported by the university of Pau during his visit in 2006*

## 1 Introduction and mathematical formulation

In this presentation, one is interested in studying a mathematical problem arising from the modelling of geological basin formation. The initial model has been developed by the Institut Français du Pétrole and it takes into account sedimentation, transport and accumulation, erosion phenomena at large scales in time and space. The main feature of these models is characterized by a constraint on the time-derivative of the solution. This constraint leads us to consider an original class of conservation laws of degenerated pseudoparabolic type, close to the equation of Barenblatt that occurs in the theory of elastic fluids in elasto-plastic porous media (*cf.* G.I. Barenblatt [5]).

Concerning physical and numerical descriptions of these models for the multilithological case, one may find information in R. Eymard *et al.* [9, 10] and V. Gervais *et al.* [15].

Concerning the monolithological case, one would find information on physical, numerical and mathematical descriptions in S. N. Antontsev *et al.* [3]-[4], J. Blum *et al.* [6], R. Eymard *et al.* [7, 8], G. Gagneux *et al.* [12, 11] and G. Vallet [16].

For a brief presentation of the model: let us consider a sedimentary basin whose base, denoted by  $\Omega$ , is a fixed bounded domain of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  ( $d = 1, 2$ ) with a Lipschitz boundary  $\Gamma$ .

### Governing equations:

The sediment height, denoted by  $u$ , naturally satisfies the mass balance equation

$$\partial_t u + \operatorname{div} \{\vec{q}\} = 0 \text{ in } ]0, T[ \times \Omega, \quad (1)$$

where the flux  $\vec{q}$  is given by the empirical relation

$$\vec{q} = -\lambda(u)\mu\nabla[u + \tau\partial_t u] \text{ } (\mu \text{ is playing here the role of a limiter defined later}), \quad (2)$$

according to a Darcy-Barenblatt’s law.

In the framework of a perfect physical equilibrium, the flux is considered to be proportional to the slope by writing that  $\vec{q} = -\lambda\mu\vec{S}$  where  $\vec{S} = \nabla u$ , *i.e.*  $\tau = 0$ . Then, one gets a degenerated hyperbolic-parabolic problem (see S. N. Antontsev *et al.* [1, 4] for example).

But, taking into account a balancing of the slope leads us to consider  $\vec{q} = -\lambda\mu\vec{S}_e$  with the first order kinetic equation  $\vec{S}_e = \vec{S} + \tau\partial_t\vec{S}$ , where  $\tau > 0$  is time-scaled, and one gets a pseudoparabolic type equation (see S. N. Antontsev *et al.* [2] and G. Gagneux *et al.* [13]).

On the one hand, the diffusive coefficient  $\lambda$  depends on the depositional environment and is modeled as a nonlinear function of  $u$ .

On the other hand, in a sedimentary basin formation process, sediments must first be produced *in situ* by weathering effects prior to be transported by surfacing erosion. Thus, R. Eymard *et al.* [14] introduce a maximum erosion rate  $E$  such that  $-\partial_t u \leq E$  in  $]0, T[ \times \Omega$ , where  $E$  is nonnegative and takes into account the composition, the structure and the age of the sediments. Then, the authors propose a sediment flux given by  $\vec{q} = -\lambda(u)\mu \nabla[u + \tau \partial_t u]$  where the dimensionless quantity  $\mu$ ,  $0 \leq \mu \leq 1$ , is playing the role of a flux limiter, with the relevant law of state in the form:

$$1 - \mu \geq 0, \partial_t u + E \geq 0, (1 - \mu)(\partial_t u + E) = 0 \text{ a.e. in } ]0, T[ \times \Omega. \quad (3)$$

To our knowledge, such a model appears as a non standard free boundary problem and does not admit a variational inequality formulation in order to describe these unilateral conditions.

Note, following S. N. Antontsev *et al.* [4], G. Gagneux *et al.* [11] and G. Vallet [16], that for natural solutions  $u$  in  $L^2(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega))$  with  $\partial_t u$  in  $L^2(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega))$ , (1, 2, 3) is equivalent to the following conservative formulation:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\in \partial_t u - \operatorname{div}\{H(\partial_t u + E)\lambda(u)\nabla[u + \tau \partial_t u]\} \text{ in } ]0, T[ \times \Omega, \\ \text{i.e.} \quad 0 &= \partial_t u - \operatorname{div}\{\mu\lambda(u)\nabla[u + \tau \partial_t u]\} \text{ where } \mu \in H(\partial_t u + E), \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

with the boundary conditions:  $u = \partial_t u = 0$  on  $]0, T[ \times \Gamma$ , the initial condition  $u(0, \cdot) = u_0$  with  $u_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  and where  $H$  denotes the maximal monotone graph of the function of Heaviside.

Moreover, the structural condition  $\mu \in H(\partial_t u + E)$  leads to the following simplified formulation,

$$0 = \partial_t u - \operatorname{div}[\mu\lambda(u)\nabla u] - \tau \operatorname{div}[\lambda(u)\nabla(\partial_t u)],$$

according to the chain rule, valid for the weak derivatives of Sobolev functions.

Existence and uniqueness results for a solution to the above differential inclusion are still open ill-posed problems. Our purpose is to analyze a modified model where  $H$  is replaced by a continuous function  $a$ , an approximation Yosida of  $H$  for example.

### Mathematical formulation:

Let us consider a Lipschitz bounded domain  $\Omega$  with boundary  $\Gamma$ . For any positive  $T$ , let us denote  $Q := ]0, T[ \times \Omega$ .

Therefore, we are interested in the following pseudoparabolic problem **(P)**:

$$\partial_t u - \operatorname{div}[\lambda(u)a(\partial_t u + E)\nabla u] - \tau \operatorname{div}[\lambda(u)\nabla \partial_t u] = 0 \quad \text{in } Q, \quad (5)$$

with the initial height given by:  $u(0, x) = u_0(x)$ ,  $x \in \Omega$ , where  $u_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  and homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions for  $u$  and  $\partial_t u$ .

In the sequel, one assumes **(H)**:

$\tau > 0$ ,  $E : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a nonnegative continuous function,  $a$  is a  $\theta$ -Hölderian continuous function (with  $\theta \geq \frac{1}{2}$ ) defined on  $\mathbb{R}$  that satisfies

$$0 \leq a \leq a_{\max}, \quad a(0) = 0, \quad \forall x > 0, \quad a(x) > 0 \text{ and } a(-x) = 0 \text{ by extension,}$$

and  $\lambda$  is a continuous function such that  $\exists \lambda_0, \lambda_{\max} \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $0 < \lambda_0 \leq \lambda \leq \lambda_{\max}$ .

Provided that existence results are proved, the definition and basic properties of a solution to the problem **(P)** are developed.

By considering weak solutions, one would consider that

**Definition 1** A solution to Problem **(P)** is any  $u$  in  $H^1(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega))$ , such that for any  $v$  in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  and for a.e.  $t$  in  $]0, T[$ ,

$$\int_{\Omega} \{\partial_t u v + \lambda(u)a(\partial_t u + E)\nabla u \cdot \nabla v + \tau \lambda(u)\nabla \partial_t u \cdot \nabla v\} dx = 0. \quad (6)$$

with the initial condition  $u|_{t=0} = u_0$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ .

## 2 Existence of a solution and smoothing properties

This section provides the following existence and regularity result, thanks to the classical theorems of N. G. Meyers and J. Nečas:

**Theorem 1** *Assume (H). Then, for any  $u_0$  in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ , a solution  $u$  to problem (P) exists in the sense of definition 1*

*If moreover,  $u_0 \in W_0^{1,p_0}(\Omega)$ , with a given  $p_0 > 2$ , then one has that  $u \in W^{1,\infty}(0, T; W_0^{1,p_0}(\Omega))$ .*

Next, let us note that this regularity can be obtained for a larger class of values of  $p$ .

In order to, consider the function  $v = \partial_t u$  satisfying

$$v - \tau \operatorname{div}[\lambda(u) \nabla v] = F = \operatorname{div}[\vec{G}] \quad \text{in } Q,$$

with

$$\vec{G} = \lambda(u) a(\partial_t u + E) \left( \nabla \int_0^t v ds + \nabla u_0 \right).$$

It follows from the regularity  $u \in W^{1,\infty}(0, T; W_0^{1,p_0}(\Omega))$  the estimates

$$|u(x, t) - u(y, t)| \leq C|x - y|^\alpha, \quad 0 < \alpha \leq \frac{p_0 - 2}{p_0} < 1, \quad d = 1, 2, \quad p_0 > 2,$$

$$|\lambda(x, t) - \lambda(y, t)| = |\lambda(u(x, t)) - \lambda(u(y, t))| \leq C|x - y|^\alpha, \quad 0 < \alpha \leq \frac{p_0 - 2}{p_0} < 1. \quad (7)$$

Next we use the following standard way. We introduce

$$v_k = v(x, t) \chi_k(x), \quad k = 1, \dots, n, \quad \sum_{k=1}^n \chi_k(x) = 1,$$

$$\chi_k \in C^2(B_{k,\rho}), \quad B_{k,\rho} = \{x : |x - x_k| < \rho\}, \quad \chi_k|_{\partial B_{k,\rho}} = 0, \quad \text{and } 1 \leq \chi_k(x), \text{ if } x \in B_{k,\frac{\rho}{2}}$$

The function  $v_k$  satisfies

$$v_k - \tau \operatorname{div}[\lambda(u) \nabla v_k] = F^* := \operatorname{div}[\lambda(u) a(\partial_t u + E) \left( \int_0^t \nabla v_k ds \right)] + g^*, \quad \text{in } B_{k,\rho}.$$

Applying (7) and assuming  $\rho \leq \rho_0$  (small enough), we obtain the estimate

$$\|v_k\|_{W_0^{1,p}} \leq C(p) \left[ a_{\max} \lambda_{\max} \left\| \int_0^t \nabla v_k ds \right\|_{L^p} + \|g^*\|_{L^q} \right], \quad q = \frac{pd}{pd - p + d}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n,$$

for any finite  $p > 2$ . Last estimate and Gronwall's inequality lead us to the estimate

$$\|v\|_{W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)} \leq C,$$

for any finite  $p > 2$ .

### 3 Uniqueness of the solution

Then, we are interested in the uniqueness of such a solution, for  $\tau$  large enough or for  $u_0$  small enough (in the sense of  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ ). Indeed, for a given topography  $u_0$ , there is a critical value  $\tau^*$  for  $\tau$  above which the solution is unique.

In the sequel, one would consider hypothesis **(H')**:  
with (H), Lipschitz-continuous assumption for the state functions  $a$  and  $\lambda$  would be considered. Let us denote by  $\text{lip}_a = \|a'\|_\infty$  and  $\text{lip}_\lambda = \|\lambda'\|_\infty$  respectively.

The aim of this section is to claim that the following properties hold:

**Proposition 1** *Assume (H') and that the solution  $u$  of the previous section satisfies :*

*$\tau > 2 \frac{\lambda_\infty}{\lambda_0} \text{lip}_a \|u\|_{W^{1,\infty}(0,T;W^{1,p}(\Omega))}$ ,*

*then the solution to the problem (P) is unique.*

*Moreover, the application  $u_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega) \mapsto \partial_t u \in L^\infty(0,T;H_0^1(\Omega))$  is a locally Lipschitz-continuous function.*

**Remark:**

Since a positive constant  $C = C(\|u_0\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)})$  exists such that  $\|u\|_{W^{1,\infty}(0,T;W^{1,p}(\Omega))} \leq C$ , it comes that a nonnegative real  $\tau^* = \tau^*(\|u_0\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)})$  exists such that, for any  $\tau > \tau^*$ , a unique solution  $u$  to problem (P) exists in the sense of definition 1.

It should be mentioned that the well-posedness in case  $\tau = 0$  is a challenging problem (see G. Vallet [16] for  $d = 1$ ).

Another challenging problem is to find other function spaces for the well-posedness without smallness condition on the initial data, for any positive  $\tau$  or to establish the uniqueness criteria that are as general as possible.

### References

- [1] S N Antontsev, G Gagneux, R Luce, and G Vallet. A nonstandard free boundary problem arising from stratigraphy. *Anal. Appl., Singap.*, 4(3):209–236, 2006.
- [2] S N Antontsev, G Gagneux, R Luce, and G Vallet. On a pseudoparabolic problem with constraint. *Differential and Integral Equations*, 19(12):1391–1412, 2006.
- [3] S N Antontsev, G Gagneux, and G Vallet. Analyse mathématique d'un modèle d'asservissement stratigraphique. Approche gravitationnelle d'un processus de sédimentation sous contrainte d'érosion maximale. Technical Report 01-23, Laboratoire de Mathématiques Appliquées de l'Université de Pau, <http://lma.univ-pau.fr/>, 2001.
- [4] S N Antontsev, G Gagneux, and G Vallet. On some stratigraphic control problems. *Prikladnaya Mekhanika Tekhnicheskaja Fizika*, 44(6):85–94, 2003.
- [5] G I Barenblatt. *Similarity, self-similarity, and intermediate asymptotics*. New York, London: Consultants Bureau. XVII, 218 p., 1979.
- [6] J Blum, G Dobranszky, R Eymard, and R Masson. Identification of a stratigraphic model with seismic constraints. *Inverse Probl.*, 22(4):1207–1225, 2006.
- [7] R Eymard and Th Gallouët. Analytical and numerical study of a model of erosion and sedimentation. *Siam J. Numer. Anal.*, 43(6):2344–2370, 2006.
- [8] R Eymard and Th Gallouët. A partial differential inequality in geological models. *Chin. Ann. of Math.*, to appear.

- [9] R Eymard, Th Gallouët, V Gervais, and R Masson. Convergence of a numerical scheme for stratigraphic modeling. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 43(2):474–501, 2005.
- [10] R Eymard, Th Gallouët, D Granjeon, R Masson, and Tran Q H. Multi-lithology stratigraphic model under maximum erosion rate constraint. *Internat. J. Numer. Methods Eng.*, 60(2):527–548, 2004.
- [11] G Gagneux, R Luce, and G Vallet. A non standard free-boundary problem arising from stratigraphy. Technical Report 04-33, Laboratoire de Mathématiques Appliquées de l’Université de Pau, <http://lma.univ-pau.fr/>, 2004.
- [12] G Gagneux and G Vallet. Sur des problèmes d’asservissements stratigraphiques. *ESAIM. Control, optimisation and calculus of variations ” A tribute to Jacques-Louis Lions”*, 8:715–739, 2002.
- [13] G Gagneux and G Vallet. A result of existence for an original convection-diffusion equation. *RACSAM, Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat., Ser. A Mat.*, 99(1):125–131, 2005.
- [14] G Gallouët. Equations satisfaites par des limites de solutions approchées. In *34ème congrès d’analyse numérique Canum (2002)*, pages 87–96, Anglet, 2002.
- [15] V Gervais and V Masson. Mathematical and numerical analysis of a stratigraphic model. *ESAIM. M2AN.*, 38(4):585–611, 2004.
- [16] G Vallet. Sur une loi de conservation issue de la géologie. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Sér. I*, 337:559–564, 2003.