

## URYSOHN'S CONDITION AND CAUCHY SEQUENCES

C.F e r e n s and J.M i k u s i ń s k i

(Katowice)

**A b s t r a c t.** In Abelian groups, endowed with convergence, three types of Cauchy sequences are considered in connection with Urysohn's condition. An application to the intrinsic topology in  $l_q$  is shown.

1. Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a set endowed with a convergence such that

F. If  $x_n \rightarrow x$ , then also  $x_{p_n} \rightarrow x$  for each increasing  $p_n$ .

S. If  $x_n = x$  for  $n=1, 2, \dots$ , then  $x_n \rightarrow x$ .

H. If  $x_n \rightarrow x$  and  $x_n \rightarrow y$ , then  $x=y$ .

By the Urysohn condition we mean

U. If from each subsequence  $y_n$  of  $x_n$  one can select a subsequence  $z_n \rightarrow x$ , then  $x_n \rightarrow x$ .

If  $\mathcal{X}$  does not satisfy U, then we can complete the set of convergent sequences, by considering as convergent to  $x$  each sequence  $x_n$  whose each subsequence contains a subsequence  $z_n \rightarrow x$ . The set  $\mathcal{X}$  with so generalized convergence will be denoted by  $\mathcal{X}^*$ . The spaces  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{X}^*$  have the same elements, but  $\mathcal{X}^*$  may happen to have more convergent sequences.

2. Assume now that  $\mathcal{X}$  is an Abelian group satisfying:

L. If  $x_n \rightarrow x$  and  $y_n \rightarrow y$ , then  $x_n - y_n \rightarrow x - y$ .

We shall consider three types of Cauchy sequences  $x_n$ :

P. If  $p_n \uparrow$ , then  $x_{p_n} - x_{p_{n+1}} \rightarrow 0$ .

Q. If  $p_n \uparrow$  and  $q_n \uparrow$ , then  $x_{p_n} - x_{q_n} \rightarrow 0$ .

R. If  $p_n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $q_n \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $x_{p_n} - x_{q_n} \rightarrow 0$ .

We say that  $\mathcal{X}$  is P-complete, if each P-Cauchy sequence converges to some element in  $\mathcal{X}$ . Similarly, we define Q-complete-

ness and R-completeness. (In case of normed groups all the three completenesses are equivalent.)

If  $x_n$  is R-Cauchy, then it is Q-Cauchy. If  $x_n$  is Q-Cauchy, then it is P-Cauchy. Hence: If  $\mathcal{X}$  is P-complete, then it is Q-complete. If  $\mathcal{X}$  is Q-complete, then it is R-complete.

(i) If  $\mathcal{X}^*$  is Q-complete, then  $\mathcal{X}$  is Q-complete.

**P r o o f.** Assume that  $x_n$  is Q-Cauchy in  $\mathcal{X}$ . Then it is Q-Cauchy in  $\mathcal{X}^*$ . Since  $\mathcal{X}^*$  is complete,  $x_n$  converges in  $\mathcal{X}^*$  to some element  $x$ . This implies that there exists a subsequence  $x_{q_n}$  converging in  $\mathcal{X}$  to  $x$ . Now  $x_n - x_{q_n} \rightarrow 0$ , because  $x_n$  is Q-Cauchy in  $\mathcal{X}$ . Consequently,  $x_n \rightarrow x$  in  $\mathcal{X}$ .

(ii) If  $\mathcal{X}^*$  is R-complete, then  $\mathcal{X}$  is R-complete.

**P r o o f.** The same (one only has to replace Q by R).

(iii) If  $\mathcal{X}^* = \mathcal{X}$ , then each Q-Cauchy sequence is R-Cauchy, and conversely.

**P r o o f.** If  $p_n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $q_n \rightarrow \infty$ , then from each subsequence  $y_n$  of  $x_{p_n} - x_{q_n}$  we can select  $z_n = x_{p'_n} - x_{q'_n}$  such that  $p'_n \uparrow$  and  $q'_n \uparrow$ . Since  $x_n$  is Q-Cauchy, we have  $z_n \rightarrow 0$ , i.e.,  $x_{p'_n} - x_{q'_n} \rightarrow 0$ . Hence  $x_{p_n} - x_{q_n} \rightarrow 0$ , because  $\mathcal{X}^* = \mathcal{X}$ . Hence  $x_n$  is R-Cauchy. The converse inclusion is obvious.

(iv) If  $\mathcal{X}^* = \mathcal{X}$ , then the properties P-completeness, Q-completeness and R-completeness are equivalent.

**P r o o f.** The equivalence of P-completeness and Q-completeness has been proved in [2]. The equivalence of Q-completeness and R-completeness follows from (iii).

3. Let  $q \geq 1$  and let  $l_q$  be the space of all sequences  $x = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots)$  of real numbers such that  $|\xi_1|^q + |\xi_2|^q + \dots < \infty$ . By the norm in  $l_q$  we mean as usually  $\|x\| = (|\xi_1|^q + |\xi_2|^q + \dots)^{1/q}$ . This norm induces in  $l_q$  a convergence such that  $l_q$  is Q-complete (also P-complete and R-complete). Besides, we consider the space  $\hat{l}_q$  which has the same elements as  $l_q$ , but the convergence is defined intrinsically as follows. We say that a sequence of vectors  $x_n \in \hat{l}_q$  converges to  $x$ , if it converges coordinatewise to  $x$  and is bounded by some vector from  $\hat{l}_q$ .

It is easy to see that  $\hat{l}_q$  satisfies F, S, H, L. However, it does not satisfy U. In fact, the sequence  $x_n = n^{-1/q} e_n$  does not converge to 0, but from each its subsequence one can select a subsequence which does.

(v)  $\hat{l}_q^* = l_q$ .

P r o o f. If  $\|x_n - x\| \rightarrow 0$ , then from each subsequence  $x_{p_n}$  one can select a subsequence  $x_{q_n}$  such that  $\|x_{q_n} - x\| \leq \varepsilon_n$ ,  $\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \dots < \infty$ . Then

$$\|x_{q_n}\| \leq \|x\| + \|x_{q_1} - x\| + \|x_{q_2} - x\| + \dots \in l_q.$$

Since  $x_{q_n}$  converges coordinatewise to  $x$ , we have  $x_{q_n} \rightarrow x$  in  $\hat{l}_q^*$ . Hence  $\hat{l}_q^* \supset l_q$ . We know that  $\hat{l}_q^* = l_q$ , because  $l_q$  is Banach. Since  $\hat{l}_q \subset l_q$ , it follows that  $\hat{l}_q \subset \hat{l}_q^*$ . Together with the preceding inclusion this implies (v).

(vi) The space  $\hat{l}_q$  is Q-complete.

P r o o f. The space  $l_q$  is Q-complete, because each Banach space so is. Thus  $\hat{l}_q^*$  is Q-complete, by (v). Hence  $\hat{l}_q$  is Q-complete, by (i).

4. The space  $\hat{l}_q$  may be considered as a particular interpretation of a  $\sigma$ -complete vector lattice (see e.g. [1]). We write  $0\text{-}\lim x_n = x$ , iff  $|x_n - x| \leq w_n$ , where  $w_n$  is a sequence of positive vectors tending monotonically to 0. We have generally

(vii)  $0\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$  exists, iff  $0\text{-}\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} (x_n - x_m) = 0$ .

In our notation, this theorem can be reformulated as

(vii bis) The space  $\hat{l}_q$  is R-complete.

We see that our assertion (vi) is sharper than that deduced from the general theory of vector lattices.

The question remains open, whether  $\hat{l}_q$  is P-complete.

## R e f e r e n c e s

1. Kôsaçu Yosida, Functional Analysis, Springer 1966, p.364-368.
2. Piotr Mikusiński, Cauchy sequences and Abelian groups, to appear in Bull. Pol. Ac. Sci.