

Convergence-Preserving Maps and Fixed-Point Theorems

A. E. Gutman^{1*} and A. V. Koptev^{2**}

¹*Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Russian Academy of Sciences, Novosibirsk, Russia
 Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk, Russia*

²*Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Russian Academy of Sciences, Novosibirsk, Russia*

Received November 12, 2013

DOI: 10.1134/S0001434614050150

Keywords: *sequential convergence, (pre)topological convergence, single-valued convergence, sequential topological space, convergence-preserving map, (sub)sequentially convergent map, fixed-point theorem.*

By a *convergence* (to be more precise, by a *sequential convergence*) on a set X we mean a correspondence between sequences and elements of X , i.e., any subset of $\mathcal{S}_X \times X$, where $\mathcal{S}_X := X^{\mathbb{N}}$. A convergence on X is *(pre)topological* if it coincides with convergence in some (pre)topology on X . It is easy to see that if $\tau: X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(X)) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ and $(\forall x \in X)(\forall U \in \tau(x))(x \in U)$, then the convergence

$$\alpha \rightarrow x \iff (\forall U \in \tau(x))(\exists \bar{n} \in \mathbb{N})(\forall n \geq \bar{n})(\alpha(n) \in U)$$

is pretopological. In particular, this class contains all convergences determined by metrics, partial metrics [1], generalized metrics [2], cone metrics [3], and tvs-metrics [4].

We denote the constant sequence $\mathbb{N} \times \{x\}$ by x^\wedge . Given $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{S}_X$, by $\text{mix}(\alpha, \beta)$ we denote the sequence $\gamma \in \mathcal{S}_X$ such that $\gamma(2n-1) = \alpha(n)$ and $\gamma(2n) = \beta(n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If β is a subsequence of α , then we write $\beta \preceq \alpha$. For any convergence \rightarrow on X , we define a convergence $\xrightarrow{*}$ on X by setting

$$\alpha \xrightarrow{*} x \iff (\forall \beta \preceq \alpha)(\exists \gamma \preceq \beta)(\gamma \rightarrow x).$$

A convergence is said to be *single-valued* if

$$(\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{S}_X)(\forall x, y \in X)(\alpha \rightarrow x \ \& \ \alpha \rightarrow y \Rightarrow x = y).$$

Consider the following properties of a convergence \rightarrow on a set X :

- (0) $(\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{S}_X)(\forall x \in X)((\forall n \in \mathbb{N})(\alpha(n)^\wedge \rightarrow x) \Rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow x)$;
- (1) $(\forall x \in X)(x^\wedge \rightarrow x)$;
- (2) $(\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{S}_X)(\forall x \in X)(\alpha \rightarrow x \Rightarrow (\forall \beta \preceq \alpha) \beta \rightarrow x)$;
- (3) $(\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{S}_X)(\forall x \in X)(\alpha \xrightarrow{*} x \Rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow x)$.

Theorem 1 ([5]). (a) *A convergence is pretopological if and only if it satisfies conditions (0)–(3).*

(b) *The following three properties of a single-valued convergence are pairwise equivalent: the convergence is pretopological; the convergence is topological; the convergence satisfies conditions (1)–(3).*

(c) *Any topological convergence on X coincides with convergence in some sequential topology on X .*

*E-mail: gutman@math.nsc.ru

**E-mail: koptev@math.nsc.ru

Recall that a topological space X is said to be *sequential* if

$$(\forall Y \subset X)(\text{cl}_\sigma Y = Y \Rightarrow \text{cl} Y = Y),$$

and it is called a *Fréchet space* if

$$(\forall Y \subset X)(\text{cl}_\sigma Y = \text{cl} Y),$$

where $\text{cl} Y$ denotes the closure of Y and $\text{cl}_\sigma Y := \{x \in X : (\exists \alpha \in \mathcal{S}_Y)(\alpha \rightarrow x)\}$ denotes the sequential closure of Y . Since a sequential topology with given convergence is unique, assertion (c) says that the notions of topological convergence and sequential topology are equivalent.

Throughout the paper, X and Y are sequential topological spaces.

We set $\mathcal{C}_X = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}_X : (\exists x \in X)(\alpha \rightarrow x)\}$. We refer to a space with single-valued convergence as a *single-valued* space. If X is a single-valued space and $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_X$, then, for the (unique) limit of the sequence α , we use the traditional notation $\lim \alpha$. Single-valuedness occupies an intermediate position between the classical separation axioms T_1 and T_2 ; the T_1 axiom is equivalent to the uniqueness of a limit for constant sequences:

$$(\forall x, y \in X)(x^\wedge \rightarrow y \Rightarrow x = y).$$

Let $D \subset X$, and let $f: D \rightarrow Y$. We say that a function f is *convergence-preserving* if

$$(\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{S}_D)(\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_X \Rightarrow f \circ \alpha \in \mathcal{C}_Y).$$

If the space D is sequential, then, as is known, the continuity of f is equivalent to the condition

$$(\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{S}_D)(\forall x \in D)(\alpha \rightarrow x \Rightarrow f \circ \alpha \rightarrow f(x)).$$

Lemma 1. *The following equivalence holds:*

$$(\forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{S}_X)(\forall x \in X)(\text{mix}(\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow x \Leftrightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow x \ \& \ \beta \rightarrow x)).$$

In particular, if X is single-valued, then $\text{mix}(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{C}_X$ implies $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{C}_X$ and $\lim \alpha = \lim \beta$.

Proof. If $\alpha \rightarrow x$ and $\beta \rightarrow x$, then

$$(\forall \gamma \preceq \text{mix}(\alpha, \beta))(\exists \delta \preceq \gamma)(\delta \preceq \alpha \vee \delta \preceq \beta),$$

whence $\text{mix}(\alpha, \beta) \overset{*}{\rightarrow} x$ and, therefore, $\text{mix}(\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow x$. The reverse implication follows from the relations $\alpha \preceq \text{mix}(\alpha, \beta)$ and $\beta \preceq \text{mix}(\alpha, \beta)$. □

Lemma 2. *Let Y be a T_1 space. Then a function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is convergence-preserving if and only if it is continuous.*

Proof. Let us clarify the *only if* part. Suppose that $\alpha \rightarrow x$. Then $\text{mix}(\alpha, x^\wedge) \rightarrow x$, whence

$$\text{mix}(f \circ \alpha, f(x)^\wedge) = f \circ \text{mix}(\alpha, x^\wedge) \rightarrow y, \quad \text{where } y \in Y;$$

therefore, $f \circ \alpha \rightarrow y$ and $f(x)^\wedge \rightarrow y$. Since Y is T_1 , it follows that $f(x)^\wedge \rightarrow y$ implies $f(x) = y$. Hence $f \circ \alpha \rightarrow f(x)$. □

Note that T_1 separability in Lemma 2 cannot be relaxed to T_0 . Indeed, suppose that $X, \alpha \in \mathcal{S}_X$, and $x \in X$ are such that $\alpha \rightarrow x \notin \text{im } \alpha$. Let Y be the set $\{0, 1\}$ with the open topology $\{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{0, 1\}\}$. Then the function $f: X \rightarrow Y$, where $f \equiv 0$ on $X \setminus \{x\}$ and $f(x) = 1$, is convergence-preserving and discontinuous.

Theorem 2. *Suppose that X is a Fréchet space, Y is a regular single-valued sequential space, and $D \subset X$. Then a function $f: D \rightarrow Y$ is convergence-preserving if and only if f can be extended to a continuous function $\bar{f}: \text{cl} D \rightarrow Y$.*

Proof. *Sufficiency* is obvious. Let us prove *necessity*. Suppose that f preserves convergence. If $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{S}_D$ have a common limit, then $\text{mix}(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{C}_X$, whence

$$\text{mix}(f \circ \alpha, f \circ \beta) = f \circ \text{mix}(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{C}_Y$$

and, therefore, $\lim(f \circ \alpha) = \lim(f \circ \beta)$. Hence there exists a function $\bar{f}: \text{cl } D \rightarrow Y$ such that $\lim(f \circ \alpha) = \bar{f}(x)$ for all $x \in \text{cl } D$, $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}_D$, and $\alpha \rightarrow x$. Let us prove the continuity of \bar{f} . Arguing by contradiction, suppose that $\beta \in \mathcal{S}_{\text{cl } D}$ and $\beta \rightarrow x \in \text{cl } D$ but $\bar{f} \circ \beta \not\rightarrow \bar{f}(x)$. Passing to a subsequence, we can assume that $\bar{f}(x) \notin \text{cl } \text{im}(\bar{f} \circ \beta)$. The regularity of Y implies the existence of disjoint open sets $U, V \subset Y$ for which $\bar{f}(x) \in U$ and $\text{im}(\bar{f} \circ \beta) \subset V$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, choose $\alpha_n \in \mathcal{S}_D$ so that $\alpha_n \rightarrow \beta(n)$. Taking into account the inclusion $\lim(f \circ \alpha_n) = \bar{f}(\beta(n)) \in V$, we can assume that $\text{im}(f \circ \alpha_n) \subset V$. Therefore, $f[A] \subset V$, where $A = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{im } \alpha_n$. The inclusion $\text{im } \beta \subset \text{cl } A$ implies $x \in \text{cl } A$. Since X is a Fréchet space, it follows that $\alpha \rightarrow x$ for some $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}_A \subset \mathcal{S}_D$. Thus, $\lim(f \circ \alpha) = \bar{f}(x) \in U$, while $\text{im}(f \circ \alpha) \subset f[A] \subset V$. \square

The following examples show that the assumptions of Theorem 2 cannot be relaxed by requiring X to be only sequential (even in the case $Y = \{0, 1\}$) or by replacing the regularity requirement on Y by the Hausdorffness requirement (even in the case where X is a metric space).

Example 1. Consider the pretopology on \mathbb{R}^2 in which the “cross-shaped” sets

$$([s - \varepsilon, s + \varepsilon] \times \{t\}) \cup (\{s\} \times [t - \varepsilon, t + \varepsilon]), \quad \varepsilon > 0,$$

form a neighborhood base at each point (s, t) . Since the convergence in this pretopology is single-valued, it follows by Theorem 1 that it coincides with convergence in a suitable sequential topology τ on \mathbb{R}^2 . Let $X = (\mathbb{R}^2, \tau)$. We set

$$D_0 = \{(s, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : s < 0\}, \quad D_1 = \{(s, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : 0 < t < s\}, \quad D = D_0 \cup D_1 \subset X.$$

The function $f: D \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ identically equal to 0 on D_0 and to 1 on D_1 is convergence-preserving but does not admit a continuous extension to $\text{cl } D$. (Note that D , which is an open subset of X , is a sequential space, and $\text{cl } D = \text{cl}_\sigma D$.)

Example 2. Let X be the classical metric space \mathbb{R}^2 , and let $D = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus (\mathbb{R} \times \{0\})$. Consider the topological space Y with underlying set \mathbb{R}^2 in which a neighborhood base at each point $y \in D$ is formed by the ordinary open disks $B(y, \varepsilon)$, $\varepsilon > 0$, and a neighborhood base at each point $y \in \mathbb{R} \times \{0\}$ consists of all sets of the form $B(y, \varepsilon) \setminus (\mathbb{R} \times \{0\}) \cup \{y\}$, $\varepsilon > 0$. The identity embedding $f: D \rightarrow Y$ is convergence-preserving, but it does not admit a continuous extension to $\text{cl } D = X$. (Note that Y is a first countable Hausdorff space. In particular, Y is a Fréchet space.)

The following two notions were introduced in [6] (for the case of metric spaces). A function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is *sequentially convergent* if

$$(\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{S}_X)(f \circ \alpha \in \mathcal{C}_Y \Rightarrow \alpha \in \mathcal{C}_X).$$

A function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is *subsequentially convergent* if

$$(\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{S}_X)(f \circ \alpha \in \mathcal{C}_Y \Rightarrow (\exists \beta \preceq \alpha)(\beta \in \mathcal{C}_X)).$$

Lemma 3. *If X is a T_1 space and a function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ sequentially convergent, then f is injective and the inverse function $f^{-1}: \text{im } f \rightarrow X$ is continuous.*

Proof. If $x_1, x_2 \in X$ and $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$, then $f \circ \text{mix}(x_1^\wedge, x_2^\wedge) = f(x_1)^\wedge \in \mathcal{C}_Y$, whence we have $\text{mix}(x_1^\wedge, x_2^\wedge) \in \mathcal{C}_X$ and, therefore, $x_1 = x_2$. The continuity of f^{-1} follows by Lemma 2. \square

Corollary 1. *Let X be a regular single-valued sequential space, and let Y be a Fréchet space. Then a function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is sequentially convergent if and only if f is injective and the inverse function $f^{-1}: \text{im } f \rightarrow X$ can be extended to a continuous function $\bar{f}^{-1}: \text{clim } f \rightarrow X$. In particular, if the image of f is closed, then the sequential convergence of f is equivalent to the existence and continuity of f^{-1} .*

Theorem 3. *Let X be a T_1 space, and let Y be a single-valued sequential space. Then the following properties of a function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ are pairwise equivalent:*

- (a) f is continuous and sequentially convergent;
- (b) f is continuous, injective, and subsequentially convergent;
- (c) f is a homeomorphism of X onto a closed subspace $\text{im } f \subset Y$.

Proof. The implication (c) \Rightarrow (b) is obvious.

Let us prove (b) \Rightarrow (a). Suppose that $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}_X$ and $f \circ \alpha \rightarrow y \in Y$. Let us show that $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_X$. The subsequential convergence of f implies the existence of a $\beta_0 \preceq \alpha$ and an $x \in X$ for which $\beta_0 \rightarrow x$. Since $f \circ \beta_0 \preceq f \circ \alpha \rightarrow y$, it follows that $f \circ \beta_0 \rightarrow y$; hence $y = f(x)$, because f is continuous and Y is single-valued. In order to prove the relation $\alpha \xrightarrow{*} x$, we must show that $(\exists \gamma \preceq \alpha)(\gamma \rightarrow x)$ for $\beta \preceq \alpha$. Note that $f \circ \beta \rightarrow y$, because $f \circ \beta \preceq f \circ \alpha \rightarrow y$. Again applying the subsequential convergence of f , consider $\gamma \preceq \beta$ and $x' \in X$ for which $\gamma \rightarrow x'$. The continuity of f implies $f \circ \gamma \rightarrow f(x')$. On the other hand, $f \circ \gamma \preceq f \circ \beta \rightarrow y$ implies $f \circ \gamma \rightarrow y = f(x)$. Thanks to the single-valuedness of Y , we have $f(x') = f(x)$, whence $x' = x$ (by virtue of the injectivity of f) and, therefore, $\gamma \rightarrow x$.

We proceed to (a) \Rightarrow (c). Since X is T_1 , due to Lemma 3, it suffices to prove that $\text{im } f$ is closed. Suppose that $\beta \in \mathcal{S}_{\text{im } f}$ and $\beta \rightarrow y \in Y$. We set $\alpha = f^{-1} \circ \beta$. Since $f \circ \alpha = \beta \in \mathcal{C}_Y$, it follows from the sequential convergence of f that $\alpha \rightarrow x \in X$; hence $\beta = f \circ \alpha \rightarrow f(x)$, because f is continuous. The single-valuedness of Y implies $y = f(x)$ and, therefore, $y \in \text{im } f$. □

Note that the separation assumptions in Theorem 3 are essential. Indeed, consider $X = \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$ with the topology $\{\emptyset, \{0\}, \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}\}$ and $Y = \mathbb{N}$ with the topology $\{\emptyset, \mathbb{N}\}$. The space Y is a closed subspace of X , and the function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ defined by $f(x) = x + 1$ is a sequentially convergent continuous bijection, while the inverse function $f^{-1}: Y \rightarrow X$ is discontinuous at the point 1.

The facts mentioned above make it possible to give a simple proof of some theorems on T -contractions and similar results. As an example, consider the following theorem proved in [6].

Theorem 4 ([6]). *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space. Suppose that a function $T: X \rightarrow X$ is continuous, injective, and subsequentially convergent and a continuous map $S: X \rightarrow X$ is a T -contraction, i.e., satisfies the condition*

$$(\exists C \in]0, 1[)(\forall x, y \in X) d(TSx, TSy) \leq C d(Tx, Ty).$$

Then S has a unique fixed point. If, in addition, T is sequentially convergent, then, for any point $x_0 \in X$, the sequence of iterations $S^n x_0$ converges to the fixed point of S .

Proof. According to Theorem 3, the function T is a homeomorphism of X to a closed (and, therefore, complete) subspace $\text{im } T \subset X$. Hence the function $d_T: X^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $d_T(x, y) = d(Tx, Ty)$ is a metric on X , with respect to which the map S is a contraction; moreover, the space (X, d_T) is complete, and convergence in d_T coincides with convergence in d . To complete the proof of Theorem 4, it remains to refer to Banach's contraction principle. (Note also that the continuity requirement on S in the statement of Theorem 4, as well as the additional assumption of the sequential convergence of T , can be dispensed with.) □

Similar considerations apply to the main results of [7]–[33], each of which is a generalization of some known fact obtained by replacing a distance $d(x, y)$ by $d(Tx, Ty)$, where T is a (sub)sequentially convergent injection.

REFERENCES

1. S. G. Matthews, in *Ann. New York Acad. Sci.*, Vol. 728: *Papers on General Topology and Applications* (New York Acad. Sci., New York, 1994), pp. 183–197.
2. A. Branciari, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* **57** (1-2), 31 (2000).
3. L.-G. Huang and X. Zhang, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **332** (2), 1468 (2007).
4. Z. Kadelburg, S. Radenović, and V. Rakočević, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, Article ID 170253 (2010).
5. V. Koutnik, in *Math. Res.*, Vol. 24: *Convergence Structures 1984* (Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, 1985), pp. 199–204.
6. A. Beiranvand, S. Moradi, M. Omid, and H. Pazandeh, *Two Fixed-Point Theorems for Special Mappings*, [arXiv:math. FA/0903.1504v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0903.1504v1) (2009).
7. J. R. Morales and E. Rojas, *Notas Mat.* **4** (2), 66 (2008).
8. J. R. Morales Medina and E. M. Rojas, *Notas Mat.* **5** (1), 64 (2009).
9. S. Moradi and A. Beiranvand, *A Fixed-Point Theorem for Mapping Satisfying a General Contractive Condition of Integral Type Depended an Another Function*, [arXiv:math. FA/0903.1569v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0903.1569v1) (2009).
10. S. Moradi, *Fixed-Point Theorem For Mappings Satisfying a General Contractive Condition Of Integral Type Depended an Another Function*, [arXiv:math. FA/0903.1574v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0903.1574v1) (2009).
11. S. Moradi, *Kannan Fixed-Point Theorem On Complete Metric Spaces And On Generalized Metric Spaces Depended an Another Function*, [arXiv:math. FA/0903.1577v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0903.1577v1) (2009).
12. J. R. Morales and E. Rojas, *Fixed-Point Theorems for a Class of Mappings Depending of Another Function and Defined on Cone Metric Spaces*, [arXiv:math. FA/0906.2160v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0906.2160v1) (2009).
13. J. R. Morales and E. Rojas, *T-Zamfirescu and T-Weak Contraction Mappings on Cone Metric Spaces*, [arXiv:math. FA/0909.1255v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0909.1255v1) (2009).
14. J. R. Morales and E. Rojas, *On the Existence of Fixed Points of Contraction Mappings Depending of Two Functions on Cone Metric Spaces*, [arXiv:math. FA/0910.4921v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0910.4921v1) (2009).
15. J. R. Morales and E. Rojas, *Int. J. Math. Anal. (Ruse)* **4** (4), 175 (2010).
16. R. Sumitra, V. Rhymend Uthariaraj, and R. Hemavathy, *Int. Math. Forum* **5** (30), 1495 (2010).
17. S. Moradi and M. Omid, *Int. J. Math. Anal. (Ruse)* **4** (30), 1491 (2010).
18. S. Bhatt, A. Singh, and R. C. Dimri, *Int. J. Math. Archive* **2** (4), 444 (2011).
19. K. P. R. Sastry, Ch. Srinivasarao, K. Sujatha, G. Praveena, and Ch. Srinivasarao, *Int. J. Comp. Sci. Math.* **3** (2), 133 (2011).
20. S. Moradi and D. Alimohammadi, *Int. J. Math. Anal. (Ruse)* **5** (47), 2313 (2011).
21. M. Sharma, R. Shrivastava, and Z. K. Ansari, *J. Contemp. Appl. Math.* **1** (1), 103 (2011).
22. R. Shrivastava, Z. K. Ansari, and M. Sharma, *Int. J. Phys. Math. Sci.* **2** (1), 83 (2011).
23. M. Öztürk and M. Başarır, *Int. J. Math. Anal.* **5** (3), 119 (2011).
24. S. K. Malhotra, S. Shukla, and R. Sen, *Math. Aeterna* **1** (6), 353 (2011).
25. M. Abbas, H. Aydi, and S. Radenović, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, Article ID 313675 (2012).
26. V. Parvaneh, *J. Basic Appl. Sci. Res.* **2** (3), 2354 (2012).
27. V. Parvaneh and H. Hosseinzadeh, *J. Appl. Sci.* **12** (9), 848 (2012).
28. Tran Van An, Kieu Phuong Chi, Erdal Karapınar, and Tran Duc Thanh, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, Article ID 431872 (2012).
29. Erdal Karapınar, Kieu Phuong Chi, and Tran Duc Thanh, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.*, Article ID 518734 (2012).
30. Kieu Phuong Chi, Erdal Karapınar, and Tran Duc Thanh, *Arab J. Math. Sci.* **18** (2), 141 (2012).
31. J. R. Morales and E. Rojas, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, Article ID 213876 (2012).
32. A. Razani and V. Parvaneh, *Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat.*, No. 3, 47 (2013) [*Russian Math. (Iz. VUZ)* **57** (3), 38 (2013)].
33. A. K. Dubey, R. Shukla, and R. P. Dubey, *Int. J. Appl. Math. Res.* **2** (1), 151 (2013).