



On the number of relevant variables for discrete functions

V. N. Potapov¹

Received: 29 October 2024 / Accepted: 17 April 2025
© The Author(s) 2025

Abstract

We consider various definitions of degrees of discrete functions and establish relations between the number of relevant (essential) variables and degrees of two- and three-valued functions.

Keywords Relevant variable · Sensitivity · Degree of a Boolean function

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010) 94D10

1 Introduction

Let T be an arbitrary set and let T^n be the Cartesian power of T . Given a function f on T^n , a variable x_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, is called *relevant* (essential, or effective) if there exist $a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n \in T$ and $b, c \in T$ such that

$$f(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, b, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n) \neq f(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, c, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n).$$

In this paper we study the relationship between various concept of degrees and the number of relevant variables for two- and three-valued functions on $[q]^n$, where $[q]$ is a q -element set. Binary-valued functions can be considered as indicator functions of subsets of $[q]^n$, so we can speak about the number of relevant variables for sets. For any bijection $\pi : T \rightarrow [q]$ and any injection $\sigma : [p] \rightarrow P$ relevant variables of $f : [q]^n \rightarrow [p]$ one-to-one correspond to relevant variables of $\sigma \circ f \circ \pi$. So, the relevance of variables does not depend on bijections of the domain and on injections of the image set of a function. For convenience, we take $\{-1, 1\}$ as the image set of two-valued functions. Binary-valued functions on $\{0, 1\}^n$ are called Boolean.

It is easy to see that every Boolean function $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ can be represented as a real polynomial. The minimum degree of a polynomial that coincides with f on $\{0, 1\}^n$ is called the degree of f .

A famous theorem of Nisan and Szegedy [6] states that a Boolean function of degree d has at most $d2^{d-1}$ relevant variables. This bound was improved to $6.614 \cdot 2^d$ in [4], and then it was further improved to $4.394 \cdot 2^d$ in [11].

✉ V. N. Potapov
vpotapov@math.nsc.ru

¹ Independent Researcher, Novosibirsk, Siberia, Russia

For every balanced Boolean function f , the degree of f is equal to the order of correlation immunity of $f \oplus \ell_1$, where $\ell_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1 \oplus \dots \oplus x_n$ (see [3], Theorem 5). Thus, the number of non-relevant variables of f is equal to the number of linear variables of $f \oplus \ell_1$. So, bounds on the number of relevant variables provide bounds on the number of linear variables and vice versa in the case of balanced Boolean functions. The same bound as in [6] was proved in terms of correlation immunity in [8].

It is possible to generalize the definition of the degree to other discrete functions in different ways, one of them is used by Filmus and Ihringer [5]. The precise definition of this degree will be given in the next section. The remark at the end of their paper [5] and the upper bound for Boolean functions from [11] imply that a two-valued function f on $[q]^n$ of degree d has at most $4.394 \cdot 2^{\lceil \log_2 q \rceil d}$ relevant variables. In [10] this bound was improved to $\frac{dq^{d+1}}{4(q-1)}$ for $q \neq 2^s$.

In the next section we introduce degrees $\text{deg}_i(f)$ for functions $f : [q]^n \rightarrow [p]$, where $\text{deg}_0(f)$ coincides with the degree d . In Section 4¹ we prove upper bounds $\frac{1}{4}\pi^2 \text{deg}_1(f)q^{\text{deg}_0(f)-1}$ and $\frac{1}{2}\pi^2 \text{deg}_2(f)q^{\text{deg}_0(f)-2}$ (Theorem 1) for the number of relevant variables of two-valued functions. Unlike the previous bounds, the new bounds depend on $\text{deg}_1(f)$ and $\text{deg}_2(f)$. We will see that they are better than all other known ones for some classes of functions. For example, the second bound is better than others if $q \geq 4$ and $\text{deg}_2(f) = \text{deg}_0(f)$. Moreover, in Section 5 we obtain upper bounds $\frac{\text{deg}_0(f)q^{\text{deg}_0(f)+1}}{3(q-1)}$ (Theorem 2), $\frac{\pi^2}{3} \text{deg}_1(f)q^{\text{deg}_0(f)-1}$, and $\frac{2\pi^2}{3} \text{deg}_2(f)q^{\text{deg}_0(f)-2}$ (Theorem 3) for the number of relevant variables in the case of three-valued functions.

Our proofs are based on the notion of an average sensitivity. We consider a function $f : [q]^n \rightarrow [p]$ as a p -coloring of a graph G such that $|V(G)| = q^n$. The average sensitivity $I[f]$ is the number of mixed colored edges in G . Our estimation of $I[f]$ is similar to the proof of the Bierbrauer–Friedman bound (see [2] and [7]) and depends on the adjacency matrix of G . In previous papers [5, 6, 10, 11] the authors implicitly or explicitly treated G as the Hamming graph. In the present paper we use the Cartesian products of cycles instead of the Hamming graphs.

Moreover, in Section 3 we discuss relations between these degrees and other well-known degrees of Boolean function such as numerical and algebraic degrees.

2 Fourier–Hadamard transform

In this section we treat the domain $[q]^n$ of functions as an abelian group G of order $[q]^n$. Consider the vector space $V(G)$ consisting of functions $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with the inner product

$$(f, g) = \sum_{x \in G} f(x)\overline{g(x)}.$$

A function $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ mapping from G to the non-zero complex numbers is called a character of G if it is a group homomorphism from G to \mathbb{C} , i.e., $\phi(x + y) = \phi(x)\phi(y)$ for each $x, y \in G$. The set of characters of G is an orthogonal basis of $V(G)$.

We consider the linear space $V(\mathbb{Z}_q^n)$ of complex valued functions with finite domain $\mathbb{Z}_q^n = (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^n$. Let $\xi = e^{2\pi i/q}$. We can define characters of \mathbb{Z}_q^n as $\phi_z(x) = \xi^{\langle x, z \rangle}$, where $\langle x, z \rangle = x_1 z_1 + \dots + x_n z_n \pmod q$ for each $z \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n$.

¹ The results of Sections 3 and 4 were presented in the 9th International Workshop on Boolean Functions and their Applications.

Below we will consider \mathbb{Z}_q as the set $\{-\frac{q-2}{2}, \dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots, \frac{q}{2}\}$ if q is even and as the set $\{-\frac{q-1}{2}, \dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots, \frac{q-1}{2}\}$ if q is odd. We define the m th degree of $\phi_z, z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$, as the sum $\text{deg}_m(\phi_z) = \sum_{k=1}^n |z_k|^m$. The *weight* of $z \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n$ is the number of nonzero coordinates of z , i. e., $\text{wt}(z) = \text{deg}_0(\phi_z)$.

Changing the variables $x_i \rightarrow y_i = \xi^{x_i}$ or $x_i \rightarrow y_i = \xi^{-x_i}$ we see that ϕ_z corresponds to an expression of the form $y_1^{z_1} \cdots y_n^{z_n}$ of degree $\text{deg}_1 \phi_z$.

Consider the expansion of $f \in V(\mathbb{Z}_q^n)$ with respect to the basis of characters

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{q^n} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n} W_f(z) \phi_z(x), \tag{1}$$

where $W_f(z) = (f, \phi_z)$ are called the *Fourier–Hadamard coefficients* of f . The function $W_f \in V(\mathbb{Z}_q^n)$ is called the *Fourier–Hadamard* or *Walsh–Hadamard* (in binary case) *transform* of f . We define

$$\text{deg}_m(f) = \max_{W_f(z) \neq 0} \text{deg}_m(\phi_z).$$

If $q = 2$ or $q = 3$ then we see that $\text{deg}_m(f) = \text{deg}_0(f)$ for all m . Note that in [5] and [10] the authors call $\text{deg}_0(f)$ the degree of f . For $q \geq 4$ and any $z \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n$ including a coordinate $z_i, |z_i| \geq 2$ we have $\text{deg}_1(\phi_z) > \text{deg}_0(\phi_z)$. In the next section we will justify that deg_1 is some analogue of the polynomial degree.

Consider the simplest example of a function f with different values of degrees $\text{deg}_m(f), m = 0, 1, \dots$. Let $f : \mathbb{Z}_4 \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ be defined by the values $f(0) = f(1) = f(2) = 0$ and $f(-1) = 1$. We have $\xi = e^{2\pi i/4} = i$ and

$$\phi_0 = (1, 1, 1, 1), \phi_1 = (1, i, -1, -i), \phi_2 = (1, -1, 1, -1), \phi_{-1} = (1, -i, -1, i).$$

By the definition, $\text{deg}_m(\phi_0) = 0$ for all $m, \text{deg}_0(\phi_z) = 1$ for $z \neq 0; \text{deg}_1(\phi_1) = \text{deg}_1(\phi_{-1}) = 1, \text{deg}_1(\phi_2) = 2; \text{deg}_2(\phi_1) = \text{deg}_2(\phi_{-1}) = 1, \text{deg}_2(\phi_2) = 4$. It is easy to calculate that

$$f = \frac{1}{4}(\phi_0 + i\phi_1 - \phi_2 - i\phi_{-1}).$$

Thus, $\text{deg}_0(f) = 1, \text{deg}_1(f) = 2, \text{deg}_2(f) = 4$.

3 Properties of numerical degree of Boolean functions

Let T be a finite subset of \mathbb{C} . Consider the linear space $V(T^n)$ of complex valued functions on T^n . Let $C_k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be the linear space of polynomials over \mathbb{C} , where every variable has degree at most $k - 1$.

Proposition 1 *For every function $g \in V(T^n)$ there exists a unique polynomial $P_g \in C_k(x_1, \dots, x_n), k = |T|$, such that $P_g|_{T^n} = g$.*

Proof We will prove the existence of the polynomial by induction. If $n = 1$ then P_g is the Lagrange interpolating polynomial. By the induction hypothesis, there exist $P_i|_{T^{n-1} \times \{t_i\}} =$

$$g|_{T^{n-1} \times \{t_i\}}, \text{ where } t_i \in T. \text{ Then } P_g(\bar{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^n P_i(\tilde{x}_i) \frac{\prod_{t_j \in T \setminus \{t_i\}} (x_i - t_j)}{\prod_{t_j \in T \setminus \{t_i\}} (t_i - t_j)}, \text{ where } \tilde{x}_i \text{ is the set of all}$$

variables except for x_i . Since the dimensions of $V(T^n)$ and $C_k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ coincide, such a polynomial is unique. □

Let $\deg P$ be the degree of $P \in C_k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. We will call the weight rank ($\text{wran } P$) the maximum number of variables in the monomials of P . Obviously, $\text{wran } P \leq \deg P$ and if $k = 2$ then $\text{wran } P = \deg P$. We define $\deg_{\text{num}} g = \deg P_g$ and $\text{wran}_{\text{num}} g = \text{wran } P_g$.

Proposition 2 *Let $f : \mathbb{Z}_q^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and let $s : \mathbb{Z}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be defined by the equation $s(x) = \xi^x$. Then there exists $g \in V((s(\mathbb{Z}_q))^n)$ such that $f = g \circ s$, $\text{wran}_{\text{num}} g = \deg_0 f$ and $\deg_{\text{num}} g \geq \deg_1 f$.*

Proof By (1) we have

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{1}{q^n} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n} W_f(z) \xi^{x_1 z_1} \dots \xi^{x_n z_n}.$$

Define g by the following equation.

$$g(s_1, \dots, s_n) = \frac{1}{q^n} \sum_{y \in \{0, 1, \dots, q-1\}^n} W_f(y) s_1^{y_1} \dots s_n^{y_n},$$

where $y_i = z_i \pmod q$. It is easy to see that $f = g \circ s$ and $|z_i| \leq y_i$ for every $i = 1, \dots, n$. Therefore, $\deg_{\text{num}} g = \max_y \sum_{k=1}^n y_k \geq \max_z \sum_{k=1}^n |z_k| = \deg_1 f$. Moreover, $\text{wran}_{\text{num}} g = \max_{W_f(z) \neq 0} \text{wt}(z) = \deg_0 f$. \square

Consider a function $f : \mathbb{Z}_q^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and two injections $s_i : \mathbb{Z}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, where $T_i = s_i(\mathbb{Z}_q)$ and $f = g_i \circ s_i$, $i = 1, 2$. Then $g_i \in V(T_i^n)$, $i = 1, 2$. It is easy to see that $\text{wran}_{\text{num}} g_1 = \text{wran}_{\text{num}} g_2$ but $\deg_{\text{num}} g_1$ and $\deg_{\text{num}} g_2$ may be different even in the case $n = 1$. Indeed, consider a function $f : \mathbb{Z}_3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by the equation $f(-1) = 1$, $f(0) = 5$, $f(1) = 9$. If $T = \{t_0, t_0 + t_1, t_0 + 2t_1\}$, $t_0, t_1 \in \mathbb{C}$ then $g(t) = 1 + \frac{4}{t_1}(t - t_0)$, i.e., $\deg_{\text{num}} g = 1$ but for an arbitrary T in the common case we have $\deg_{\text{num}} g = 2$. So if we want to define the degree $\deg_{\text{num}} f$ as $\deg_{\text{num}} g_1$ then it will unfortunately depend on the injection of a finite set into \mathbb{C} . Below we will consider the case $|T| = 2$ in more detail. In this case $\deg_{\text{num}} f = \text{wran}_{\text{num}} f$ and therefore this degree does not depend on the injection into \mathbb{C} .

Next we treat the domain $[2]^n$ of functions as a vector space \mathbb{F}_2^n . A real-valued function $f : \mathbb{F}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a *pseudo-Boolean function*. By Proposition 1 every pseudo-Boolean function can be represented in *numerical normal form* (NNF)

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} a(y) x_1^{y_1} \dots x_n^{y_n}, \tag{2}$$

where $x^0 = 1$, $x^1 = x$, and $a(y) \in \mathbb{R}$. The maximum degree of the monomial in NNF is called the *numerical degree* of f .

Every Boolean function $f : \mathbb{F}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$ can be represented in *algebraic normal form* (ANF)

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \bigoplus_{y \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} M_f(y) x_1^{y_1} \dots x_n^{y_n}, \tag{3}$$

where $x^0 = 1$, $x^1 = x$, and the function $M_f : \mathbb{F}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$ is called the *Möbius transform* of f . It is well known (see [3]) that for every function the ANF is unique.

The maximal degree of the monomial in ANF of f is called the *algebraic degree* of f , i.e., $\deg_{\text{alg}}(f) = \max_{M_f(y)=1} \text{wt}(y)$. A function f is *linear* if its degree is at most one and

$f(\vec{0}) = 0$. Denote by ℓ_u the linear function $\ell_u(x) = \langle u, x \rangle = u_1 x_1 \oplus u_2 x_2 \oplus \dots \oplus u_n x_n$, where $u \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$, and $\ell_1(x) = x_1 \oplus x_2 \oplus \dots \oplus x_n$. Obviously, if $f \neq \text{const}$ or $f \neq \ell_1$

then $\text{deg}_{alg}(f) = \text{deg}_{alg}(f \oplus \ell_1)$. A variable x_i of f is called *linear* if $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = g(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) \oplus x_i$.

We can consider a Boolean function as a pseudo-Boolean function with values $\{0, 1\} \subset \mathbb{R}$. It is easy to prove that $\text{deg}_{alg}(f) \leq \text{deg}_{num}(f)$ for any Boolean function f . Indeed, consider ANF $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \bigoplus_{y \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} a(y)x_1^{y_1} \cdots x_n^{y_n}$, where $a(y) = M_f(y)$. Then

$$(-1)^{f(x_1, \dots, x_n)} = \prod_{y \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} (-1)^{a(y)x_1^{y_1} \cdots x_n^{y_n}}, \text{ and } 1 - 2f(x) = \prod_{y \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} (1 - 2a(y)x_1^{y_1} \cdots x_n^{y_n}),$$

since $(-1)^b = 1 - 2b$ for $b \in \{0, 1\} \subset \mathbb{R}$.

Using $x^2 = x$ for $x \in \{0, 1\} \subset \mathbb{R}$, we obtain that

$$\text{deg}_{alg}(f) \leq \text{deg}_{num}(f) = \text{deg}_{num}((-1)^f).$$

Denote by $V(\mathbb{F}_2^n)$ the 2^n -dimensional vector space (over \mathbb{R}) of pseudo-Boolean functions. By (1), we have

$$(-1)^f(x) = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} W_f(y)(-1)^{\langle y, x \rangle},$$

where $W_f(y)$ are the Walsh–Hadamard coefficients of f . Since $(-1)^{\langle y, x \rangle} = \prod_{i=1}^n (-1)^{y_i x_i} = \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - 2y_i x_i)$, we have

$$(-1)^f(x) = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} W_f(y) \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - 2y_i x_i).$$

Then

$$\text{deg}_{num}(f) = \text{deg}_{num}((-1)^f) = \max_{W_f(y) \neq 0} \text{wt}(y) = \text{deg}_0(f). \tag{4}$$

Proposition 3 For every Boolean function $f : \mathbb{F}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$ it holds $\text{deg}_{alg}(f) \leq \min\{\text{deg}_0(f), n - \text{deg}_0(f)\}$.

Proof Denote by $\mathcal{W}(f)$ the multiset of Walsh–Hadamard coefficients of f . From the definitions we see that

$$y \in \mathcal{W}(f) \Leftrightarrow y \oplus \mathbf{1} \in \mathcal{W}(f \oplus \ell_1). \tag{5}$$

Then $\text{deg}_{num}(f \oplus \ell_1) = n - \min_{W_f(y) \neq 0} \text{wt}(y)$. Since $\text{deg}_{alg}(f) = \text{deg}_{alg}(f \oplus \ell_1)$ if $\text{deg}_{alg}(f) > 1$, then we obtain another inequality $\text{deg}_{alg}(f) \leq \min\{\max_{W_f(y) \neq 0} \text{wt}(y), n - \min_{W_f(y) \neq 0} \text{wt}(y)\}$.

By (4) we obtain the required inequality if $\text{deg}_{alg}(f) > 1$. For $\text{deg}_{alg}(f) \leq 1$ the required inequality is obviously true. \square

Denote by $t(f)$ the number of the relevant variables of f . From the definitions, we have $\text{deg}_{alg}(f) \leq t(f)$ for Boolean and $\text{deg}_{num}(f) \leq t(f)$ for pseudo-Boolean functions. Does there exist a reversed inequality in a general case? There exists a Boolean function ℓ_1 with minimal algebraic degree $\text{deg}_{alg}(\ell_1) = 1$ and maximal number n of the relevant variables. Moreover, there exists a pseudo-Boolean function $J(x) = (-1)^{x_1} + \dots + (-1)^{x_n} = n - 2(x_1 + \dots + x_n)$ with minimal numerical degree $\text{deg}_{num}(J) = 1$ and maximal number n of the relevant variables. Thus, the inequalities for algebraic degree of Boolean functions and for numerical degree of pseudo-Boolean functions cannot be reversed. However, as mentioned in Introduction, the numerical degree provides an upper bound for the number of relevant variables in the case of Boolean functions. In the next sections we prove upper bounds for the number of the relevant variables for q -ary two- and three-valued functions.

4 Bounds for two-valued functions

The Cayley graph $Cay(G, S)$ on abelian group G with connecting set $S, S \subset G, S = -S, 0 \notin S$, is the graph whose vertices are the elements of G and whose edge set E is $\{\{x, a+x\} : x \in G, a \in S\}$.

It is well known that the set of scalar characters of abelian group G is an orthogonal basis consisting of eigenvectors of the adjacency matrix of $Cay(G, S)$.

Proposition 4 ([1], Corollary 3.2) *Let ϕ be a character of \mathbb{Z}_q^n . Then its eigenvalue with respect to $Cay(\mathbb{Z}_q^n, S)$ is equal to $\sum_{s \in S} \phi(s)$.*

Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_q \setminus \{0\}$. Consider $S^n = \{(0, \dots, 0, s, 0, \dots, 0) : s \in S, i = 1, \dots, n\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_q^n$ as a connecting set in \mathbb{Z}_q^n . If $S = \mathbb{Z}_q \setminus \{0\}$ then $Cay(\mathbb{Z}_q, S)$ is the complete graph K_q . By the definition of the Cayley graph we obtain that $Cay(\mathbb{Z}_q^n, S^n) = K_q \square \dots \square K_q$. This graph is equal to the Hamming graph $H(n, q)$. The Hamming graph induces the Hamming distance d_H between vertices. This distance $d_H(u, v)$ is equal to the number of places in which n -tuples $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n$ differ. The eigenvalues of the Hamming graphs are well known and are obtained from Proposition 4.

Corollary 1 *The eigenvector $\phi_z(x) = \xi^{(x,z)}$ of the adjacency matrix of $H(n, q)$ corresponds to the eigenvalue $\lambda_z = (q - 1)n - q \text{wt}(z)$.*

In the present paper we take $S = \{-1, 1\}$. Thus, $Cay(\mathbb{Z}_q, S)$ is the circular graph C_q consisting of one cycle. In this case S^n is a collection of n -dimensional vectors consisting of ± 1 and zeros. Then $Cay(\mathbb{Z}_q^n, S^n) = C_q \square \dots \square C_q = C_q^n$. The graph C_q^n is called a hypercube with induced Lee distance d_L , where $d_L(u, v) = \sum_{i=1}^n \min\{|u_i - v_i|, q - |u_i - v_i|\}$. If $q = 2$ or $q = 3$, then the Hamming and Lee distances are the same. We say that an edge $\{x, y\}$ in C_q^n has direction i if vertices x and y differ in the i th position.

For a given vector $z \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n$ denote by $a_k(z)$ the number of elements $k \in \mathbb{Z}_q$ in z . Then $n = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_q} a_k(z)$. By Proposition 4, we obtain

Corollary 2 *The eigenvector $\phi_z(x) = \xi^{(x,z)}$ of the adjacency matrix of C_q^n corresponds to the eigenvalue $\lambda_z = 2n - 4 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_q} a_k(z) \sin^2 \frac{\pi k}{q}$.*

Proof In the case of C_q^n the connecting set S^n consists of vectors with ± 1 in the i th position, where $i = 1, \dots, n$, and zeros in other positions. By Proposition 4 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_z &= \sum_{s \in S^n} \xi^{s_1 z_1 + \dots + s_n z_n} = \sum_{i=1}^n (\xi^{z_i} + \xi^{-z_i}) = \sum_{i=1}^n 2 \cos \frac{2\pi z_i}{q} \\ &= 2n - \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_q} 2a_k(z) (1 - \cos \frac{2\pi k}{q}) = 2n - \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_q} 4a_k(z) \sin^2 \frac{\pi k}{q}. \end{aligned}$$

□

We will use some results on the theory of invariant subspaces of Hamming graphs developed by Valyuzhenich and his coauthors. Denote by $U_k(n, q)$ the linear span of all ϕ_z , where z has weight k . $U_k(n, q)$ is a subspace of $V(\mathbb{Z}_q)$. The direct sum of subspaces

$$U_0(n, q) \oplus \dots \oplus U_m(n, q)$$

is denoted by $U_{[0,m]}(n, q)$. Straightforwardly, $U_{[0,m]}(n, q)$ is the set of functions f such that $\text{deg}_0(f) \leq m$ (see [10]).

Proposition 5 ([10], Theorem 1) *Let $f \in U_{[0,m]}(n, q)$, where $q \geq 3$ and $f \neq 0$. Then $|\text{supp}(f)| \geq q^{n-m}$.*

Denote by $f|_{x_i=a}$ a retract of f , i. e.,

$$f|_{x_i=a}(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) = f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, a, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n).$$

Proposition 6 ([9], Lemma 4) *If $f \in U_{[0,m]}(n, q)$, $m > 0$. Then the difference $f|_{x_i=a} - f|_{x_i=b}$ belongs to $U_{[0,m-1]}(n-1, q)$.*

Corollary 3 *If $f|_{x_i=a} \neq f|_{x_i=b}$ then $|\text{supp}(f|_{x_i=a} - f|_{x_i=b})| \geq q^{n-\text{deg}_0(f)}$.*

The next property follows from the definition of the Fourier–Hadamard coefficients.

Proposition 7 *If a function $f \in V(\mathbb{Z}_q^n)$ does not essentially depend on variable x_i and $z_i \neq 0$ then $W_f(z) = 0$.*

By the definition of degree we obtain

Corollary 4 *If a function $f \in V(\mathbb{Z}_q^n)$ has no more than m relevant variables then $\text{deg}_0(f) \leq m$.*

Next, we prove the converse statement on the bound of the number of relevant variables under conditions on the degrees of functions. The proof of the following theorem is similar to the arguments from [10] but we use the hypercube with the Lee metric instead of one with the Hamming metric.

Theorem 1 *For a two-valued function f on \mathbb{Z}_q^n it holds*

$$t(f) \leq \frac{\pi^2}{4} \text{deg}_1(f)q^{\text{deg}_0(f)-1} \quad \text{and} \quad t(f) \leq \frac{\pi^2}{2} \text{deg}_2(f)q^{\text{deg}_0(f)-2},$$

where $t(f)$ is the number of relevant variables of f .

Proof We will consider the domain of f as the vertex set of C_q^n . Let A be the adjacency matrix of C_q^n . An edge $\{x, y\}$ of C_q^n is called mixed colored if $f(x) \neq f(y)$. The total number of edges of C_q^n is nq^n . Denote by $I[f]$ the number of mixed colored edges of C_q^n . Note that the average number $\frac{I[f]}{|V(H(n,q))|}$ of mixed colored edges in the Hamming graph is called the average sensitivity of f . But $I[f]$ may be less than the sensitivity of f in the case of C_q^n . Straightforwardly, we can prove that

$$-(Af, f) = 2I[f] - (2nq^n - 2I[f]).$$

By the definition of characters, we obtain that $f = \frac{1}{q^n} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n} W_f(z)\phi_z$, and

$$(Af, f) = \frac{1}{q^{2n}} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n} \lambda_z |W_f(z)|^2 (\phi_z, \phi_z). \tag{6}$$

It is clear that $(\phi_z, \phi_z) = q^n$. By Corollary 2, we obtain that

$$I[f] = \frac{1}{q^n} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n} |W_f(z)|^2 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_q} a_k(z) \sin^2 \frac{\pi k}{q}. \tag{7}$$

Using $\sin^2 y = \sin^2(\pi - y)$ and $\sin^2 y \leq y^2$, we have

$$I[f] \leq \frac{1}{q^n} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n} |W_f(z)|^2 \sum_{k=-k'_1}^{k_1} a_k(z) \left(\frac{\pi k}{q}\right)^2, \tag{8}$$

where $k_1 = \frac{q}{2}$, $k'_1 = \frac{q}{2} - 1$ if q is even and $k'_1 = k_1 = (q - 1)/2$ if q is odd. By the definition of degrees, we obtain that $\sum_{k=-k'_1}^{k_1} a_k(z)k^2 \leq \text{deg}_2(f)$ and $\sum_{k=-k'_1}^{k_1} a_k(z)k^2 \leq k_1 \text{deg}_1(f)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n$. Then from Parseval's identity $\sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n} |W_f(z)|^2 = q^{2n}$ and (8) we obtain

$$I[f] \leq \frac{\text{deg}_2(f)}{q^n} \left(\frac{\pi}{q}\right)^2 \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n} |W_f(z)|^2 \leq \text{deg}_2(f)\pi^2 q^{n-2} \quad \text{and} \quad I[f] \leq (\text{deg}_1(f)\pi^2 q^{n-1})/2. \tag{9}$$

Let x_i be a relevant variable of f . Consider the retracts $f|_{x_i=0}$, $f|_{x_i=1}, \dots$. There are at least two numbers $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_q$ such that $f|_{x_i=a_j} \neq f|_{x_i=a_{j+1} \pmod q}$, $j = 1, 2$. By Corollary 3, we obtain that at least $2q^{n-\text{deg}_0(f)}$ mixed colored edges have direction i . Then $I[f] \geq 2t(f)q^{n-\text{deg}_0(f)}$. By inequalities (9) the proof is complete. \square

Next we consider an example of a function f_m such that the new estimate of $t(f_m)$ is greater than the previous one. For $q = 3$ the presented bound $\frac{\pi^2}{2} \text{deg}_2(f)q^{d-2}$ is weaker than Valyuzhenich's bound $\frac{dq^{d+1}}{4(q-1)}$ since $\text{deg}_2(f) \geq \text{deg}_0(f) = d$ and $\frac{\pi^2}{2} \geq \frac{3^3}{8}$. So, consider the following example for $q = 4$. Let $h : \mathbb{Z}_4 \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ be defined by the vector of values $(1, 1, 0, 0)$. We have equalities $\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_4} h(x)i^{-2x} = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_4} h(x)i^{2x} = 0$, where $i = \sqrt{-1}$. Consider $f_m : \mathbb{Z}_4^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$, where $f_m(x_1, \dots, x_n) = h(x_1) \cdot h(x_2) \cdot \dots \cdot h(x_m)$. It is clear that $t(f_m) = m$. Let us estimate $t(f_m)$ using the above formulas. By Proposition 7, we conclude that $W_{f_m}(z) = 0$ if $z_k \neq 0$ for some $k > m$. If $z_k = 0$ for all $k > m$, then we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} W_{f_m}(z) &= \sum_x f_m(x)\xi^{-(x,z)} = \sum_x f_m(x)\xi^{-\langle x, z \rangle} = \sum_x h(x_1)i^{-x_1z_1} \dots h(x_m)i^{-x_mz_m} \\ &= 4^{n-m} \left(\sum_{x_1} h(x_1)\xi^{-x_1z_1}\right) \dots \left(\sum_{x_m} h(x_m)\xi^{-x_mz_m}\right), \quad \text{where } \xi = i. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sum_x h(x)i^{-xz} = 0$ for $z = 2$, we conclude that $\text{deg}_2(f_m) = \text{deg}_0(f_m) = m$. Thus, the new bound $t(f_m) \leq \frac{\pi^2 m}{32} 4^m$ is slightly better than Valyuzhenich's bound $t(f_m) \leq \frac{m4^m}{3}$.

5 Bounds for three-value functions

It is possible to generalize our methods to functions with three different values. We put the set of values $\Xi = \{1, \xi, \xi^{-1}\}$, where $\xi = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}$. Let the domain of f be the vertex set of C_q^n and let A be the adjacency matrix of C_q^n . It is easy to see that $a\bar{b} + \bar{a}b = -1$ if $a, b \in \Xi$ and $a \neq b$; $a\bar{a} + \bar{a}a = 2$ for each $a \in \Xi$. Then

$$(Af, f) = -I[f] + 2(nq^n - I[f]), \tag{10}$$

where $I[f]$ is the number of mixed colored edges. Indeed, on the left side of the equation two adjacent vertices with equal values give the term 2 and two adjacent vertices with different values give the term -1 .

By (6), (10) and Corollary 2 we obtain that

$$I[f] = \frac{4}{3q^n} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n} |W_f(z)|^2 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_q} a_k(z) \sin^2 \frac{\pi k}{q}. \tag{11}$$

Using (11) instead of (7), similarly to Theorem 1 we prove the following inequalities for three-valued functions.

Theorem 2 For a three-valued function f on \mathbb{Z}_q^n it holds

$$t(f) \leq \frac{\pi^2}{3} \deg_1(f)q^{\deg_0(f)-1} \quad \text{and} \quad t(f) \leq \frac{2\pi^2}{3} \deg_2(f)q^{\deg_0(f)-2},$$

where $t(f)$ is the number of relevant variables of f .

Moreover, using arguments from [10] we can prove the following statement.

Theorem 3 Every three-valued function f of degree $d = \deg_0(f)$ on \mathbb{Z}_q^n , has at most $\frac{dq^{d+1}}{3(q-1)}$ relevant variables.

Proof Every vertex of the Hamming graph $H(n, q)$ has $n(q - 1)$ neighbors instead of $2n$ neighbors in C_q^n . So, if A is the adjacency matrix of $H(n, q)$, then

$$(Af, f) = -I[f] + 2 \left(\frac{n(q-1)}{2} q^n - I[f] \right), \tag{12}$$

By (6), (12) and Corollary 1 we obtain that

$$3I[f] = \frac{q}{q^n} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n} |W_f(z)|^2 \text{wt}(z). \tag{13}$$

Using Parseval’s identity $\sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n} |W_f(z)|^2 = q^{2n}$ and the definition $\max_z \text{wt}(z) = \deg_0(f) = d$ we obtain that

$$3I[f] \leq q^{n+1}d. \tag{14}$$

Let x_i be a relevant variable of f . By the definition of the relevant variable, not all retracts $f|_{x_i=0}, f|_{x_i=1}, \dots$ are equal. Let us estimate the number of pairs of distinct retracts. Suppose that t_j be the number of retracts of type j , where $j = 1, \dots, k, 2 \leq k \leq q, \sum_{j=1}^k t_j = q$. It is easy to see that there exist $\sum_{j=1}^k t_j(q - t_j) \geq 2q - 2$ ordered pairs of distinct retracts. Thus, by Corollary 3, we obtain that at least $(q - 1)q^{n-d}$ mixed colored edges have direction i . Then $I[f] \geq (q - 1)t(f)q^{n-d}$. By inequalities (14), the proof is complete. \square

6 Conclusions

The main goal of this paper is to explore new relationships between the Fourier–Hadamard spectrum of a discrete function and the number of its relevant variables, particularly in the non-binary case. We aim to answer questions of the following type: Are there discrete functions with a given Fourier–Hadamard spectrum that have no irrelevant variables? We introduce new degrees of discrete functions based on the Fourier–Hadamard coefficients and establish relationships between these new degrees and known ones. We show that the new degrees

provide better upper bounds on the number of relevant variables than existing bounds for q -ary functions when $q \geq 4$. Previously, all known bounds estimated the number of relevant variables only for two-valued functions. In this paper, however, we establish a similar bound for three-valued functions. Nevertheless, we believe that the new bounds are far from tight and can be improved.

Another problem we are interested in is finding a lower bound on the number of linear variables of a ternary function, depending on the order of correlation immunity. As mentioned in the Introduction, in the binary case, there is a strong connection between this problem and the upper bounds on the number of relevant variables based on the function's degree.

Author Contributions V.Potapov prepared the text at all.

Funding Open access funding provided by the Scientific and Technological Research Council of Türkiye (TÜBİTAK).

Data Availability No datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

Declarations

Competing interests The authors declare no competing interests.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

References

1. Babai, L.: Spectra of Cayley graphs. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **27**(2), 180–189 (1979)
2. Bierbrauer, J.: Bounds on orthogonal arrays and resilient functions. *J. Comb. Des.* **3**, 179–183 (1995)
3. Carlet, C.: *Boolean Functions for Cryptography and Coding Theory*. Cambridge University Press, 562 (2020)
4. Chiarelli, J., Hatami, P., Saks, M.: An asymptotically tight bound on the number of relevant variables in a bounded degree Boolean function. *Combinatorica* **40**, 237–244 (2020)
5. Filmus, Y., Ihringer, F.: Boolean constant degree functions on the slice are juntas. *Discret. Math.* **342**(12), 111614 (2019)
6. Nisan, N., Szegedy, M.: On the degree of Boolean functions as real polynomials. *Comput. Complex.* **4**, 301–313 (1994)
7. Potapov, V.N.: On perfect 2-colorings of the q -ary n -cube. *Discrete Math.* **312**(6), 1269–1272 (2012)
8. Tarannikov, Y., Korolev, P., Botev, A.: Autocorrelation coefficients and correlation immunity of Boolean functions. In: Boyd, C. (ed.) *Advances in Cryptology ASIACRYPT 2001*. 460–479, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol 2248. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg (2001)
9. Valyuzhenich, A., Vorob'ev, K.: Minimum supports of functions on the Hamming graphs with spectral constraints. *Discret. Math.* **342**(5), 1351–1360 (2019)
10. Valyuzhenich, A.: An upper bound on the number of relevant variables for Boolean functions on the Hamming graph. (2024). [arXiv:2404.10418v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/2404.10418v1)
11. Wellens, J.: Relationships between the number of inputs and other complexity measures of Boolean functions. *Discret. Anal.* **19**, 21 (2022). [arXiv:2005.00566v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/2005.00566v2)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.