

# On upper bound for the number of binary uniformly packed codes <sup>1 2</sup>

Natalia N. Tokareva

We consider binary uniformly packed (in the wide sense) codes of length  $n$  with minimum distance  $d$  and covering radius  $\rho$ . It is shown that any such code is uniquely determined by the set of its codewords of weights  $\lceil n/2 \rceil - \rho, \dots, \lceil n/2 \rceil + \rho$ . For an odd  $d$  the number of distinct such codes is not more than  $2^{2^{n-\frac{d}{2} \log_2 n + o(\log_2 n)}}$ .

## Introduction

Let us consider the metric space  $E^n$  on the set of all binary vectors of length  $n$  with respect to the Hamming metric  $d(\cdot, \cdot)$  (the distance between two vectors equals the number of components for which they differ). The *Hamming weight*  $wt(x)$  of a vector  $x \in E^n$  is given by  $wt(x) = d(x, \mathbf{0})$ , where  $\mathbf{0}$  is the zero vector. A nonempty set  $C \subset E^n$  with the smallest distance  $d$  between two its distinct elements is called *binary  $(n, d)$ -code*, where  $n$  and  $d$  are *length* and *minimum distance* of the code  $C$ , respectively. Elements of a code are called *codewords*. For a binary code  $C$  of length  $n$  the *covering radius*  $\rho$  is given by the equality  $\rho = \max_{x \in E^n} d(x, C)$ .

According to L. A. Bassalygo, G. V. Zaitsev and V. A. Zinoviev [2], a binary  $(n, d)$ -code  $C$  with covering radius  $\rho$  is called *uniformly packed in the wide sense*, if there exist rational numbers  $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\rho$  such that for any binary vector  $x$  of length  $n$  it holds the equality  $\sum_{i=0}^{\rho} \alpha_i f_i(x) = 1$ , where  $f_i(x)$  is the number of codewords of  $C$  at distance  $i$  from the vector  $x$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, \rho$ . Let  $d = 2t + 1$ . There exists another definition of uniformly packed of  $j$ th order codes for  $j = 1, \dots, t$  (see the paper of J. M. Goethals and H. C. A. van Tilborg [7]) that for  $j = \rho - t$  gives a particular case of the definition [2]. For the case  $j = \rho - t = 1$  both definitions [2] and [7] coincide and determine *strongly uniformly packed codes* or *uniformly packed in the narrow sense codes* introduced in 1971 by N. V. Semakov, V. A. Zinoviev and G. V. Zaitsev [5]. Further by “uniformly packed” we mean “uniformly packed in the wide sense”.

S. V. Avgustinovich [1] showed that any perfect binary code of length  $n$  with minimum distance  $d = 3$  (known also as 1-perfect code) is uniquely determined by the set of its

---

<sup>1</sup>The original version is

Tokareva N. N. On upper bound for the number of binary uniformly packed codes // *Discrete Analysis and Operation Research*, 2007. V. 14, N 3. P. 90–97 [in Russian].

This English translation will be available soon in *J. Applied and Industrial Mathematics*, 2008.

<sup>2</sup>This research was supported by the Siberian Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences Integration project “Tree-like catalogue of mathematical Internet resources mathtree.ru” (no. 35), by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (projects 07-01-00248, 08-01-00671) and Russian Science Support Foundation.

codewords of weight  $(n-1)/2$ . Applying this fact S. V. Avgustinovich [1] proved that the number of distinct perfect binary codes of length  $n$  is not more than  $2^{2^{n-\frac{3}{2}\log n + o(\log n)}}$  (here and in what follows  $\log$  is the logarithm to the base 2). This bound has not been improved since 1995.

Consider an arbitrary class  $\mathbb{L}(n, d, \rho; \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_\rho)$  of binary uniformly packed (in the wide sense)  $(n, d)$ -codes with covering radius  $\rho$  and packing parameters  $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_\rho$ . We suppose that  $d$  and  $\rho$  are constants. Denote by  $L_{n,d}$  the number of distinct codes in the class  $\mathbb{L}(n, d, \rho; \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_\rho)$ . It should be mentioned that using the sphere packing bound for the size of a  $(n, d)$ -code one can obtain the trivial upper bound:  $L_{n,d} \leq 2^{2^{n-\frac{d-1}{2}\log n + o(\log n)}}$ . In this paper we generalize the method [1] for the case of any class  $\mathbb{L}(n, d, \rho; \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_\rho)$ . We show that a code from  $\mathbb{L}(n, d, \rho; \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_\rho)$  is uniquely determined by the set of its codewords of weights  $\lceil n/2 \rceil - \rho, \dots, \lceil n/2 \rceil + \rho$ . In the case of odd  $d$  we derive the following upper bound  $L_{n,d} < 2^{2^{n-\frac{d}{2}\log n + \log \log n + \delta}}$ , where  $\delta = d \log d + \log(\rho + 1)$  is a constant.

## 1. Auxiliary statements

Let  $x, y$  be any binary vectors of length  $n$ , let  $d(x, y) = k$ . It is known (see, for example, [4, ch. 21]) that the number of vectors  $z \in E^n$  such that  $d(x, z) = i$  and  $d(y, z) = j$  does not depend on the choice of  $x, y$  and depend only on  $i, j, k, n$ . Denote this number by  $p_{ijk}$ . It is clear that

$$p_{ijk} = \binom{k}{(i-j+k)/2} \binom{n-k}{(i+j-k)/2},$$

if  $i+j-k$  is even. In the case of odd  $i+j-k$  we have  $p_{ijk} = 0$ . Assume that  $p_{ijk}$  is defined for any  $i, j, k$ ,  $0 \leq i, j, k \leq n$ , and equals zero if the corresponding set of vectors  $z$  is empty.

Let  $C$  be a code from an arbitrary class  $\mathbb{L}(n, d, \rho; \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_\rho)$ . Denote by  $C_i$  and  $E_i$  the sets of weight  $i$  vectors in the code  $C$  and in the space  $E^n$ , respectively, here  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ . Let  $\mu_C^i$  be the size of  $C_i$ . The vector  $\mu(C) = (\mu_C^0, \mu_C^1, \dots, \mu_C^n)$  is called a *weight spectrum* of a code  $C$ . Numbers  $\mu_C^i$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ , are said to be *spectral values* of the code. Weight spectrum of a code is tightly connected with its weight function. In [2] formula for the weight function of any uniformly packed code is given. This formula contains  $\rho$  unknown constants. In order to determine them it is required to find out any  $\rho$  spectral values for which it is possible to solve the corresponding system of linear equations (see for details [2]).

We prove the following fact.

**Lemma 1.** *The weight spectrum of any code  $C$  from a class of uniformly packed codes  $\mathbb{L}(n, d, \rho; \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_\rho)$  is uniquely determined by numbers  $\mu_C^0, \dots, \mu_C^{\rho-1}$ .*

**Proof.** Let us show how to determine  $\mu_C^{j+\rho}$  by known values  $\mu_C^0, \dots, \mu_C^{j+\rho-1}$  (for any  $j = 0, 1, \dots, n - \rho$ ). For each  $i = 0, 1, \dots, \rho$  it holds

$$\sum_{x \in E_j} f_i(x) = \sum_{k=\max\{0, j-i\}}^{j+i} p_{ijk} \mu_C^k. \quad (1)$$

Indeed, any codeword of weight  $k$  is at distance  $i$  exactly from  $p_{ijk}$  binary vectors of weight  $j$ . Note that all spectral values  $\mu_C^{\max\{0, j-\rho+1\}}, \dots, \mu_C^{j+\rho-1}$  that appear in any equation (1) for

$i = 0, 1, \dots, \rho - 1$  are known; for  $i = \rho$  there is just one unknown value  $\mu_C^{j+\rho}$  in the equation (with the coefficient being nonzero). As far as the code  $C$  is uniformly packed we have

$$\sum_{x \in E_j} \sum_{i=0}^{\rho} \alpha_i f_i(x) = \binom{n}{j}.$$

Summing in another order and using (1) we get

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\rho} \alpha_i \sum_{k=\max\{0, j-i\}}^{j+i} p_{ijk} \mu_C^k = \binom{n}{j}.$$

From this we uniquely determine the value  $\mu_C^{j+\rho}$ . Thus, we can find out step by step all the values  $\mu_C^{\rho}, \dots, \mu_C^n$ .  $\square$

The following lemma is a generalization of one property of perfect binary codes, which is given in [1].

**Lemma 2.** *The set  $X = C_{\lceil n/2 \rceil - \rho} \cup \dots \cup C_{\lceil n/2 \rceil + \rho}$  uniquely determines the code  $C$  from a class  $\mathbb{L}(n, d, \rho; \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_\rho)$  of uniformly packed codes.*

**Proof.** For a code  $C$  denote by  $A$  and  $B$  the following sets of codewords:

$$A = C_0 \cup \dots \cup C_{\lceil n/2 \rceil - \rho - 1}, \quad B = C_{\lceil n/2 \rceil + \rho + 1} \cup \dots \cup C_n.$$

We have  $C = A \cup X \cup B$ . It is easy to see that distance between  $A$  and  $B$  is not less than  $2\rho + 1$  and hence is not less than  $d$ . Assume that there exists another code  $C' = A' \cup X \cup B'$  in the class  $\mathbb{L}(n, d, \rho; \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_\rho)$  such that  $B \neq B'$ . In this case the code  $C''$  obtained from  $C$  by a substitution the set  $B'$  for the set  $B$  is also a code of length  $n$  and minimum distance  $d$ . Let us show that  $C''$  belongs to the class  $\mathbb{L}(n, d, \rho; \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_\rho)$ , i. e. that  $C''$  is uniformly packed with packing parameters  $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_\rho$ . By definition, let us take a vector  $x \in E^n$  and find the value of the sum

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\rho} \alpha_i f_i(x), \tag{2}$$

where  $f_i(x)$  is the number of codewords of the code  $C''$  at distance  $i$  from the vector  $x$ . For a code  $D$  of length  $n$  denote by  $T_\rho^D(x)$  the set of all its codewords contained in the ball of radius  $\rho$  centered in the vector  $x$ , i. e.  $T_\rho^D(x) = \{ y \in D : d(x, y) \leq \rho \}$ . By construction of the code  $C''$ , it is true

$$T_\rho^{C''}(x) = \begin{cases} T_\rho^C(x), & \text{if } wt(x) \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor, \\ T_\rho^{C'}(x), & \text{if } wt(x) \geq \lceil n/2 \rceil. \end{cases}$$

By assumption, codes  $C$  and  $C'$  are uniformly packed with packing parameters mentioned above. Therefore the sum (2) equals 1 for any vector  $x \in E^n$ . And hence  $C''$  belongs to the class  $\mathbb{L}(n, d, \rho; \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_\rho)$  of uniformly packed codes.

As far as  $B \neq B'$ , we assume without loss of generality that there exists a vector  $y \in E^n$  such that  $y \in B$  and  $y \notin B'$ . Let  $z = y \oplus \mathbf{1}$ , where  $\mathbf{1}$  is the all-one vector and  $\oplus$  is the

componentwise sum by modulo 2. It is easy to see that it holds  $wt(z) \leq \lceil n/2 \rceil - \rho - 1$ , and hence

$$T_\rho^C(z) = T_\rho^{C''}(z).$$

Then for uniformly packed codes  $z \oplus C$  and  $z \oplus C''$  (shifts of codes  $C$  and  $C''$  by the vector  $z$ ) the first  $\rho + 1$  spectral values coincide, i. e.

$$\mu_{z \oplus C}^0 = \mu_{z \oplus C''}^0, \dots, \mu_{z \oplus C}^\rho = \mu_{z \oplus C''}^\rho.$$

Therefore by lemma 1 codes  $z \oplus C$  and  $z \oplus C''$  have the same weight spectra. But since  $\mathbf{1} \in z \oplus C$  and  $\mathbf{1} \notin z \oplus C''$ , we have  $\mu_{z \oplus C}^n \neq \mu_{z \oplus C''}^n$ . This contradiction concludes the proof.  $\square$

Now we prove a simple (but appropriate for our purposes) bound for the number of weight  $i$  codewords of any binary code  $C$ . We note that there exist appreciably better bounds for the value  $|C_i|$  (see, for example [4, ch. 17]).

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $C$  be a binary code of length  $n$  and minimum distance  $d = 2t + 1$ . For any  $i = t, \dots, n - t$  it is true*

$$|C_i| \leq \frac{2^t t!}{n^t} \binom{n}{i}.$$

**Proof.** Let  $i \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ . For a vector  $x \in E^n$  of weight  $i$  define the following set:

$$V_x = \{ z \in E^n : wt(z) = i - t, \text{ supp}(z) \subset \text{supp}(x) \},$$

where  $\text{supp}(z)$  is the set of all nonzero components of a vector  $z$ . Note that  $|V_x| = \binom{i}{t}$ . As far as for any two codewords  $x$  and  $y$  from  $C_i$  sets  $V_x$  and  $V_y$  do not intersect (otherwise  $d(x, y) < d$ ), we have

$$|C_i| \leq \frac{|E_{i-t}|}{|V_x|} \leq \frac{\binom{n}{i-t}}{\binom{i}{t}}.$$

This inequality after a small transformation gives us the bound we need. The case  $i \geq \lceil n/2 \rceil$  is analogous.  $\square$

## 2. Upper bound

The main result is the following.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $L_{n,d}$  be the number of distinct codes from a class  $\mathbb{L}(n, d, \rho; \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_\rho)$  of uniformly packed codes with  $n \geq 3$  and odd  $d \geq 3$ . Then  $L_{n,d} < 2^{2^{n-\frac{d}{2}} \log n + \log \log n + \delta}$ , where  $\delta = d \log d + \log(\rho + 1)$  is a constant.*

**Proof.** According to Lemma 2, it is true that

$$L_{n,d} \leq \left( \frac{|E_{\lceil n/2 \rceil - \rho}| + \cdots + |E_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + \rho}|}{|C_{\lceil n/2 \rceil - \rho}| + \cdots + |C_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + \rho}|} \right). \quad (3)$$

Then we have

$$|E_{\lceil n/2 \rceil - \rho}| + \cdots + |E_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + \rho}| \leq (2\rho + 1) \binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}. \quad (4)$$

By Lemma 3, for any binary code  $C$  of length  $n$  with minimum distance  $d$  it holds

$$|C_{\lceil n/2 \rceil - \rho}| + \cdots + |C_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + \rho}| \leq \frac{\lambda}{n^t} \binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}, \quad (5)$$

where  $\lambda = (2\rho + 1) \cdot 2^t \cdot t!$  and  $t = (d - 1)/2$ . Applying the Stirling's formula

$$n^n e^{-n} \sqrt{2\pi n} \leq n! \leq n^n e^{1-n} \sqrt{2\pi n},$$

it is not difficult to get  $\binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \leq 2^{n - \frac{1}{2} \log n + 2}$ . Then by (3-5), we have

$$L_{n,d} < \left( \frac{2^{n - \frac{1}{2} \log n + (2 + \log(2\rho + 1))}}{2^{n - \frac{d}{2} \log n + (2 + \log \lambda)}} \right).$$

By the inequality  $\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) < \left(\frac{3a}{b}\right)^b$  for any  $a > b > 1$  it follows that

$$L_{n,d} < 2^{2^{n - \frac{d}{2} \log n + \log \log n + (\log \lambda + \log d + 1)}}.$$

By using  $c! \geq \left(\frac{c+1}{2}\right)^c$  for any  $c \geq 1$ , it is easy to obtain  $\log \lambda + \log d + 1 \leq d \log d + \log(\rho + 1)$ , and hence

$$L_{n,d} < 2^{2^{n - \frac{d}{2} \log n + \log \log n + \delta}}.$$

□

The following classes of codes can be taken for  $\mathbb{L}(n, d, \rho; \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_\rho)$  and hence the upper bound of Theorem 1 takes place for all of them:

1) Binary *perfect codes* of length  $n = 2^m - 1$  ( $m \geq 2$ ) with size  $2^{n - \log(n+1)}$  and minimum distance  $d = 3$ . The covering radius of these codes is  $\rho = 1$  and packing parameters are  $\alpha_0 = \alpha_1 = 1$ , see [5]. This particular case of Theorem 1 was proved in [1].

Other series of uniformly packed codes with minimum distance  $d = 3$  can be found in [7] (see also [2, 8]).

2) Binary *Preparata codes* of length  $n = 2^m - 1$  ( $m \geq 4$  is even) with size  $2^{n - 2 \log(n+1) + 1}$  and minimum distance  $d = 5$  (sometimes these codes are called *Preparata-like codes*). Covering radius and packing parameters of these codes are  $\rho = 3$  and  $\alpha_0 = \alpha_1 = 1, \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = 3/n$ , see [5, 2].

**Corollary 1.** *The number of distinct binary Preparata codes of length  $n$  with minimum distance 5 is not more than*

$$2^{2^{n-\frac{5}{2} \log n + o(\log n)}}.$$

*Remark.* Let us note that we have a better bound for the number of codes from one special subclass of Preparata codes. According to [6, corollary 2] the number of nonequivalent quaternary linear Preparata codes of length  $n$  with minimum distance 6 is not more than  $2^{n \log n}$ .

3) Binary primitive *BCH-like codes* of length  $n = 2^m - 1$  ( $m \geq 5$  is odd) with size  $2^{n-2 \log(n+1)}$ , minimum distance  $d = 5$ , covering radius  $\rho = 3$  and packing parameters  $\alpha_0 = \alpha_1 = 1, \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = \frac{6}{n-1}$  (see [2]).

4) Binary *Goethals codes* (these codes are also known as *Goethals-like codes*) of length  $n = 2^m - 1$  ( $m \geq 4$  is even) with size  $2^{n-3 \log(n+1)+2}$ , minimum distance  $d = 7$ , covering radius  $\rho = 5$  and packing parameters  $\alpha_0 = \alpha_1 = 1, \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = \frac{15}{2n}, \alpha_4 = \alpha_5 = \frac{30}{n(n-3)}$  (see [7, 3]).

**Corollary 2.** *The number of distinct binary Goethals codes of length  $n$  with minimum distance 7 is not more than*

$$2^{2^{n-\frac{7}{2} \log n + o(\log n)}}.$$

5) Binary primitive *BCH-like codes* of length  $n = 2^m - 1$  ( $m \geq 5$  is odd) with size  $2^{n-3 \log(n+1)}$ , minimum distance  $d = 7$ , covering radius  $\rho = 5$  and packing parameters  $\alpha_0 = \alpha_1 = 1, -\alpha_2 = -\alpha_3 = \alpha_4 = \alpha_5 = \frac{120}{(n-1)(n-7)}$  (see [7]).

The author is grateful to Denis S. Krotov for essential remarks that help to extend the area of codes for which Theorem 1 takes place.

## References

- [1] S. V. Avgustinovich, “On one property of the perfect binary codes,” *Discrete Analysis and Operation Research*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 4–6, 1995 [in Russian].
- [2] L. A. Bassalygo, G. V. Zaitsev, and V. A. Zinoviev, “Uniformly Packed Codes,” *Probl. Inform. Trans.*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 6–9, 1974.
- [3] V. A. Zinoviev and T. Helleseth, “On Weight Distributions of Shifts of Goethals-like Codes,” *Probl. Inform. Trans.*, vol. 40, no. 2, pp. 118–134, 2004.
- [4] F. J. MacWilliams and N. J. A. Sloane, “The Theory of Error-Correcting Codes,” *North-Holland: Amsterdam*, 1977.
- [5] N. V. Semakov, V. A. Zinoviev, and Zaitsev G. V., “Uniformly Packed Codes,” *Probl. Inform. Trans.*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 30–39, 1971.
- [6] N. N. Tokareva, “Representation of  $\mathbb{Z}_4$ -Linear Preparata Codes Using Vector Fields,” *Probl. Inform. Trans.*, vol. 41, no. 2, pp. 113–124, 2004.
- [7] J. M. Goethals and H. C. A. Van Tilborg, “Uniformly packed codes,” *Philips Res. Repts.*, vol. 30, pp. 9–36, 1975.

- [8] J. Rifa, V. A. Zinoviev, “On completely regular codes from perfect codes,” *Proc. Tenth Int. Workshop «Algebraic and Combinatorial Coding Theory», Zvenigorod, Russia*, pp. 225–229, September, 3–9, 2006.

Sobolev Institute of mathematics SB RAS, ac. Koptug av., 4,  
Novosibirsk State University, Pirogova st., 2  
630090 Novosibirsk, Russian Federation.  
E-mail: tokareva@math.nsc.ru  
Web: [www.math.nsc.ru/~tokareva](http://www.math.nsc.ru/~tokareva)

Paper submitted March 14, 2007.