

Closures of finite permutation groups (Lectures 7,8)

Ilia Ponomarenko^a and Andrey Vasil'ev^b

^a St.Petersburg Department Steklov Mathematical Institute, St.Petersburg, Russia

^b Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Novosibirsk, Russia

The G2A2-Summer School, Novosibirsk
03.08-16.08.2025

The plan of the lecture

At present the k -closure problem in the class of all solvable groups is open. We will talk about polynomial-time algorithms for

- ① reduction to basic primitive groups,
- ② odd order groups and all k ,
- ③ all solvable groups and $k \geq 3$,
- ④ all supersolvable groups and $k = 2$ (briefly).

Recall that a permutation group is *nonbasic* if it is contained in a wreath product with the product action; it is *basic* otherwise.

The embedding problem: statement

The signs \times , \wr , and \uparrow denote the operations of direct and wreath product in imprimitive action and primitive action, respectively.

\star -embedding problem: given $G \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$ and $\star \in \{\times, \wr, \uparrow\}$ test whether there exists an embedding $G \rightarrow K \star L$ for some sections $K \leq \text{Sym}(\Delta)$ and $L \leq \text{Sym}(\Gamma)$ of G , such that $|\Delta| < |\Omega|$ and $|\Gamma| < |\Omega|$, and if so, then to find the embedding explicitly.

Under the embedding $G \rightarrow K \star L$, a bijection f from Ω to the underlying set of the permutation group $K \star L$, such that

$$f^{-1}Gf \leq K \star L.$$

Exercise 1. Solve the \star -embedding problem for intransitive G and $\star = \times$, and for imprimitive G and $\star = \wr$, see also [Seress, 2002].

The embedding problem: theorem

Theorem [Pon–Vasil'ev, 2024] Let $G \leq \text{Sym}(n)$, $\star \in \{\times, \wr, \uparrow\}$. Assume that G is imprimitive if $\star = \wr$, and primitive if $\star = \uparrow$. Then the \star -embedding problem for G can be solved in time $\text{poly}(n)$.

Sketch of the proof (for $\star = \uparrow$).

- ① Find $S = \text{Soc}(G)$ of G and test whether or not S is abelian.
- ② If S is abelian, then $S = C_p \times \cdots \times C_p$ (d times) and can be identified with a d -dim linear space over \mathbb{F}_p ($n = p^d$).
- ③ Find a minimal subspace $\Delta \subseteq \Omega$ so that Ω is the direct sum of the subspaces belonging to the set $\Gamma = \{\Delta^g : g \in G\}$.
- ④ Set $L = G^\Gamma$ and K to be the restriction of the setwise stabilizer of Δ in G to Δ .
- ⑤ Now G embeds to $K \uparrow L$ or $\Delta = \Omega$.
- ⑥ If S is not abelian, then the proof is more complicated.

Primitive odd order groups

Let G be a primitive odd order group. By the Suprunenko theory, we may assume that $G \leq \text{AGL}_d(p)$ for some $d \geq 1$ and prime p .

Theorem [Evdok-Pon, 2001] Suppose that the group G is basic. Then $G = G^{(2)}$ or $G \leq \text{A}\Gamma\text{L}_1(p^d) \leq \text{AGL}_d(p)$.

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \Omega$ and $\bar{G} = G^{(2)}$.

- ① Assume that G is not embedded to $\text{A}\Gamma\text{L}_1(p^d)$.
- ② By [Seress, 1996], G_α and \bar{G}_α have a faithful regular orbit Δ .
- ③ Hence $|G_\alpha| = |\Delta| = |\bar{G}_\alpha|$, and so $|G| = |\bar{G}|$.
- ④ Since $G \leq \bar{G}$, we conclude that $G = \bar{G} = G^{(2)}$.

Corollary. Given G as in the theorem, one can efficiently find an odd order group H such that $G^{(2)} \leq H$.

Proof. If $G \leq \text{A}\Gamma\text{L}_1(p^d)$, then H is the Hall $2'$ -subgroup of $\text{A}\Gamma\text{L}_1(p^d)$; otherwise, $H := G = G^{(2)}$.

The k -closure problem for odd order groups

Theorem [Evdok-Pon, 2001]. Let $k \geq 1$. Then given an odd order group $G \leq \text{Sym}(n)$, one can find $\bar{G} = G^{(k)}$ in time $\text{poly}(n)$.

Let $k \geq 2$. By the Babai-Luks theorem, it suffices to find an odd order group $\bar{G} \leq H \leq \text{Sym}(n)$, and output $\bar{G} := \bar{G} \cap H$.

Algorithm (finding the group H).

- ① If G is basic primitive, then output H as in the corollary.
- ② By the \star -embedding theorem, find groups K and L , and an embedding $G \leq K * L$, where $* \in \{\times, \wr, \uparrow\}$.
- ③ Recursively find $\bar{K} = K^{(k)}$ and $\bar{L} = L^{(k)}$.
- ④ Output $H = \bar{K} * \bar{L}$.

Correctness: $G^{(k)} \leq (K * L)^{(k)} \leq K^{(k)} * L^{(k)}$.

Exercise 2. Verify the time bound.

General reduction: statement

Given a class \mathfrak{K} of (abstract) groups, denote by \mathfrak{K}_n the class of all permutation groups of degree at most n that belong to \mathfrak{K} .

Theorem [Pon–Vasil'ev, 2024] Let $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \geq 3$, and \mathfrak{K} a complete class of groups. Then

- ① \mathfrak{K}_n is closed with respect to taking the k -closure if and only if \mathfrak{K}_n contains the k -closure of every primitive basic group in \mathfrak{K}_n ,
- ② the k -closure of any group in \mathfrak{K}_n can be found in time $\text{poly}(n)$ by accessing oracles for finding the k -closure of every primitive basic group in \mathfrak{K}_n and the relative k -closure of every group in \mathfrak{K}_n with respect to any group in \mathfrak{K}_n .

Remark. If \mathfrak{K} is the class of solvable groups, then statement (1) does not true for $k < 3$ (hint: the 2-closure of a 2-transitive solvable group of degree ≥ 5 is not solvable).

General reduction: algorithm (1)

Step 1. If $G \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$ is intransitive, imprimitive, or primitive, we set $\star = \times, \wr$, or \uparrow , respectively. Test in time $\text{poly}(n)$ whether there exists an embedding of G to $K \star L$ for some sections

$$K \leq \text{Sym}(\Delta) \quad \text{and} \quad L \leq \text{Sym}(\Gamma)$$

of G , such that the numbers $n_K = |\Delta|$ and $n_L = |\Gamma|$ are less than $n = |\Omega|$, and if so, then find the embedding explicitly.

Step 2. If there is no such embedding, then G is primitive basic, belongs to \mathcal{R}_n , and the k -closure of G can be found for the cost of one call of the corresponding oracle.

Now G is not primitive basic and we have a bijection f from Ω to the underlying set of $K \star L$, such $f^{-1}Gf \leq K \star L$. Since $f^{-1}G^{(k)}f = (f^{-1}Gf)^{(k)}$, we may assume that

$$G \leq K \star L.$$

General reduction: algorithm (2)

Step 3. Since $K \in \mathfrak{K}_{n_K}$ and $L \in \mathfrak{K}_{n_L}$ (the class \mathfrak{K} is complete), we apply the algorithm recursively to K and L to find the groups $K^{(k)}$ and $L^{(k)}$ in time $\text{poly}(n_K)$ and $\text{poly}(n_L)$, respectively, and then the group $K^{(k)} \star L^{(k)}$ in time $\text{poly}(n)$.

Step 4. By induction, $K^{(k)} \in \mathfrak{K}_{n_K}$ and $L^{(k)} \in \mathfrak{K}_{n_L}$, whence $K^{(k)} \star L^{(k)} \in \mathfrak{K}_n$. By theorems about the closures, we have

$$G^{(k)} \leq (K \star L)^{(k)} \leq K^{(k)} \star L^{(k)}.$$

Accessing (one time) the oracle for finding the relative k -closure of G with respect to $K^{(k)} \star L^{(k)}$, we find the group $G^{(k)}$.

General reduction: running time

Let us estimate the number of the oracles calls. Each recursive call divides the problem for a group of degree n to the same problem for a group K of degree n_K and for a group L of degree n_L . Moreover,

$$n = \begin{cases} n_K + n_L & \text{if } \star = \times, \\ n_K \cdot n_L & \text{if } \star = \wr, \\ n_K^{n_L} & \text{if } \star = \uparrow. \end{cases}$$

Thus the total number of recursive calls and hence the number of accessing oracles is at most n .

Exercise 3. Prove that if statement (1) of the theorem holds also for the class \mathfrak{K} and $k = 2$, then statement (2) holds for $k = 2$ too.

The k -closure problem for solvable groups ($k \geq 3$)

Theorem [Pon–Vasil’ev, 2024] Given an integer $k \geq 3$, the k -closure of a solvable permutation group of degree n can be found in time $n^{O(k)}$.

Proof

- ① The class \mathfrak{K} of all solvable groups is complete.
- ② The relative k -closure of any $G \in \mathfrak{K}_n$ wrt any $H \in \mathfrak{K}_n$ can be found in time $\text{poly}(n)$ by the Babai-Luks algorithm.
- ③ By the reduction theorem, it suffices to find $G^{(3)}$ in time $\text{poly}(n)$ for any primitive basic group $G \in \mathfrak{K}_n$.
- ④ If $b(G) = 2$, then $G = G^{(3)}$ by the Wielandt theorem.
- ⑤ If $b(G) \geq 3$ and $n > n_0$ for some large enough $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, then $G \leq \text{AGL}_1(q)$ and $G = G^{(3)}$ by [Yang et al, 2020].
- ⑥ Find $G^{(3)}$ by inspecting all permutations of $\text{Sym}(n_0)$.

The 2-closure of supersolvable groups (1)

Recall that a finite group is *supersolvable* if it contains a normal series with cyclic factors. [Example: the group $\text{AGL}_1(q)$ is supersolvable iff q is a prime.]

The class of supersolvable groups is not invariant with respect to taking the 2-closure: if p is a prime, then $\text{AGL}_1(p)^{(2)} = \text{Sym}(p)$.

Theorem [Ponom–Vasil'ev, 2020] Given a supersolvable group $G \leq \text{Sym}(n)$, the group $G^{(2)}$ can be found in time $\text{poly}(n)$.

The main idea of the algorithm is a consequence of the following fact also proved in [Ponom–Vasil'ev, 2020]: *every composition factor of the 2-closure of a supersolvable group is either a cyclic group or an alternating group of prime degree.*

The 2-closure of supersolvable groups (2)

A sketch of the algorithm finding the 2-closure of a (transitive) supersolvable group $G \leq \text{Sym}(n)$.

- ① Find an embedding of G into the iterated wreath product of $s \geq 1$ groups $G_i \leq \text{AGL}_1(p_i)$ with prime p_i :

$$\text{Wr}(G_1, \dots, G_s) = G_1 \wr G_2 \wr \dots \wr G_s \leq \text{Sym}(n),$$

- ② The group $\bar{G} = G^{(2)}$ has sections $G_i \leq \bar{G}_i \leq \text{Sym}(p_i)$ such that $\text{Wr}(G_1, \dots, G_s) \leq \bar{G} \leq \text{Wr}(\bar{G}_1, \dots, \bar{G}_s)$.
- ③ For each $i = 1, \dots, s$, put

$$(H_i, \bar{H}_i) = \begin{cases} (C_{p_i}, \text{Wr}(\dots, 1, \bar{G}_i, 1, \dots)) & \text{if } \bar{G}_i = \text{Sym}(p_i), \\ (G_i, 1) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- ④ Construct the relative 2-closure \bar{H} of the group G with respect to $\text{Wr}(H_1, \dots, H_s)$ and output $\bar{G} = \langle \bar{H}, \bar{H}_1, \dots, \bar{H}_s \rangle$.

What's next?

Recall that the class \mathfrak{K} of the $\text{Alt}(d)$ -free groups with $d \geq 25$ is closed with respect to the k -closure for $k \geq 4$, see [Ponom et al, 2025]. *Whether the k -closure problem can be solved for a group in \mathfrak{K} in polynomial time?* [an ongoing project with A. V. Vasil'ev].

Ambitious problem: find a polynomial-time algorithm that constructs the 100-closure of an arbitrary permutation group.

The 2-closure problem for solvable group. As an example in [Skresanov, 2019] shows there are solvable permutation groups G such that $G^{(2)}$ has a nonabelian composition factor which is isomorphic to no alternating group. This is an obstacle for the 2-closure problem.

Question. Let $G \leq \text{Sym}(n)$ be a solvable primitive basic group. Is it true that if n is large enough, then any nonabelian composition factor of $G^{(2)}$ is an alternating group?

Schurian color graphs: definition and problems

For every undirected graph \mathfrak{X} , the group $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{X})$ is 2-closed. The converse is not true: $C_2 \times C_2 \leq \text{Sym}(4)$ equals $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{X})$ for no \mathfrak{X} . However, every 2-closed group is $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{X})$ for some arc colored \mathfrak{X} .

An arc colored graph \mathfrak{X} can be thought as a partition \mathcal{X} of $\Omega \times \Omega$: each color class of arcs of \mathfrak{X} is a class of \mathcal{X} . The colored graph \mathfrak{X} is *schurian* if $\mathcal{X} = \text{Orb}_2(G)$ for some $G \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$.

Main open problems

- ① *Recognition*: whether a given arc colored graph is schurian?
- ② *Isomorphism*: whether two schurian graphs are isomorphic?
- ③ *Automorphism*: given a schurian graph \mathfrak{X} , find $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{X})$.

The recognition problem (with a certain certificate at output) is polynomially equivalent to the graph isomorphism problem; the automorphism problem is the 2-closure problem.

Schurian color graphs: some results

For many “natural combinatorial” classes of graphs, solving the isomorphism problem implies solving the *recognition*, *isomorphism*, *automorphism* problems for the corresponding class of schurian color graphs. Examples: graphs with bounded Hadwiger number (max degree, max multiplicity of spectra, etc.)

All the problems (*recognition*, *isomorphism*, *automorphism*) are solved in polynomial time for schurian color graphs coming from:

- ① the odd order groups [Ponom, 2012],
- ② the groups having regular cyclic subgroup [Evdok-Ponom, 2004], [Ponom, 2006].

The *isomorphism* and *automorphism* problems are solved in polynomial time for $3/2$ -transitive groups in [Vasil'ev–Churikov, 2019]; the *recognition* problem is still open.

Schurian color graphs: the rank 3 case

The *rank* of a schurian color graph is the number of color classes. When it equals 3 (the first interesting case), we come to the rank 3 graphs. Example: the Paley graphs and tournaments.

By [Skresanov, 2021], the automorphism groups of the rank 3 schurian color graphs are known. It seems that the *isomorphism* and *automorphism* problems inside this class can be solved.

By the Skresanov result *isomorphism* problem in the class of rank 3 schurian color graphs reduces to the *recognition* problem for this class, that is still open.

It seems that the *recognition* problem in the class of rank 3 schurian color graphs can be solved by the k -dim Weisfeiler-Leman algorithm for k bounded from above by an absolute constant.

Some problems relating with the k -closure problem

Problem 1. Given group $G, H \leq \text{Sym}(n)$ and $k \geq 1$, test whether G and H are k -equivalent.

Problem 2. Given a group $G \leq \text{Sym}(n)$ and $k \geq 1$, test whether G is k -closed.

The obvious algorithm solves Problems 1 and 2 in time $n^{O(k)}$ and the real problem is to find an algorithm running in time $\text{poly}(n, k)$.

Problem 3. Given a group $G \leq \text{Sym}(n)$, find the smallest $k \geq 1$ such that G is k -closed.

It is not quit clear how to approach Problem 3 even if the group G is abelian (and hence each transitive constituent of G is regular).

Exercise 4. Prove that all problems 1–3 can be solved in time $\text{poly}(n)$ in the class of all abelian groups G with $b(G) \leq \text{const}$.

Linear codes and closures of abelian groups

A linear code of length n is a linear subspace C of an n -dim space Ω over \mathbb{F}_q . The elements $\alpha \in C$ correspond to the permutations

$$f_v : (x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (x_1 + \alpha_1, \dots, x_n + \alpha_n), \quad (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in V.$$

C becomes a group $G_C \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$ with n orbits each of size q .

Question 1. What does it mean in code theoretical terms that the group G_C associate with a code C is k -closed for some k ?

Question 2. Is there any relationship between the equivalence of codes C and D of the same length and the k -equivalence of the permutation groups G_C and G_D associated with C and D ?

Even the case of prime $q = p$ is interesting (in this case G_C is an elementary abelian p -group with n orbits of length p).

Bibliography (1)



S. Evdokimov and I. Ponomarenko, *Two-closure of odd permutation group in polynomial time*, Discrete Math. **235** (2001), no. 1-3, 221–232.



S. Evdokimov and I. Ponomarenko, *Recognizing and isomorphism testing circulant graphs in polynomial time*, St. Petersburg Math. J. **15** (2004), no. 6, 813–835.



I. Ponomarenko, *Determination of the automorphism group of a circulant association scheme in polynomial time*, J. Math. Sci., New York **136** (2006), no. 3, 3972–3979.



I. Ponomarenko, S. V. Skresanov, and A. V. Vasil'ev, *Closures of permutation groups with restricted nonabelian composition factors*, Bulletin of Mathematical Sciences (2025), 2550012.



I. Ponomarenko and A. Vasil'ev, *Two-closure of supersolvable permutation group in polynomial time*, Computational Complexity **29** (2020), no. 5.



I. Ponomarenko and A. V. Vasil'ev, *On computing the closures of solvable permutation groups*, Internat. J. Algebra Comput. **34** (2024), no. 1, 137–145.



I. N. Ponomarenko, *Bases of Schurian antisymmetric coherent configurations and isomorphism test for Schurian tournaments*, Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI) **402** (2012), no. Kombinatorika i Teoriya Grafov. IV, 108–147.



Á. Seress, *The minimal base size of primitive solvable permutation groups*, J. London Math. Soc. **53** (1996), 243–255.



Á. Seress, *Permutation group algorithms*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2002.

Bibliography (2)



S. V. Skresanov, *Counterexample to two conjectures in the Kourovka notebook*, Algebra Logika **58** (2019), no. 3, 370–375.



S. V. Skresanov, *On 2-closures of rank 3 groups*, Ars Math. Contemp. **21** (2021), no. 1, Paper No. 8, 20.



A. V. Vasil'ev and D. V. Churikov, *2-closures of $\frac{3}{2}$ -transitive groups in polynomial time*, Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. **60** (2019), no. 2, 360–375.



Y. Yang, Al. Vasil'ev, and E. Vdovin, *Regular orbits of finite primitive solvable groups, III*, J. Algebra, **590** (2020) 1–13.