ON RECOGNITION OF THE DIRECT SQUARES OF THE SIMPLE GROUPS WITH ABELIAN SYLOW 2-SUBGROUPS

T. LI, A. R. MOGHADDAMFAR, A. V. VASIL'EV, AND ZH. WANG

ABSTRACT. The spectrum of a group is the set of orders of its elements. Finite groups with the same spectra as the direct squares of the finite simple groups with abelian Sylow 2-subgroups are considered. It is proved that the direct square $J_1 \times J_1$ of the sporadic Janko group J_1 and the direct squares ${}^2G_2(q) \times {}^2G_2(q)$ of the simple small Ree groups ${}^2G_2(q)$ are uniquely characterized by their spectra in the class of finite groups, while for the direct square $PSL_2(q) \times PSL_2(q)$ of a 2-dimensional simple linear group $PSL_2(q)$, there are always infinitely many groups (even solvable groups) with the same spectra.

Dedicated to Professor Shi Wujie on the occasion of his 80th birthday

1. INTRODUCTION

Given a finite group G, the spectrum $\omega(G)$ is the set of orders of the elements of G. Thirty years ago in the *Ricerche di Matematica*, see [4], R. Brandl and W. Shi established that every finite nonabelian simple group with abelian Sylow 2-subgroups is uniquely (up to isomorphism) characterized by its spectrum in the class of finite groups. It was one of the first remarkable results in the field that has become quite popular in recent decades. In particular, the marvelous conjecture made by W. Shi in 1987 turned out to be true: every finite simple group is uniquely characterized by its spectrum and order in the class of all finite groups [25]. The latter result found various applications in algebra and computational complexity, see, e.g., [3, 12, 18].

Here, we are interested in finite groups that are uniquely determined by their spectra only. Groups G and H are called *isospectral* if $\omega(G) = \omega(H)$. A group G is said to be *recognizable* (by spectrum) if G is isomorphic to every group isospectral to it, almost recognizable if there are only finitely many groups isospectral to G, and unrecognizable otherwise.

By the well-known theorem of V. D. Mazurov and W. Shi [21], a finite group which includes a nontrivial normal abelian subgroup is unrecognizable, so the problem of recognizability by spectrum is interesting only for groups with trivial solvable radical. Despite the fact that there are a huge number of simple groups recognizable by spectrum (see [11, Theorem 2.1]), up to now there were only two examples of a recognizable group that is the direct square of a simple group: $Sz(2^7) \times Sz(2^7)$ [20] and $J_4 \times J_4$ [9]. The reason for this is that the standard methods of proving the nonsolvability of groups isospectral to a simple group are based on the properties of its prime graph. Recall that the prime graph $\Gamma(G)$ of a group G is a graph with vertex set $\pi(G)$, the set of prime divisors of the order of G, in which two vertices p and q are adjacent if and only if $p \neq q$ and $pq \in \omega(G)$; we also denote by t(G) the maximum number of pairwise nonadjacent vertices in $\Gamma(G)$. Clearly, such methods are not applicable

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: 20D60, 20D06.

Keywords: simple group, group with abelian Sylow 2-subgroups, small Ree group, sporadic Janko group, spectrum of a group, recognition by spectrum.

A. V. Vasil'ev was supported by RAS Fundamental Research Program, project FWNF-2022-0002, and by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 12171126).

to proving the nonsolvability of a group isospectral to the square of a group, since in this case, the corresponding graph is always complete.

Recently in [30, Theorem 1], a helpful criterion of nonsolvability of a finite group was established. A corollary of this criterion yields that for every finite group L with $t(L) \ge 4$, a group G isospectral to the direct square $L \times L$ must be nonsolvable [30, Corollary 2]. Using this result, the authors of that paper proved that the direct square $Sz(q) \times Sz(q)$ of the simple exceptional Suzuki group Sz(q) is recognizable by spectrum for every $q = 2^{\alpha}$, $5 \neq \alpha \ge 3$ odd, while for $Sz(2^5) \times Sz(2^5)$, there are exactly four finite groups with the same spectra [30, Theorems 3 and 4].

In the present paper, we consider the direct squares of the finite nonabelian simple groups with abelian Sylow 2-subgroups. The finite groups with abelian Sylow 2-subgroups were described (up to some details covered only by the classification of finite simple groups) by J. H. Walter in [29], see details in Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 below. Essentially, a nonabelian simple group with abelian Sylow 2-subgroups is either a 2-dimensional linear group $L_2(q) = PSL_2(q)$ (here and further we use the *Atlas one-letter* notation for simple groups, see [6]), a small Ree group $R(q) = {}^2G_2(q), q = 3^{\alpha}, \alpha \geq 3$ odd, or the sporadic Janko group J_1 .

It is well known that t(L) = 3 for $L = L_2(q)$, t(L) = 4 for the Janko group J_1 , and t(L) = 5 for the small Ree groups R(q) [26]. It turns out that the direct square of a simple group $L = L_2(q)$ (regardless of whether its Sylow 2-subgroups are abelian or not) is unrecognizable by spectrum, moreover, it is easy to construct a solvable group isospectral to L, see Proposition 3.4 below. On the other hand, all other nonabelian simple groups with abelian Sylow 2-subgroups are recognizable by spectrum.

Theorem 1.1. Let L = R(q), where $q = 3^{\alpha}$, $\alpha \ge 3$ odd. If G is a finite group isospectral to $L \times L$, then $G \simeq L \times L$.

Theorem 1.2. If G is a finite group isospectral to $J_1 \times J_1$, then $G \simeq J_1 \times J_1$.

As a consequence of these results, we completely solve the recognition problem for the direct squares of the simple groups with abelian Sylow 2-subgroups.

Corollary 1.3. Suppose that L is a simple group with abelian Sylow 2-subgroups. Then the direct square $L \times L$ of L is recognizable by spectrum if L is either a small Ree group R(q) or the sporadic Janko group J_1 , and $L \times L$ is unrecognizable otherwise.

Walter's classification and the results obtained here lead naturally to the next challenging question.

Problem 1.4. Which finite groups with abelian Sylow 2-subgroups are recognizable by their spectra?

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. The preliminary general results are collected in Section 2. The necessary information on the groups with abelian Sylow 2-subgroups is listed in Section 3. Sections 4 and 5 contain the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 respectively.

2. Preliminaries

For a natural number n, we write $\pi(n)$ to denote the set of prime divisors of n. If π is the set of primes, then π' is the set of primes not in π .

Let G be a group. Clearly, the spectrum $\omega(G)$ of G includes the set $\pi(G) = \pi(|G|)$ of the prime divisors of |G|, and the prime graph $\Gamma(G)$ is determined by $\omega(G)$. Furthermore, $\omega(G)$ is closed with respect to taking divisors and therefore it is uniquely defined by its subset $\mu(G)$ consisting of elements maximal with respect to the divisibility relation.

Lemma 2.1 (Bang [2], Zsigmondy [32]). Let $q, n \ge 2$ be integers. Then either there is a prime number r that divides $q^n - 1$ and does not divide $q^i - 1$ for all i < n, or one of the following conditions is satisfied:

(1)
$$q = 2$$
 and $n = 6;$

(2) q is a Mersenne prime and n = 2.

A prime number r from Lemma 2.1 is called a *primitive prime divisor* of $q^n - 1$. Observe that n is the multiplicative order of q modulo r.

Primitive prime divisors are a valuable tool when dealing with element orders of groups of Lie types. If G is such a group over the field of order q and is not a Suzuki or Ree group, then the adjacency of a prime r in $\Gamma(G)$ depends mostly not on r itself but on the order of q modulo r, see details in [26,27]. Unfortunately, the situation for Suzuki and Ree groups is a bit more complicated. We overcome this in the case of small Ree groups with the help of the following lemma, which is a particular case of the main result of [10].

Lemma 2.2. For every odd integer $n \ge 3$ and each $\varepsilon \in \{+, -\}$, there is a prime r that divides $3^n + \varepsilon 3^{(n+1)/2} + 1$ and does not divide $3^{2i} - 3^i + 1$ for all odd i < n.

Analogously, we refer to a number r from Lemma 2.2 as a primitive prime divisor of $3^n + \varepsilon 3^{(n+1)/2} + 1$. Note that $3^{2n} - 3^n + 1 = (3^n - 3^{(n+1)/2} + 1)(3^n + 3^{(n+1)/2} + 1)$ and the union of all primitive prime divisors of $3^n + \varepsilon 3^{(n+1)/2} + 1$, $\varepsilon \in \{+, -\}$, is the set of primitive prime divisors of $3^{6n} - 1$.

The next lemma and its corollary are the essential ingredients of our proofs.

Lemma 2.3. Let G be a finite group. Suppose that there is a subset $\sigma(G)$ of $\pi(G)$ such that one of the following holds:

- (1) $|\sigma(G)| = 3$ and $pq \notin \omega(G)$ for all distinct $p, q \in \sigma(G)$;
- (2) $|\sigma(G)| = 4$, $pq \in \omega(G)$ for all distinct $p, q \in \sigma(G)$, but $pqr \notin \omega(G)$ for all pairwise distinct $p, q, r \in \sigma(G)$.

Then G is nonsolvable.

Proof. If (1) holds, then it follows from [16, Theorem 1]. For (2), it is [30, Theorem 1]. \Box

Corollary 2.4. Let L and G be finite groups. Suppose that one of the following holds:

- (1) t(L) > 3 and $\Gamma(G) = \Gamma(L)$;
- (2) $t(L) \ge 4$ and $\omega(G) = \omega(L \times L)$.

Then G is nonsolvable.

We need the following two easily established facts.

Lemma 2.5. If p and q are odd prime divisors of the order of a solvable group G, nonadjacent in $\Gamma(G)$, then either a Sylow p-subgroup or a Sylow q-subgroup of G is cyclic.

Proof. We may assume that the group G includes a $\{p, q\}$ -subgroup which is a extension of a p-group by a Sylow q-group of G. The rest follows from [8, Theorem 3.16].

Lemma 2.6. Suppose that for a prime p, a Sylow p-subgroup of the solvable radical R(G) of a nonsolvable group G has a unique subgroup P of order p. If $C = C_G(P)$ is the centralizer of P in G and R(C) is the solvable radical of C, then C has the same nonabelian factors as G and $\operatorname{Soc}(C/R(C)) \simeq \operatorname{Soc}(G/R(G))$.

Proof. On the one hand, the normalizer $N = N_G(P)$ of P in G includes the normalizer of a Sylow p-subgroup of K containing P. Therefore, by the Frattini argument, G = NR(G), so N has the same nonabelian factors as G and $Soc(N/R(N)) \simeq Soc(G/R(G))$, where R(N) is the solvable radical of N. On the other hand, the quotient N/C, being isomorphic to an automorphism group of the cyclic group P, must be abelian. Thus, C has the same nonabelian factors as G and $Soc(C/R(C)) \simeq Soc(G/R(G))$, as required. \Box

Let π be a set of primes. Following [14], we say that a finite group G is a D_{π} -group (or G has the property D_{π}) if G contains a Hall π -subgroup, all Hall π -subgroups of G are conjugate, and every π -subgroup of G is contained in some Hall π -subgroup of G.

Lemma 2.7. [28, Theorem 6.6] Let π be a set of primes, let G be a group and N a normal subgroup of G. The group G is a D_{π} -group if and only if N and G/N are D_{π} -groups.

A group G is called a *cover* of a group H if there exists an epimorphism from G onto H. The following two lemmas contain the well-known facts about the orders of elements in a cover of a finite group.

Lemma 2.8. Given a prime p, suppose that V is a normal abelian p-subgroup of a finite group G and G/V is a Frobenius group with a kernel N and a cyclic complement $\langle x \rangle$. If p does not divide |N| and $N \not\subseteq C_G(V)/V$, then $C_V(x) \neq 1$ and G contains an element of order p|x|.

Proof. See, e.g., [19, Lemma 1].

Lemma 2.9. Given an odd prime p, suppose that V is a normal p-subgroup of a finite group G and G/V is a semidirect product of a p'-group N with abelian Sylow 2-subgroups and a cyclic p-group $\langle x \rangle$. If $O_p(G/V) = 1$ and $N \not\subseteq VC_G(V)/V$, then G contains an element of order p|x|.

Proof. It follows from the Hall-Higman theorem [15, Theorem B].

3. SIMPLE GROUPS WITH ABELIAN SYLOW 2-SUBGROUPS

Walter [29] obtained a characterization of finite groups with abelian Sylow 2-subgroups (up to some details covered only by the classification of finite simple groups).

Lemma 3.1. If G is a nonabelian simple group with an abelian Sylow 2-subgroup S, then S is elementary abelian and G is one of the following groups:

- (1) a linear group $L_2(q), q > 3, q \equiv 3, 5 \pmod{8}$ or $q = 2^{\alpha}$;
- (2) a small Ree group R(q), $q = 3^{\alpha}$, $\alpha \ge 3$ is odd;
- (3) the sporadic Janko group J_1 .

Lemma 3.2. [29, Theorem I] If G is a finite group with an abelian Sylow 2-subgroup, then the group $O^{2'}(G/O_{2'}(G))$ is a direct product of an abelian 2-group and some nonabelian simple groups listed in Lemma 3.1.

The following lemma collects some basic information on the simple linear groups $L_2(q)$.

Lemma 3.3. Let $G = L_2(q)$, where $q = p^{\alpha} \ge 4$, and d = (2, q - 1). Then the following hold:

- (1) $|G| = q(q^2 1)/d;$
- (2) $\mu(G) = \{p, (q-1)/d, (q+1)/d\};$
- (3) if $G \leq H \leq \operatorname{Aut} G$ and |H : G| is odd, then $H = G \rtimes \langle \psi \rangle$, where ψ is a field automorphism of G and $C_G(\psi) \simeq L_2(q^{1/|\psi|})$;
- (4) if q > 9, then the Schur multiplier of G is of order d;
- (5) G includes a Frobenius subgroup with kernel of order q and complement of order (q-1)/d.

We are ready to prove that there are infinitely many finite groups isospectral to the direct square of the group $L_2(q)$ for every $q \ge 4$.

Proposition 3.4. If $L = L_2(q)$, where $q = p^{\alpha} \ge 4$, p a prime, then the direct square $L \times L$ is unrecognizable by spectrum.

Proof. Let d = (2, q-1). We write E_1 and E_2 for the elementary abelian p-groups of orders q and q^2 ; and C_1 and C_2 for the cyclic subgroups of orders (q-1)/d and (q+1)/d, respectively. Let F_1 and F_2 be Frobenius groups with kernels E_1 and E_2 , and complements C_1 and C_2 , respectively. Then $\mu(F_1 \times F_2) = \{p(q-1)/d, p(q+1)/d, (q^2-1)/d^2\} = \mu(L \times L)$ in view of Lemma 3.3(2). Now $L \times L$ is unrecognizable by the main result of [21].

The following two lemmas help to deal with the spectra of covers of groups $L_2(q)$.

Lemma 3.5. Let $G = L_2(q)$, $q = p^{\alpha} \ge 4$, p a prime and $\alpha > 1$, act on \mathbb{F}_r -module V, where $r \ne p$ is an odd prime. Then $C_V(x) > 0$ for every p-element x of G.

Proof. If the action of G on V is not faithful, then $C_G(V) = G$, and we are done. If G acts faithfully, then the lemma follows, e.g., from [7, Proposition 1.2].

Lemma 3.6. Let K be a normal subgroup of a finite group G such that $G/K \simeq L_2(q)$, where $q = p^{\alpha} \ge 4$, $q \ne 9$, p a prime, and (|K|, 2p) = 1. If x and y are nontrivial p-elements of G, then $\pi(C_G(x)) = \pi(C_G(y))$.

Proof. Since p does not divide |K|, we have |x| = |y| = p. By the Sylow theorem, we may also assume that x and y lie in the same Sylow p-subgroup P and their images \overline{x} and \overline{y} in $\overline{G} = G/K$ lie in the image \overline{P} of P isomorphic to P. If P (and so \overline{P}) is cyclic, then there is nothing to prove, so we may assume that q > p. In this case, we will prove that $\pi(C_G(y)) = \pi(K)$ for every p-element y.

Suppose to the contrary that $r \in \pi(K) \setminus \pi(C_G(y))$. Since |K| is odd, K is solvable and, in particular, r-solvable. Consider the upper r-series of K that is a normal r-series:

$$1 = R_0 \le K_1 < R_1 \le K_2 \le \dots \le R_{t-1} < K_t \le R_t = K,$$
(1)

where $K_i/R_{i-1} = O_{r'}(K/R_{i-1})$ and $R_i/K_i = O_r(K/K_i)$ for i = 1, ..., t.

First we suppose that $R = R_t/K_t \neq 1$. Put $V = R/\Phi(R)$ for the factor group of R by its Frattini subgroup $\Phi(R)$. Since \overline{G} acts on V, we arrive at a contradiction by Lemma 3.5. Thus, $K = K_t > R_{t-1}$ and $R_{t-1} > K_{t-1}$. It suffices to prove that $\widetilde{K} = K/R_{t-1}$ must be a direct factor in $\widetilde{G} = G/R_{t-1}$, because if so, \overline{G} acts on $V = R/\Phi(R)$, where $R = R_{t-1}/K_{t-1}$ and we can argue as in the previous case.

We will prove by induction on the order of \widetilde{K} that $\widetilde{G} = \widetilde{K} \times \widetilde{L}$, where $\widetilde{L} \simeq L_2(q)$. Let U be a minimal normal in \widetilde{G} subgroup of \widetilde{K} . Then U is an elementary abelian s-subgroup for some odd prime $s \neq p$. By inductive hypothesis, $\widetilde{G}/U = \widetilde{K}/U \times \widetilde{H}/U$, where $\widetilde{H}/U \simeq L_2(q)$. If $C_{\widetilde{H}}(U) = U$, then $[U, \widetilde{y}] \neq 1$, where \widetilde{y} is the image of y in \widetilde{G} . It follows that $F = [U, \widetilde{y}]\langle \widetilde{y} \rangle$ is a Frobenius group acting on the r-group V. Moreover, $C_{K/K_{t-1}}(V) \leq V$ due to definition

of the upper r-series (1). The application of Lemma 2.8 yields $C_V(\tilde{y}) \neq 1$, so $r \in \pi(C_G(y))$, a contradiction.

Thus, $C_{\widetilde{H}}(U) = \widetilde{H}$. Since the Schur multiplier of $L_2(q)$, $q \neq 9$, is a 2-group, it follows that $\widetilde{H} = U \times \widetilde{L}$, where $\widetilde{L} \simeq L_2(q)$. Since $[\widetilde{K}, \widetilde{L}] \leq U$, the equalities $[\widetilde{K}, \widetilde{L}, \widetilde{L}] = 1$ and $[\widetilde{L}, \widetilde{K}, \widetilde{L}] = 1$ hold, and hence $[\widetilde{L}, \widetilde{K}] = [\widetilde{L}, \widetilde{L}, \widetilde{K}] = 1$.

The next two lemmas accumulate information on the small Ree groups $R(q) = {}^{2}G_{2}(q)$, that we need in further considerations.

Lemma 3.7. Let G = R(q), where $q = 3^{\alpha}$, $\alpha \ge 3$ is odd. Then the following hold:

- (1) $|G| = q^3(q-1)(q^3+1);$
- (2) $\mu(G) = \{6, 9, q 1, (q + 1)/2, q \sqrt{3q} + 1, q + \sqrt{3q} + 1\};$
- (3) Aut $G = G \rtimes \langle \varphi \rangle$, where φ is a field automorphism of G of order α , and if $\psi \in \langle \varphi \rangle$, then $C_G(\psi) \simeq R(q^{1/|\psi|})$;
- (4) the Schur multiplier of G is trivial.

Proof. Items (1) and (3) were proved by Ree [22]. Item (2) is [4, Lemma 4]. Item (4) was established in [1]. \Box

Lemma 3.8. Let G = R(q), where $q \ge 27$, and $g \in G$ an r-element for a prime $r \ne 3$. If G acts faithfully on a p-group V for some prime p, then the coset Vg of the natural semidirect product $V \rtimes G$ contains an element of order p|g|.

Proof. We can suppose that V is an elementary abelian p-group and consider V as an irreducible G-module. If $p \neq 3$, then in virtue of [23, Theorem 1.1], the minimal polynomial of g in this representation is equal to $x^{|g|} - 1$. Hence, there exists $v \in V$ such that $v(1+g+g^2+\cdots+g^{|g|-1})\neq 0$. Then $(vg)^{|g|}\neq 0$, so |vg|=p|g|. On the other hand, by [13], the group G is unisingular, that is every element of G has a nonzero fixed point in every G-module over a field of characteristic 3, so the lemma holds in the case p=3.

Now we turn to the sporadic Janko group J_1 .

Lemma 3.9. Let $G = J_1$. Then the following hold:

- (1) $|G| = 2^3 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 19;$
- (2) $\mu(G) = \{6, 7, 10, 11, 15, 19\};$
- (3) Aut G = G and the Schur multiplier of G is trivial.

Proof. See [6, p. 36].

Lemma 3.10. Let $G = J_1$ and let $g \in G$ be an element of odd prime order $p \neq 11$. If G acts faithfully on a p-group V, then the coset Vg of the natural semidirect product $V \rtimes G$ contains an element of order p^2 .

Proof. We can suppose that V is an elementary abelian p-group and consider V as an absolutely irreducible G-module. The rest follows from [31, Theorem 1.1]. \Box

The following lemmas list some general properties of simple groups with abelian Sylow 2-subgroups.

Lemma 3.11. If G is a nonabelian simple group with an abelian Sylow 2-subgroup, then the normalizer of a Sylow 3-subgroup of G is solvable.

Proof. Let T be a Sylow 3-subgroup of G and N the normalizer of T in G. According to Lemma 3.1, G is isomorphic to $L_2(q)$, R(q), or J_1 . If $G = J_1$, then N is isomorphic to the direct product of two dihedral groups of orders 6 and 10 [6, p. 36]. Hence we may assume that G is a group of Lie type. If the characteristic of G equals 3, then N is a Borel subgroup of G, and hence N is solvable. Thus, it remains to deal with the case, when $G = L_2(q)$ and 3 divides the order of some torus U of G. Here, on the one hand, N includes the normalizer M of U in G, while on the other hand, M is a maximal subgroup of G, so N = M is isomorphic to a dihedral group (see, e.g., [5, Table 8.1]).

Lemma 3.12. Let G be a nonabelian simple group with an abelian Sylow 2-subgroup. Then |G| is coprime to 5 if and only if G is one of the following groups:

- (1) $L_2(q)$ and $q \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{5}$;
- (2) $R(q), q = 3^{\alpha}, \alpha \ge 3$ is odd.

Proof. This can be easily deduced from Lemmas 3.3(2) and 3.7(2) and the fact that 5 divides $p^4 - 1$ whenever p is a prime distinct from 5.

4. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let L = R(q), where $q = 3^{\alpha}$, $\alpha \ge 3$ odd. By Lemma 3.7(2),

$$\mu(L) = \{6, 9, q-1, (q+1)/2, q-\sqrt{3q+1}, q+\sqrt{3q+1}\}.$$

Put $m_1 = 6$, $m_2 = 9$, $m_3 = q - 1$, $m_4 = (q + 1)/2$, $m_5 = q - \sqrt{3q} + 1$, $m_6 = q + \sqrt{3q} + 1$. As easily seen, if $1 \le i < j \le 6$, then $(m_i, m_j) = 2$ for $i, j \in \{1, 3, 4\}$ and $(m_i, m_j) = 1$ otherwise. In particular, t(L) = 5. Suppose G is a finite group such that

$$\mu(G) = \mu(L \times L) = \{ [m_i, m_j] \mid 1 \le i < j \le 6, \ (i, j) \ne (1, 3), (1, 4) \}$$

Corollary 2.4 implies that G is nonsolvable.

Let K be the solvable radical of G and $\overline{G} = G/K$. By Lemma 3.2, the socle $S = \operatorname{Soc}(\overline{G})$ of \overline{G} , i.e., the product of the minimal normal subgroups of G, is a direct product of finite nonabelian simple groups: $S = L_1 \times L_2 \times \cdots \times L_k$, where factors L_i are isomorphic to some groups from the conclusion of Lemma 3.1. Since $5 \notin \omega(G)$, Lemma 3.12 makes the list of possible simple factors L_i even narrower.

Lemma 4.1. For every i = 1, ..., k, either $L_i \simeq R(u)$, $u = 3^{\alpha_i}$, $\alpha_i \ge 3$ odd, or $L_i \simeq L_2(u)$, where $u \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{5}$ and either $u \equiv 3, 5 \pmod{8}$ or $u = 2^{\alpha_i}$, $\alpha_i \ge 3$ odd. In particular, if $L_i \notin \{L_2(8), L_2(13)\}$, then $u \ge 27$.

Obviously, $2, 3 \in \pi(L_i)$ for every $L_i, i = 1, \ldots, k$.

Put $\pi_1 = \{2\}$, $\pi_2 = \{3\}$, and $\pi_i = \pi(m_i) \setminus \{2\}$ for i = 3, 4, 5, 6. Further, we will often use the obvious fact that the product of three odd primes from different π_i cannot belong to $\omega(G)$.

Lemma 4.2. For every three primes from different π_i , $i \in \{3, 4, 5, 6\}$, at least two of them divide $|\overline{G}|$. In particular, there are distinct $a, b \in \{3, 4, 5, 6\}$ such that $\pi_a \cup \pi_b \subseteq \pi(\overline{G})$.

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that three primes p, q, s from different π_i , $i \in \{3, 4, 5, 6\}$, divide only |K|. Let R be a Sylow r-subgroup of G for a prime r from the remaining fourth component. Observe that K and \overline{G} are D_{σ} -groups for $\sigma = \{p, q, s, r\}$, because K is solvable and $\sigma \cap \pi(\overline{G}) = \{r\}$. It follows from Lemma 2.7 that G is also a D_{σ} -group. Consider now a Hall σ -subgroup H of the solvable group KR. It is clear that H is a Hall σ -subgroup of G. Since every σ -subgroup of G is conjugate to a subgroup of H (cf. the definition of a D_{π} -group), the spectrum of H contains the products of every two distinct primes from σ and does not contain the product of any three of such primes. Thus, the group H and the set σ satisfy the hypothesis of Lemma 2.3(2); this contradicts the solvability of H. \Box

Further we denote by G_1 the preimage of S in G.

Lemma 4.3. The number k of factors of S does not exceed 2.

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that $k \geq 3$. First, assume that $\pi(S)$ meets nontrivially at least two of π_i for $i \geq 3$. Then, having in mind that the order of every L_i must be divided by a prime greater than 3, we may assume that up to reordering of direct factors in S, $\pi(L_1)$ contains a prime p from one of these intersections and $\pi(L_2)$ contains a prime q from another. Since $k \geq 3$, it follows that $3pq \in \omega(G)$; a contradiction.

Thus, there is at most one index j from $\{3, 4, 5, 6\}$ such that $\pi_j \cap \pi(S) \neq \emptyset$. Put $\sigma = \pi(G) \setminus (\pi_j \cup \{2\})$. Let T be a Sylow 3-subgroup of S and $T_i = T \cap L_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, k$. Lemma 3.11 implies that the normalizer $N_{L_i}(T_i)$ is solvable for all i. In view of Lemma 3.2, the quotient G/G_1 is also solvable as a group of odd order. Thus, the same holds true for $\overline{N} = N_{\overline{G}}(T)$ and hence for its preimage N in G.

Consider a Hall σ -subgroup H of N. By the Frattini argument, $\overline{NS} = \overline{G}$, so H is a Hall σ -subgroup for the whole group G. On the other hand, G is a D_{σ} -subgroup, because K and G/G_1 are solvable, while $\pi(S) \cap \sigma = \{3\}$. Arguing as in the proof of the previous lemma, we see that the solvable group H satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 2.3(2), a contradiction. \Box

Lemma 4.4. If k = 1, then S is a Ree group.

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that S is not a Ree group. Then $S = L_1 \simeq L_2(u)$, $u = v^{\alpha_1}$, and u satisfies the conditions of Lemma 4.1. Observe that if $G/G_1 \neq 1$, then \overline{G} is a split extension of S by a field automorphism of odd order in view of Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3(3).

Suppose that there is an odd prime $r \in \pi(G)$ such that r divides only the order of S. If $x \in G$ has order $r \neq v$, then $\pi(C_G(x)) = \pi(G)$, because a Sylow r-subgroup of G is cyclic, while r is adjacent to any prime from $\pi(G) \setminus \{r\}$ in the prime graph $\Gamma(G)$. If r = v, then in view of Lemma 3.6, the same equality $\pi(C_G(x)) = \pi(G)$ holds for some element x of order r (take an element x whose image \overline{x} in \overline{G} lies in the centralizer of a field automorphism of S generating \overline{G}/S and apply Lemma 3.3(3)). Since $\pi(C_G(x)) = \pi(G)$, we may take three primes p, q, s such that they together with r lie in four distinct components $\pi_i, i \in \{2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$. Since the image of $C_G(x)$ in \overline{G} lies in $C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{x})$ which is solvable, it follows that $C_G(x)$ is also solvable. Then, by Lemma 2.3(1), at least two of p, q, s must be adjacent in $\Gamma(C_G(x))$, let, say, $pq \in \omega(C_G(x))$. Then $rpq \in \omega(C_G(x)) \subseteq \omega(G)$, which is impossible. Thus, every odd prime from $\pi(G)$ must divide $|K| \cdot |G/G_1|$.

The arguments similar to the ones from the previous paragraph show that for every odd prime $r \in \pi(S)$, a Sylow *r*-subgroup *R* of *G* cannot be cyclic. Indeed, in this case it is easy to see that $\pi(C_G(R)) = \pi(G)$. So it suffices to prove that $C_G(R)$ is solvable. Observe that *R*, being cyclic, cannot intersect G/G_1 (cf., e.g., [24, Lemma 3.10]). Hence $C_G(R)$ is solvable, because its image in \overline{G} is solvable.

Let $\sigma = \pi(u(u-1)) \setminus \{2\}$. We claim that S has the property D_{σ} , and hence G also has this property. Indeed, if u is a power of 2 or $u \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$, then a Borel subgroup is a required Hall σ -subgroup of S, while if $u \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$, then so is the subgroup of index 2 in a Borel subgroup of S. In either case, the normalizer of this Hall σ -subgroup is a Borel subgroup. Consider the preimage H of this normalizer in G. It is a solvable subgroup, so by Lemma 2.3(2), $\pi(H)$ meets nontrivially at most three different components π_i , i = 2, ..., 6. On the other hand, |G : H| = u + 1, hence the set $\pi(u + 1)$ must include at least two of these components. Furthermore, S and, therefore, G contains an element of order (u + 1)/(2, u + 1). Thus, $\pi(u + 1) \setminus \{2\} = \pi_a \cup \pi_b$ for some distinct $a, b \in \{2, ..., 6\}$. It follows that the set $\pi(u(u - 1)) \setminus \{2\}$ contains primes only from three other components and, by similar arguments involving a Hall $(\pi(u + 1) \setminus \{2\})$ -subgroup of S, includes at least two of these components.

The solvable radical K of G has a normal 2-complement $C = O_{2'}(K)$ due to Lemma 3.2. Since G/G_1 is cyclic, $\pi(G/G_1)$ meets nontrivially at most two of the odd components π_i . It follows that $\pi(C)$ includes at least three odd components π_i .

Suppose first that $\pi(u-1)$ meets two odd components, say π_c and π_d . Obviously, $\{a, b\} \cap \{c, d\} = \emptyset$. Lemma 2.6 implies now that C cannot have cyclic Sylow subgroups. If $\pi(C)$ contains primes from four different odd components π_i , then we immediately derive to contradiction applying Lemmas 2.5 and 2.3(2). Let $\pi(C)$ be the union of three components. Clearly, there is an odd prime $r \in \pi(G) \setminus \pi(C)$ dividing the order of S. By the preceding arguments, a Sylow r-subgroup R of G is not cyclic. Then the group H = CR is solvable and all its Sylow subgroups are not cyclic, which is again impossible in view of Lemmas 2.5 and 2.3(2).

Thus, $\pi(u-1)$ meets nontrivially only one odd component. It follows that $\pi(u-1) \setminus \{2\} = \pi_c$ and $\{v\} = \pi_d$ for some $c, d \in \{2, \ldots, 6\} \setminus \{a, b\}$. In particular, v is odd. In this case, $\pi(G/G_1)$ cannot contain the primes from two different odd components (recall that G/G_1 is generated by a field automorphism). Therefore, $\pi(C)$ includes at least four odd components π_i . Lemma 2.6 implies that a Sylow *p*-subgroup of *C* is not cyclic for each $p \in \pi(C) \setminus (\pi_a \cup \pi_b)$. If $\pi(C)$ meets nontrivially all three odd components distinct from π_a and π_b , then we get a contradiction as at the end of the previous paragraph, adding to *C* a Sylow *r*-subgroup for some prime $r \in \pi_a \cup \pi_b$ (and so dividing the order of *S*). Therefore, $\pi(C)$ is the union of four odd components including π_a and π_b , and $\pi(G/G_1)$ is the fifth such component. Denote by *H* the preimage in *G* of a Hall ($\pi_a \cup \pi_b$)-subgroup of *S*. The group *H* is solvable and none of its Sylow subgroups can be cyclic, which arrives us to a final contradiction.

Lemma 4.5. Any L_i isomorphic to L is a direct factor in $G_1/O_3(K)$.

Proof. For brevity, set $L = L_i$. Recall also that the Schur multiplier of L is trivial, see Lemma 3.7(4). By Lemma 3.2, $K/O_{2'}(K)$ is a direct factor of $G_1/O_{2'}(K)$, so we may suppose that K is of odd order. Consider the upper r-series (1) of K for r = 3. Suppose first that $K_t = R_t = K$. Then $N = K/R_{t-1}$ is a 3'-group. If $N_m = N$ and N/N_{m-1} is the upper nontrivial factor of a chief L-invariant series of N, then by induction on the length m of the series, we may assume that L acts nontrivially on N/N_{m-1} which is an elementary abelian p-group for some p > 3. If p^{β} is the p-exponent of L (and so of $L \times L$), then Lemma 3.8 implies that G contains an element of order $p^{\beta+1}$, a contradiction.

Thus, $R = R_t/K_t$ must be nontrivial. If $L \subseteq C_{(G/K_t)}(R)/R$, then L is a direct factor in G/K_t and we come to the situation from the previous paragraph. If $K_t = 1$, then there is nothing to prove, so by induction on the order of G, we may assume that $V = K_t$ is an elementary abelian p-group for some prime p > 3. Note that $C_K(V) \leq V$ by the definition of the upper 3-series (1). Let x be an element in G/K_t which order p^β is equal to the p-exponent of L. Then the preimage in G of the group $R\langle x \rangle$ satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 2.9 and provides an element of order $p^{\beta+1}$ in G, a contradiction.

Observe that the nonempty sets ρ_i , i = 3, 4, 5, and 6, of primitive prime divisors r_i of the numbers $3^{\alpha} - 1$, $3^{2\alpha} - 1$, $3^{\alpha} - 3^{(\alpha+1)/2} + 1$, and $3^{\alpha} + 3^{(\alpha+1)/2} + 1$, respectively (cf., Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2) are subsets of the sets π_i , i = 3, 4, 5, and 6, respectively. Put $\rho = \rho_3 \cup \rho_4 \cup \rho_5 \cup \rho_6$.

Lemma 4.6. Let L_1 be a group over the field of order $u = 3^{\alpha_1}$. Then the following hold:

- (1) α_1 divides α ;
- (2) if $r \in \rho$, then r is greater than α and does not divide $|\operatorname{Aut} L_1/L_1|$;
- (3) if $L_1 \neq L$, then $(\rho_5 \cup \rho_6) \cap \pi(L_1) = \emptyset$, moreover, if $\alpha_1 < \alpha$, then ρ_3 intersects $\pi(L_1)$ trivially.

Proof. (1) Note that $3^{\alpha_1} - 1$ divides the exponent of G which, in turn, divides $9(3^{6\alpha} - 1)$. Lemma 4.1 implies that α_1 is odd. It follows that either α_1 divides α or $\alpha_1 = 3\alpha$. The latter equality derives a contradiction. Indeed, if L_1 is a Ree group, then $(3^{\alpha_1} - 3^{(\alpha_1+1)/2} + 1)(3^{\alpha_1} + 3^{(\alpha_1+1)/2} + 1)$ does not divide $3^{6\alpha} - 1$ for L, while if $L_1 = L_2(3^{3\alpha})$, then $3^{3\alpha} - 1$ does not divide the exponent of $L \times L$. Thus, α_1 divides α .

(2) Each $r \in \rho$ is greater than α simply in view of Fermat's little theorem. None of them divides $|\operatorname{Aut} L_1/L_1|$, because $\alpha_1 \leq \alpha$ by Item (1).

(3) Let first $\alpha_1 < \alpha$. It is clear that $(\rho_5 \cup \rho_6) \cap \pi(L_1) = \emptyset$. Moreover, if $L_1 = R(3^{\alpha_1})$, then $\rho_3 \cap \pi(L_1) = \emptyset$, and if $L_1 = L_2(3^{\alpha_1})$, then $(\rho_3 \cup \rho_4) \cap \pi(L_1) = \emptyset$. If $L_1 \neq L$ and $\alpha_1 = \alpha$, then $L_1 = L_2(3^{\alpha})$ and $(\rho_5 \cup \rho_6) \cap \pi(L_1) = \emptyset$, as required.

Lemma 4.7. k = 2.

Proof. If $k \neq 2$, then by above, $S = L_1 \simeq R(u)$, $u = 3^{\alpha_1}$, α_1 is odd and $3 \le \alpha_1 \le \alpha$.

Suppose that $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha$. Then, by Lemma 4.6(3), three primes $r_i \in \rho_i$, i = 3, 5, 6, do not divide $|L_1|$, and, by Lemma 4.6(2), do not divide $|\overline{G}|$, which contradicts Lemma 4.2. Thus, $\alpha_1 = \alpha$, u = q, and S = L = R(q).

Lemma 4.5 yields $\tilde{G}_1 = G_1/O_3(K) = D \times L$. Since ρ intersects $\pi(\operatorname{Aut} L/L)$ trivially and $r_i \in \rho_i$, i = 3, 4, 5, 6 are pairwise nonadjacent in $\Gamma(L)$, at least three of them should divide the order of the solvable group D. Lemma 2.3(1) implies that at least two of them, say, r and s are adjacent in $\Gamma(D)$. If p is a prime from the remaining fourth component, then $prs \in \omega(D \times L) \subseteq \omega(G)$. This contradiction completes the proof.

Since k = 2, Lemma 3.2 yields $\overline{G} = A_1 \times A_2$, where $L_i \leq A_i \leq \text{Aut } L_i$, i = 1, 2.

Lemma 4.8. If an odd prime r divides |K|, then a Sylow r-subgroup of K is not cyclic.

Proof. Suppose the contrary. By Lemma 4.2, there are distinct $a, b \in \{3, 4, 5, 6\}$ such that $\pi_a \cup \pi(L_1) \neq \emptyset \neq \pi_b \cap \pi(L_2)$. Let $s \in \pi_a \cap \pi(L_1)$ and $t \in \pi_b \cap \pi(L_2)$. We have $r \in \pi_a \cup \pi_b$, otherwise Lemma 2.6 yields $rst \in \omega(G)$, which is impossible. In particular, $r \neq 3$. The same lemma implies that if $r \in \pi_a$, then $3rt \in \omega(G)$, while if $r \in \pi_b$, then $3rs \in \omega(G)$, a final contradiction.

Lemma 4.9. The set $\pi(K)$ cannot contain three primes from the different π_i , $i \in \{3, 4, 5, 6\}$.

Proof. If such three primes exist, then the corresponding three Sylow subgroups of K are not cyclic by Lemma 4.8. If T is a Sylow 3-subgroup of G, then in view of Lemma 2.5, the solvable group KT satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 2.3(2), a contradiction.

Lemma 4.10. Suppose that $L_1 = L_2(u)$. Then either u is a power of 3 or $u \in \{8, 13, 37\}$.

Proof. Suppose the contrary. Since u is not a power of 3, the prime 3 divides the order of a torus T in L_1 , which is equal to $(u - \varepsilon 1)/(2, u - 1)$ for $\varepsilon \in \{+, -\}$. Since, additionally,

 $u \notin \{8, 13, 37\}$, there is a prime p > 3 also dividing the order of T. Clearly, $p \in \pi_a$, where $a \in \{3, 4, 5, 6\}$. If there is a prime s from $\pi_i \cap \pi(A_2)$, where $i \in \{3, 4, 5, 6\} \setminus \{a\}$, then $3ps \in \omega(G)$, which is impossible. It follows that $\emptyset \neq \pi(A_2) \setminus \{2, 3\} \subseteq \pi_a$.

By Lemma 4.9, there is $r \in \rho \setminus \pi_a$ such that $r \notin \pi(K)$. If r divides $|A_1/L_1|$, then $3r \in \omega(A_1)$, so $3rs \in \omega(G)$ for every prime $s \in \pi_a \cap \pi(A_2)$, a contradiction. Therefore, r divides $|L_1|$ and does not divide $|K| \cdot |A_2| \cdot |A_1/L_1|$.

Suppose first that r is not the characteristic of L_1 . Then a Sylow r-subgroup R of G, being isomorphic to a Sylow subgroup of L_1 , is cyclic. If $x \in R$ is an element of order r, then $\pi(C_G(x)) = \pi(G)$. Lemmas 3.3(3) and 3.6 imply that the same equality $\pi(C_G(x)) = \pi(G)$ holds true, if x maps to a unipotent element of L_1 , which lies in the centralizer of a field automorphism of L_1 that generates A_1/L_1 . Denote by H the preimage of the direct product of L_1 and some Sylow 3-subgroup of L_2 in G. The group $C_G(x) \cap H$ is solvable, because $C_{L_1}(x)$ is solvable. On the other hand, $\pi(C_G(x) \cap H)$ contains 3 and nontrivially meets π_i for each $3 \leq i \neq a$. Hence we get a contradiction in view of Lemma 2.3(1).

Lemma 4.11. If q > 27, then one of the L_i , i = 1, 2, is isomorphic to L.

Proof. Since q > 27, any prime from ρ does not divide the order of $L_2(u)$ for $u \in \{8, 13, 37\}$. So both L_1 and L_2 are groups in characteristic 3. Suppose to the contrary that neither of L_1 and L_2 is isomorphic to L. Lemma 4.6 implies that $(\rho_5 \cap \rho_6) \cap \pi(\overline{G}) = \emptyset$. Assume that for at least one of the L_i , say, for L_1 , the order of the underlying field is less than q. Then, by the same Lemma 4.6, $\rho_3 \cap \pi(L_1) = \emptyset$. It follows from Lemmas 4.6(2) and 4.9 that $r \in \rho_3$ divides only the order of L_2 . Let H be the preimage in G of the direct product of a Sylow 3-subgroup of L_1 and a Sylow r-subgroup in L_2 . Then H is solvable and, as one can see, every two distinct primes from the set $\sigma = \{3, r, r_5, r_6\}$ must be adjacent in $\Gamma(H)$. Thus, H and σ satisfy the hypothesis of Lemma 2.3(2), a contradiction.

Therefore, $L_1 \simeq L_2 \simeq L_2(q)$. By Lemma 4.9, $\pi(K) \cap (\pi_3 \cup \pi_4) = \emptyset$. If 3 divides |K|, then a Sylow 3-subgroup of K cannot be cyclic by Lemma 2.6. Therefore, if R is a Sylow r-subgroup of G, where $r \in \pi_3 \cup \pi_4$, then the solvable group H = KR satisfies the conditions of Lemma 2.3, a contradiction. Thus, $\pi(K) \subseteq \pi_5 \cup \pi_6 \cup \{2\}$. Arguing by induction on |K|that $\omega(G) \not\subseteq \omega(L \times L)$, we may suppose now that K is an elementary abelian p-group for some $p \in \pi_5 \cup \pi_6$. If x is an element of order $r \in \rho_3 \subseteq \pi(q-1)$ from L_1 , then $V = C_K(x) \neq 1$. This is clear if $L_1 \leq C_{\overline{G}}(K)$, and follows from Lemmas 2.8 and 3.3(5) otherwise. A noncyclic Sylow 3-subgroup of L_2 , being permutable with x, acts on V, so $3rp \in \omega(G) \setminus \omega(L \times L)$, and this contradiction completes the proof.

Lemma 4.12. If L = R(27), then one of the L_i , i = 1, 2, is isomorphic to L.

Proof. Since L = R(27), it follows that $\rho_3 = \pi_3 = \{13\}, \rho_4 = \pi_4 = \{7\}, \rho_5 = \pi_5 = \{19\}$, and $\rho_6 = \pi_6 = \{37\}$. Then $L_i \in \{L_2(8), L_2(13), L_2(27), L_2(37)\}$ for i = 1, 2.

If one of L_i , say L_1 , is isomorphic to $L_2(37)$, then we arrive to a contradiction immediately, because in this case, $18 \in \omega(L_1), 7 \in \omega(L_2)$, but $18 \cdot 7 \notin \omega(L \times L)$. Therefore, $(\rho_5 \cap \rho_6) \cap \pi(\overline{G}) = \emptyset$. Further, we will mimic the proof the of previous lemma with some necessary changes.

Suppose that at least one of the L_i , say L_1 , is isomorphic to $L_2(8)$. Then $\rho_3 \cap \pi(L_1) = \emptyset$. Hence r = 13 divides only the order of L_2 and we arrive at a contradiction, as in the first paragraph of the previous lemma. Therefore, $L_i \in \{L_2(13), L_2(27)\}$ for i = 1, 2.

If $G/G_1 \neq 1$, then one of the A_i , say A_1 , is a split extension of $L_2(27)$ by a field automorphism of order 3. Then $3 \cdot 7 \in \omega(A_1)$ and, consequently, $3 \cdot 7 \cdot 13 \in \omega(G)$, which is impossible. Thus, $\overline{G} = S = L_1 \times L_2$, in particular, the 3-exponent of \overline{G} is equal to 3. Since the 3-exponent of G must be 9, it follows that $3 \in \pi(K)$ and we derive a contradiction as in the second paragraph of Lemma 4.11.

Lemma 4.13. $S = L \times L$.

Proof. In view of Lemmas 4.11 and 4.12, we may suppose that $L_1 = L$. Lemma 4.5 implies that $\widetilde{G}_1 = G_1/O_3(K) = L_1 \times D$ and L_2 is the unique nonabelian composition factor of D. Lemma 4.6(2) yields $\rho \cap \pi(G/G_1) = \emptyset$. Assume on the contrary that $L_2 \neq L$. Then Lemma 4.6(3) implies that $\pi(L_2) \cap (\rho_5 \cup \rho_6) = \emptyset$. Therefore, the order of the solvable radical of D is a multiple of a prime $r \in \rho_a$ for $a \in \{5, 6\}$. Since Sylow 2-subgroups of L_2 and D itself are elementary abelian, $2r \in \omega(D)$. Let $b \in \{5, 6\} \setminus \{a\}$. It follows that $2rs \in \omega(L_1 \times D) \subseteq \omega(G)$ for some $s \in \rho_b$. However, $2rs \notin \omega(L \times L)$, and we are done. \Box

Lemma 4.14. $G = L \times L$.

Proof. We claim that $G = G_1$. Indeed, if $s \in \pi(A_1/L_1)$, then there exists $a \in \{3, 4, 5, 6\}$ such that $s \notin \pi_a$ but $sp \in \omega(A_1)$ for some $p \in \pi_a$. Then $spr \in \omega(G) \setminus \omega(L \times L)$ for some $r \in \pi_b$, where $b \in \{3, 4, 5, 6\} \setminus \{a\}$ and $s \notin \pi_b$.

If $K \neq 1$, then Lemma 4.11 implies that $G/O_3(K) = L \times L \times D$ for a solvable group D. If $p \in \pi(D) \setminus (\pi_5 \cup \pi_6)$, then $prs \in \omega(G)$ for $r \in \rho_5$, $s \in \rho_6$. If $p \in \pi(D) \cap (\pi_5 \cup \pi_6)$, then $prs \in \omega(G)$ for $r \in \pi_3$, $s \in \pi_4$. Therefore, D = 1 and K is a 3-group.

Let $V = K/\Phi(K)$. If $x \in L_1$ of order $r \in \rho_5$, then $U = C_V(x) > 1$. This is clear if $L_1 \leq C_{\overline{G}}(V)$ and follows from Lemma 3.8 otherwise. The group L_2 acts on U, so $C_V(y) > 1$ for an element $y \in L_2$ of order $s \in \rho_6$ by the similar reasons. Thus, $3rs \in \omega(G) \setminus \omega(L)$, a final contradiction.

5. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Let $L = J_1$ and G a finite group with $\omega(G) = \omega(L \times L)$. In view of Lemma 3.9(2),

$$\mu(L) = \{6, 7, 10, 11, 15, 19\}.$$

In particular, t(L) = 4. Thus, G is nonsolvable due to Lemma 2.3(2).

Let K be the solvable radical of G and $\overline{G} = G/K$. By Lemma 3.2, the socle $S = \text{Soc}(\overline{G}) = L_1 \times L_2 \times \cdots \times L_k$ of \overline{G} is a direct product of finite nonabelian simple groups, and every factor L_i , $i = 1, \ldots, k$, is isomorphic to some group from the conclusion of Lemma 3.1.

Lemma 5.1. $L_i \in \{L_2(5), L_2(11), J_1\}$ for i = 1, ..., k.

Proof. It follows readily from the fact that $9 \notin \mu(G)$ and $\pi(G) \subseteq \{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 19\}$.

Lemma 5.2. $k \le 2$.

Proof. Suppose on the contrary that $k \geq 3$. Assume first that two of the factors, say L_1 and L_2 , are not isomorphic to $L_2(5)$, then taking elements of orders 11, 6, and 5 from L_1 , L_2 , and L_3 , respectively, we obtain an element of order 330 in G, which is impossible.

Suppose now that only L_1 is not isomorphic to $L_2(5)$. Then $k \leq 3$ and $\overline{G} = S$, because of the inequality $|\operatorname{Aut} L_i/L_i| \leq 2$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$ and Lemma 3.2.

Let $L_1 \simeq J_1$. At least one of the primes 7 and 19 divides the order of K; we denote it by r. Consider the upper r-series of K, see (1). We claim that $r^2 \in \omega(G) \setminus \omega(L \times L)$. Indeed, if $R_t/K_t \neq 1$, then this holds true by Lemma 3.10. Therefore, $K = K_t$, so $U = K_t/R_{t-1} \neq 1$ and $V = R_{t-1}/K_{t-1} \neq 1$. Arguing by induction on the order of G, we may suppose that V is an elementary abelian r-group. Note that $C_K(V) \leq V$ by the definition of the upper r-series. If $L_1 \subseteq C_{(G/R_{t-1})}(U)/U$, then L_1 is a direct factor of G/R_{t-1} in view of Lemma 3.9(3), so we may apply Lemma 3.10 again. Let x be an element of order r in G/R_{t-1} . Then the preimage in G of the subgroup $U\langle x \rangle$ satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 2.9 and provides an element of order r^2 , as required.

Suppose that $L_1 \simeq L_2(11)$. If $p \in \{7, 19\}$, then p divides only |K| and a Sylow p-subgroup of K is not cyclic in view of Lemma 2.6. Assume that $11 \in \pi(K)$. A Sylow 11-subgroup of K also cannot be cyclic. It follows that a Sylow r-subgroup of the product H of a Sylow 2-subgroup of G and K is noncyclic for $r \in \{2, 7, 11, 19\}$. Now we arrive to a contradiction applying Lemmas 2.5 and 2.3(2). Thus, $11 \notin \pi(K)$, so a Sylow 11-subgroup R of G, being isomorphic to a Sylow subgroup of L_1 , is of order 11. Denote by H the preimage of the direct product of L_1 and some Sylow 2-subgroup of L_2 in G. The group $C_G(R) \cap H$ is solvable, because $C_{L_1}(R)$ is solvable. On the other hand, $\pi(C_G(R) \cap H)$ contains 2, 7, 19. Hence we get a contradiction by Lemma 2.3(1).

Thus, $L_i \simeq L_2(5)$ for each *i*, so 7, 11, 19 divides only $|K| \cdot |\overline{G}/S|$. As in the proof of Lemma 4.3, we derive a contradiction with Lemma 2.3 considering the preimage *H* in *G* of the normalizer in \overline{G} of a Sylow 3-subgroup of *S* and taking its Hall {3, 7, 11, 19}-subgroup. \Box

Lemma 5.3. $\overline{G} = S$.

Proof. Since $|\operatorname{Aut} L_i/L_i| \leq 2$, it follows from Lemmas 3.2 and 5.2.

Lemma 5.4. Any L_i isomorphic to L is a direct factor in $G/O_{11}(K)$.

Proof. The proof is exactly the same as the proof of Lemma 4.5 after replacing r = 3 by r = 11 and applying Lemma 3.10 instead of Lemma 3.8.

Lemma 5.5. One of the L_i is isomorphic to L.

Proof. Let $\sigma = \{5, 7, 11, 19\}$. Then $L_2(5)$ and $L_2(11)$ are D_{σ} -groups, because $\sigma \cap \pi(L_2(5)) = \{5\}$ and a Borel subgroup of $L_2(11)$ of order 55 is its Hall σ -subgroup. Therefore, G being a D_{σ} -group includes a solvable Hall $\{5, 7, 11, 19\}$ -subgroup. An application of Lemma 2.3(2) completes the proof.

Lemma 5.6. k = 2.

Proof. If $k \neq 2$, then by above $S = L = J_1$. Applying Lemma 5.4, we conclude that $G/O_{11}(K) = L \times D$, where D is some solvable subgroup of $G/O_{11}(K)$.

Suppose first that some $p \in \{7, 19\}$ does not divide |D|. Then a Sylow *p*-subgroup *P* of *G* is of order *p*, and the centralizer $C = C_G(P)$ is solvable. On the other hand, $\pi(C)$ must contain all other prime divisors of |G|. Now we get a contradiction applying Lemma 2.3(1) to *C*. Thus, $7, 19 \in \pi(D)$.

Since $30 \in \omega(G)$, there is $r \in \{2,3,5\} \cap \pi(D)$. In view of Lemma 2.3(1), there is an element of order $ps \in \omega(D)$, where $p \neq s$ and $p, s \in \{r, 7, 19\}$. Then $11ps \in \omega(G)$, a contradiction.

Lemma 5.7. $S = L \times L$.

Proof. By Lemmas 5.4 and 5.5, we may suppose that $G/O_{11}(K) = L_1 \times D$, where $L_1 = L$ and L_2 is the unique nonabelian composition factor of D. Since 7 and 19 are nonadjacent in $\Gamma(L)$, at least one of them, say r, divides the order of D. If $L_2 \neq L$, then r divides the order of the solvable radical R of D. Since Sylow 2-subgroups of L_2 and D are elementary abelian, $2r \in \omega(D)$, so $2 \cdot 7 \cdot 19 \in \omega(G)$, a contradiction.

Lemma 5.8. $G = L \times L$.

Proof. By Lemmas 5.4 and 5.7, we may suppose that $G/O_{11}(K) = L \times L \times D$, where D is a solvable group. Suppose first that $D \neq 1$ and $p \in \pi(D)$. Take two distinct primes $r, s \in \{7, 11, 19\} \setminus \{p\}$. Then $prs \in \omega(G) \setminus \omega(L \times L)$, a contradiction.

Thus, $\overline{G} = S = L_1 \times L_2$, where $L_1 \simeq L \simeq L_2$ and K is an 11-group. If $K \neq 1$, we may suppose that K is elementary abelian. If L_1 and L_2 acts on K trivially, then $7 \cdot 11 \cdot 19 \in \omega(G)$, which is impossible. Suppose that one of L_i , say L_1 , acts on K nontrivially. Then, in view of [31, Theorem 1.1] and the fact that $11^2 \notin \omega(G)$, any chief L_1 -invariant factor W of K with nontrivial action of L_1 is a 7-dimensional L_1 -module. Expecting [17], one can see that given an element x of order 7 in L_1 , the centralizer $C_W(x)$ is 1-dimensional. Now an element y of order 19 in L_2 acts on $C_W(x)$ trivially. Then again $7 \cdot 11 \cdot 19 \in \omega(G)$, a final contradiction. \Box

Acknowledgment. The authors are very grateful to Maria Grechkoseeva for useful comments and fruitful discussions.

References

- J. L. Alperin and D. Gorenstein, The multiplicators of certain simple groups, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 17 (1966), 515–519.
- [2] A. S. Bang, Talteoretiske Undersøgelser, Tidsskrift Mat., (5)4 (1886), 70–80, 130–137.
- [3] J. Brachter and P. Schweitzer, A systematic study of isomorphism invariants of finite groups via the Weisfeiler-Leman dimension, 30th annual European Symposium on Algorithms, Art. No. 27, 14 pp., LIPIcs. Leibniz Int. Proc. Inform., 244, Schloss Dagstuhl. Leibniz-Zent. Inform., Wadern, 2022.
- [4] R. Brandl and W. J. Shi, A characterization of finite simple groups with abelian Sylow 2-subgroups, *Ricerche Mat.*, 42 (1993), no. 1, 193–198.
- [5] J. N. Bray, D. F. Holt and C. M. Roney-Dougal, The maximal subgroups of the low-dimensional finite classical groups, With a foreword by Martin Liebeck. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 407. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2013.
- [6] J. H. Conway, R. T. Curtis, S. P. Norton, R. A. Parker, and R. A. Wilson, Atlas of Finite Groups. Maximal subgroups and ordinary characters for simple groups. With computational assistance from J. G. Thackray. Oxford University Press, Eynsham, 1985.
- [7] L. Di Martino and A. E. Zalesskii, Eigenvalues of unipotent elements in cross-characteristic representations of finite classical groups, J. Algebra, **319** (2008), no. 7, 2668–2722.
- [8] D. Gorenstein, Finite Groups, Harper & Row, Publishers, New York-London, 1968.
- [9] I. B. Gorshkov and N. V. Maslova, The group $J_4 \times J_4$ is recognizable by spectrum, J. Algebra Appl., **20** (2021), no. 4, Paper No. 2150061, 14pp.
- [10] M. A. Grechkoseeva, On primitive prime divisors of the orders of Suzuki and Ree groups, Algebra Logic, 62, no. 1 (2023).
- [11] M. A. Grechkoseeva, V. D. Mazurov, W. Shi, A. V. Vasil'ev, and N. Yang, Finite groups isospectral to simple groups, *Commun. Math. Stat.*, **11** (2023), no. 2, 169–194.
- [12] J. A. Grochow and M. Levet, On the parallel complexity of group isomorphism via Weisfeiler-Leman, arXiv:2112.11487 [cs.DS].
- [13] R. M. Guralnick and P. H. Tiep, Finite simple unisingular groups of Lie type, J. Group Theory, 6 (2003), no. 3, 271–310.
- [14] P. Hall, Theorems like Sylow's, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3), 6 (1956), 286–304.
- [15] P. Hall and G. Higman, On the p-length of p-soluble groups and reduction theorem for Burnside's problem, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3), 6 (1956), 1–42.
- [16] G. Higman, Finite groups in which every element has prime power order, J. London Math. Soc., 32 (1957), 335–342.
- [17] C. Jansen, K. Lux, R. Parker, and R. Wilson, An Atlas of Brauer Characters, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1995.
- [18] W. Kimmerle, F. Luca, and A. G. Raggi-Cárdenas, Irreducible components and isomorphisms of the Burnside ring, J. Group Theory, 11 (2008), no. 6, 831–844.
- [19] V. D. Mazurov, On the set of orders of elements of a finite group, Algebra Logic, 33 (1994), no. 1, 49–55.

- [20] V. D. Mazurov, Recognition of finite nonsimple groups by the set of orders of their elements, Algebra Logic, 36 (1997), no. 3, 182–192.
- [21] V. D. Mazurov and W. J. Shi, A criterion of unrecognizability by spectrum for finite groups, Algebra Logic, 51 (2012), no. 2, 160–162.
- [22] R. Ree, A family of simple groups associated with the simple Lie algebra of type (G_2) , Amer. J. Math., 83 (1961), 432–462.
- [23] P. H. Tiep and A. E. Zalesski, Hall-Higman type theorems for exceptional groups of Lie type, I, J. Algebra, 607 (2022), part A, 755–794.
- [24] A. V. Vasil'ev, On finite groups isospectral to simple classical groups, J. Algebra, 423 (2015), 318–374.
- [25] A. V. Vasil'ev, M. A. Grechkoseeva, and V. D. Mazurov, Characterization of the finite simple groups by spectrum and order, *Algebra Logic*, 48 (2009), no. 6, 385–409.
- [26] A. V. Vasil'ev and, E. P. Vdovin, An adjacency criterion for the prime graph of a finite simple group, *Algebra Logic*, 44 (2005), no. 6, 381–406.
- [27] A. V. Vasil'ev and E. P. Vdovin, Cocliques of maximal size in the prime graph of a finite simple group, *Algebra Logic*, **50** (2011), no. 4, 291–322.
- [28] E. P. Vdovin and D. O. Revin, Theorems of Sylow type, Russian Math. Surveys, 66 (2011), no. 5, 829–870.
- [29] J. H. Walter, The characterization of finite groups with abelian Sylow 2-subgroups, Ann. of Math. (2), 89 (1969), 405–514.
- [30] Zh. Wang, A. V. Vasil'ev, M. A. Grechkoseeva, and A. Kh. Zhurtov, A criterion for nonsolvability of a finite group and recognition of direct squares of simple groups, *Algebra Logic*, **61** (2022), no. 4, 288–300.
- [31] A. E. Zalesskii, Minimal polynomials and eigenvalues of *p*-elements in representations of quasi-simple groups with a cyclic Sylow *p*-subgroup, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2), **59** (1999), no. 3, 845–866.
- [32] K. Zsigmondy, Zur Theorie der Potenzreste, (German) Monatsh. Math. Phys., 3 (1892), no. 1, 265–284.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, HAINAN UNIVERSITY, HAIKOU, HAINAN, P. R. CHINA. *E-mail address:* tli@hainanu.edu.cn

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, K. N. TOOSI UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, P. O. BOX 16765–3381, TEHRAN, IRAN.

E-mail address: moghadam@kntu.ac.ir

SOBOLEV INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, 630090 NOVOSIBIRSK, RUSSIA *E-mail address*: vasand@math.nsc.ru

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, HAINAN UNIVERSITY, HAIKOU, HAINAN, P. R. CHINA. *E-mail address:* wzhigang@hainanu.edu.cn