ON THE CONJUGACY PROBLEM FOR CARTER SUBGROUPS E. P. Vdovin

UDC 512.542

Abstract: We prove that the Carter subgroups of a finite group are conjugate if so are the Carter subgroups of the group of induced automorphisms for every nonabelian composition factor.

Keywords: Carter subgroup, almost simple group, group of induced automorphisms

1. Introduction. Recall that a nilpotent self-normalizing subgroup is called a *Carter subgroup*. In the paper we consider the following

Problem. Are any two Carter subgroups of a finite group conjugate?

In [1] it is proven that a minimal counterexample to this problem should be almost simple. We intend to improve the results of [1] (see the theorem below). Actually, we will use the ideas of [1] in order to prove a stronger theorem.

Our notations are standard. Given a finite group G, we denote by $\operatorname{Aut}(G)$ the automorphism group of G. If Z(G) is trivial then G is isomorphic to the group of its inner automorphisms and we may suppose that $G \leq \operatorname{Aut}(G)$. A finite group G is said to be *almost simple* if there is a simple group Swith $S \leq G \leq \operatorname{Aut}(S)$, i.e., $F^*(G)$ is a simple group. We denote by F(G) the Fitting subgroup of G and by $F^*(G)$, the generalized Fitting subgroup of G.

If G is a group while A, B, and H are subgroups of G, and B is normal in $A \ (B \leq A)$, then $N_H(A/B) = N_H(A) \cap N_H(B)$. If $x \in N_H(A/B)$ then x induces the automorphism $Ba \mapsto Bx^{-1}ax$ of A/B. Thus, there is a homomorphism of $N_H(A/B)$ into $\operatorname{Aut}(A/B)$. The image of this homomorphism is denoted by $\operatorname{Aut}_H(A/B)$ while its kernel is denoted by $C_H(A/B)$. In particular, if S is a composition factor of G then the group $\operatorname{Aut}_H(S)$ is defined for every $H \leq G$.

DEFINITION. A finite group G is said to satisfy (*) if, for its every nonabelian composition factor S and for its every nilpotent subgroup N, the Carter subgroups of $\langle \operatorname{Aut}_N(S), S \rangle$ are conjugate.

Clearly, if a finite group G satisfies (*) then for every normal subgroup H and every soluble subgroup N of G the groups G/H and NH satisfy (*). Our goal here is to prove the following

Theorem. If a finite group G satisfies (*) then the Carter subgroups of G are conjugate.

Note that a finite group may fail to include Carter subgroups. In this case we also say that its Carter subgroups are conjugate. In Sections 2 and 3 we assume that X is a counterexample to the theorem of minimal order, i.e., that X is a finite group satisfying (*), X contains nonconjugate Carter subgroups, but the Carter subgroups of every group M of order less than |X|, which satisfy (*), are conjugate.

2. Preliminary results. Recall that X is a counterexample of minimal order to the theorem.

Lemma 1. Let G be a finite group satisfying (*) and $|G| \leq |X|$. Let H be a Carter subgroup of G. If N is a normal subgroup of G then HN/N is a Carter subgroup of G/N.

PROOF. Since HN/N is nilpotent, we have just to prove that it is self-normalizing in G/N. Clearly, this is true if G = HN. So, assume M = HN < G. By the minimality of $X, M^x = M, x \in G$, implies $H^x = H^m$ for some $m \in M$. It follows that $xm^{-1} \in N_G(H) = H$ and $x \in M$. This proves that HN/Nis nilpotent and self-normalizing in G/N. \Box

The author was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (Grant 05–01–00797), a grant of the President of the Russian Federation for Junior Scientists (Grant MK–1455.2005.1), and the Siberian Division of the Russian Academy of Sciences (a grant No. 29 for the Junior Scientists and the Integration Project 2006.1.2).

Novosibirsk. Translated from *Sibirskii Matematicheskii Zhurnal*, Vol. 47, No. 4, pp. 725–730, July–August, 2006. Original article submitted September 22, 2005.

Lemma 2. Let B be a minimal normal subgroup of X and let H and K be nonconjugate Carter subgroups of X. Then

(i) B is nonsoluble;

(ii) X = BH = BK;

(iii) B is the unique minimal normal subgroup of X.

PROOF. (i) We give a proof by contradiction. Assume that B is soluble and let $\pi : X \to X/B$ be the canonical homomorphism. Then H^{π} and K^{π} are Carter subgroups of X/B by Lemma 1. By the minimality of X, there exists $\bar{x} = Bx$ such that $(K^{\pi})^{\bar{x}} = H^{\pi}$. It follows that $K^x \leq BH$. Since BH is soluble, K^x is conjugate to H in BH. Hence, K is conjugate to H in X; a contradiction.

(ii) Assume that BH < X. By Lemma 1 and the minimality of X, BH/B and BK/B are conjugate in X/B. So, there exists $x \in X$ such that $K^x \leq BH$. It follows that K^x is conjugate to H in BH. Hence, K is conjugate to H in X; a contradiction.

(iii) Suppose that M is a minimal normal subgroup of X different from B. By (i), M is nonsoluble. On the other hand, $MB/B \simeq M$ is a subgroup of the nilpotent group $X/B \simeq H/H \cap B$; a contradiction.

The following lemma is useful in many applications, and so we prove it here although we need only a part of the proof in our later arguments.

Lemma 3. Let G be a finite group. Let H be a Carter subgroup of G. Assume that there exists a normal subgroup $B = T_1 \times \cdots \times T_k$ of G such that $T_1 \simeq \cdots \simeq T_k \simeq T$, $Z(T_i) = \{1\}$ for all i, and $G = H(T_1 \times \cdots \times T_k)$. Then $\operatorname{Aut}_H(T_i)$ is a Carter subgroup of $\langle \operatorname{Aut}_H(T_i), T_i \rangle$.

PROOF. Assume that our statement is false and G is a counterexample with k minimal; then k > 1. Clearly, G acts transitively by conjugation on the set $\Omega := \{T_1, \ldots, T_k\}$. We may assume that these T_j 's are indexed so that G acts primitively on $\{\Delta_1, \ldots, \Delta_p\}$, p > 1, where

$$\Delta_i := \{ T_{1+(i-1)l}, \dots, T_{il} \}, \quad k = pl,$$

for each *i*. Denote by $\varphi : G \to \operatorname{Sym}_p$ the induced permutation representation. Clearly, $B \leq \ker \varphi$ so that $G^{\varphi} = (BH)^{\varphi} = H^{\varphi}$ is a primitive nilpotent subgroup of Sym_p . Hence *p* is prime and G^{φ} is a cyclic group of order *p*. In particular, $Y := \ker \varphi$ coincides with the stabilizer of any Δ_i , so that φ is permutationally equivalent to the representation of *G* on the right cosets of *Y*. Given $i = 1, \ldots, p$, put $S_i = T_{1+(i-1)l} \times \cdots \times T_{il}$. Then $Y = N_G(S_i)$ and $B = S_1 \times \cdots \times S_p$. Consider $\xi : Y \to \operatorname{Aut}_Y(S_1)$ and put $A = Y^{\xi}, S = S_1^{\xi}$. Clearly, *S* is a normal subgroup of *A*. Moreover, *S* is isomorphic to S_1 , since S_1 has trivial center. On the other hand, for each $i \neq 1, S_i \leq \ker \xi$, since S_i centralizes S_1 .

Denote by $A \wr C_p$ the wreath product of A and a cyclic group C_p and let $\{x_1 = e, \ldots, x_p\}$ be a right transversal of Y. Then the map $\eta : G \to A \wr C_p$ such that, for $x \in G$:

$$x \mapsto ((x_1 x x_{1^{x^{\varphi}}}^{-1})^{\xi}, \dots, (x_p x x_{p^{x^{\varphi}}}^{-1})^{\xi}) x^{\varphi}$$

is a homomorphism. Clearly, Y^{η} is a subdirect product of the base subgroup A^{p} and

$$S_1^{\eta} = \{(s, 1, \dots, 1) \mid s \in S\}, \quad B^{\eta} = \{(s_1, \dots, s_p) \mid s_i \in S\} \le Y^{\eta}.$$

Moreover, ker $\eta = C_G(B) = \{e\}$, and so we may identify G with G^{η} . We choose $h \in H \setminus Y$. Then

$$G = \langle Y, h \rangle, \ h^p \in Y, \quad H = (Y \cap H) \langle h \rangle$$

and we may assume

$$h = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p)\pi, \ a_i \in A, \quad \pi = (1, 2, \dots, p) \in C_p.$$

For each $i, 1 \leq i \leq p$, let $\psi_i : A^p \to A$ be the canonical projection and let $H_i := (H \cap Y)^{\psi_i}$. Clearly, $Y^{\psi_i} = A$. Moreover, for each $i \geq 2$, $H_i = H_1^{h^{i-1}} = H_1^{a_1 \dots a_{i-1}}$ since h normalizes $Y \cap H$. Put

$$N := (H_1 \times \cdots \times H_p) \cap Y.$$

N is normalized by H, since $H = (N \cap H)\langle h \rangle$ and $H_i^h = H_{i+1 \pmod{p}}$. We claim that H_1 is a Carter subgroup of A. Assume that $n_1 \in N_A(H_1) \setminus H_1$. From $Y = (Y \cap H)B$ it follows that $n_1 = h_1s, h_1 \in H_1$, $s \in N_S(H_1) \setminus H_1$. Let

$$b := (s, s^{a_1}, \dots, s^{a_1 \dots a_{p-1}}) \in B.$$

Then b normalizes N, for

$$H_i^b = H_i^{s^{a_1 \dots a_{i-1}}} = H_1^{a_1 \dots a_{i-1} s^{a_1 \dots a_{i-1}}} = H_1^{sa_1 \dots a_{i-1}} = H_1^{a_1 \dots a_{i-1}} = H_1^{a_1 \dots a_{i-1}} = H_1^{a_1 \dots a_{i-1}}$$

Now $[b, h^{-1}] := b^{-1}hbh^{-1} \in Y$ is such that:

$$[b, h^{-1}]^{\psi_i} = 1$$
, if $i \neq p$, $[b, h^{-1}]^{\psi_p} = [s, (a_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot a_p)^{-1}]^{a_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot a_{p-1}}$,

where $a_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot a_p = (h^p)^{\psi_1} \in H_1$. Since $s \in N_S(H_1)$, it follows that

$$[s, (a_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot a_p)^{-1}] \in H_1, \quad [s, (a_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot a_p)^{-1}]^{a_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot a_{p-1}} \in H_p.$$

So $[b, h^{-1}] \in N$ and $b \in N_G(N\langle h \rangle)$. But $H \leq N\langle h \rangle$ implies $N_G(N\langle h \rangle) = N\langle h \rangle$. Indeed, if $g \in N_G(N\langle h \rangle)$ then H^g is a Carter subgroup of $N\langle h \rangle$. However, $N\langle h \rangle$ is soluble. Hence, there exists $y \in N\langle h \rangle$ with $H^g = H^y$. Now, H is a Carter subgroup of G, thus $gy^{-1} \in H$ and $g \in N\langle h \rangle$. Therefore, $b \in N, s \in H_1$; i.e., $n_1 \in H_1$; a contradiction.

Now $A = H_1(T_1 \times \cdots \times T_l)$ and l < k. By induction we see that $\operatorname{Aut}_{H_1}(T_1)$ is a Carter subgroup of $(\operatorname{Aut}_{H_1}(T_1), T_1)$. By construction, $\operatorname{Aut}_H(T_1) = \operatorname{Aut}_{H_1}(T_1)$ and the lemma follows. \Box

3. Proof of the theorem. Write $B = T_1 \times \cdots \times T_k$, $T_i \simeq T$, a nonabelian simple group. What remains to prove is k = 1. In the notations of the proof of Lemma 3, we have shown that H_1 is a Carter subgroup of A. Clearly, since each H_i is conjugate to H_1 in A, $N_A(H_i) = H_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, p$. It follows easily that N is a Carter subgroup of Y. Let $y := (y_1, \ldots, y_p) \in N_Y(N)$. From $N^{\psi_i} = H_i$ we derive $y_i \in N_A(H_i) = H_i$ for each i. Hence, $y \in N$.

We have seen that, to each Carter subgroup H of X, we can assign a Carter subgroup $N = N_H$ of Y such that H normalizes N_H . Clearly, $N_H \neq \{e\}$, otherwise X would have order p. So let K be a Carter subgroup of X not conjugate to H, and let N_K be the Carter subgroup of Y corresponding to K. By the minimality of X, for each $x \in X$ we have $\langle H^x, K \rangle = X$. On the other hand, by the inductive hypothesis, there exists $x \in Y$ such that $N_K = (N_H)^x$. Hence, N_K is normal in $\langle K, H^x \rangle = X$; a contradiction, since $\{e\} \neq N_K$ is nilpotent.

4. Some properties of Carter subgroups. Here we prove some lemmas of use in studying Carter subgroups in finite groups, in particular, in almost simple groups.

Lemma 4. Let G be a finite group satisfying (*), let H be a normal subgroup of G, and let K be a Carter subgroup of G. Then KH/H is a Carter subgroup of G/H.

PROOF. This fact is proven in Lemma 1 under the additional assumption $|G| \leq |X|$. By the theorem we have that $|X| = \infty$, and so the lemma is true for any finite group G. \Box

Lemma 5. Assume that G is a finite group. Let K be a Carter subgroup of G with center Z(K). Assume also that $e \neq z \in Z(K)$ and $C_G(z)$ satisfies (*). Then

(1) Every subgroup Y containing K and satisfying (*) is self-normalizing in G.

(2) No conjugate of z in G, but z, lies in Z(G).

(3) If H is a Carter subgroup of G, nonconjugate to K, then z is not conjugate to any element in the center of H.

In particular the centralizer $C_G(z)$ is self-normalizing in G, and z is not conjugate to any power $z^k \neq z$.

PROOF. This lemma is proven in [2, Lemma 3.1] for a minimal counterexample to the problem and therefore its use for finding Carter subgroups depends heavily on the classification of finite simple groups. We state here a stronger version of the lemma in order to avoid such dependence.

(1) Take $x \in N_G(Y)$. Then K^x is a Carter subgroup of Y. By the theorem, the Carter subgroups of Y are conjugate. Therefore, there exists $y \in Y$ with $K^x = K^y$. Hence, $xy^{-1} \in N_G(K) = K \leq Y$ and $x \in Y$.

(2) Assume $z^{x^{-1}} \in Z(K)$ for some $x \in G$. Then z belongs to the center of $\langle G, G^x \rangle \leq C_G(z)$. Since $C_G(z)$ satisfies (*), there exists $y \in C_G(z)$ such that $K^x = K^y$. From $xy^{-1} \in C_G(z)$ we get $z^{xy^{-1}} = z$. Hence, $z^x = z^y = z$. We conclude that $z^{x^{-1}} = z$.

(3) If our claim were false, replacing H with some conjugate H^x (if need be), we may assume $z \in Z(K) \cap Z(H)$, i.e. $z \in Z(\langle K, H \rangle) \leq C_G(z)$. Again since $C_G(z)$ satisfies (*), there would exist $y \in C_G(z)$ such that $H = K^y$. A contradiction. \Box

Note that for every known finite simple group G (and hence almost simple, since the group of outer automorphisms is soluble) and for most elements $z \in G$ of prime order we see that the composition factors of $C_G(z)$ are among the known simple groups. Indeed, for sporadic groups this statement can be checked by using [3]. Composition factors of $C_{A_n}(z)$ are alternating groups. If G is a finite simple group of Lie type over a field of characteristic p and (|z|, p) = 1, then z is semisimple and composition factors of $C_G(z)$ are finite groups of Lie type. If |z| = p and p is a good prime for G then [4, Theorems 1.2 and 1.4] implies that all composition factors of $C_G(z)$ are finite groups of Lie type. The only case in which the structure of centralizers of unipotent elements of order p is not completely known is as follows: p is a bad prime for G.

Therefore, if we classify the Carter subgroups of an almost simple finite group A by induction then we may assume that $C_A(z)$ satisfies (*) for most elements of prime order $z \in A$. In particular, we can improve the table from [2] using the results of the present paper and [5]. In the table below A is an almost simple group with conjugate Carter subgroups.

| $\operatorname{Soc}(A) = G$ | Conditions for A |
|---|---|
| alternating, sporadic; | |
| $A_1(r^t), \ B_\ell(r^t), \ C_\ell(r^t), \ t \text{ even if } r = 3;$ | |
| $^{2}B_{2}(2^{2n+1}), G_{2}(r^{t}), F_{4}(r^{t}), ^{2}F_{4}(2^{2n+1});$ | none |
| $E_7(r^\iota), \ r \neq 3; \ E_8(r^\iota), \ r \neq 3, 5$ | |
| $D_{2\ell}(r^t), \ ^3D_4(r^{3t}), \ ^2D_{2\ell}(r^{2t}),$ where | $A/(A \cap \widehat{G})$ is a 2-group |
| t even, if $r = 3$ and if $G = D_4(r^t)$ then | or |
| $ (\operatorname{Field}(G) \cap A) : (\widehat{G} \cap A) _{2'} > 1$ | $ \widehat{G}:(A\cap \widehat{G}) \leq 2$ |
| $B_{\ell}(3^t), \ C_{\ell}(3^t), \ D_{2\ell}(3^t), \ {}^{3}D_4(3^{3t}), \ {}^{2}D_{2\ell}(3^{2t}),$ | |
| $D_{2\ell+1}(r^t), \ ^2D_{2\ell+1}(r^{2t}), \ ^2G_2(3^{2n+1}),$ | A = G |
| $E_6(r^t), \ ^2E_6(r^{2t}), \ E_7(3^t), \ E_8(3^t), \ E_8(5^t)$ | |
| $A_\ell(r^t), \; {}^2A_\ell(r^{2t}), \; \ell>1$ | $G \le A \le \widehat{G}$ |

References

- 1. Dalla Volta F., Lucchini A., and Tamburini M. C., "On the conjugacy problem for Carter subgroups," Comm. Algebra, 26, No. 2, 395–401 (1998).
- 2. Tamburini M. C. and Vdovin E. P., "Carter subgroups of finite groups," J. Algebra, 255, No. 1, 148–163 (2002).
- 3. Conway J. H., Curtis R. T., Norton S. P., Parker R. A., and Wilson R. A., Atlas of Finite Groups, Clarendon Press, Oxford (1985).
- 4. Seitz G. M., "Unipotent elements, tilting modules, and saturation," Inv. Math., 141, No. 3, 467–503 (2000).
- 5. Previtali A., Tamburini M. C., and Vdovin E. P., "The Carter subgroups of some classical groups," Bull. London Math. Soc., **36**, No. 1, 145–155 (2004).

E. P. VDOVIN SOBOLEV INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, NOVOSIBIRSK, RUSSIA *E-mail address*: vdovin@math.nsc.ru